Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers

2019 REVISION



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Public Health Service

Food and Drug Administration

PREFACE

The objective of a rating is to provide an assessment of the Regulatory Agency's sanitation activities regarding public health protection and milk quality control. This is accomplished by evaluating sanitation compliance and enforcement standards of the current edition of the *Grade* "A" Pasteurized Milk Ordinance (Grade "A" PMO) and Related Documents as listed in the Procedures Governing the Cooperative State-Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration Program of the National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments (Procedures). Rating results are used for the purpose of evaluating the sanitation compliance and enforcement requirements of shippers to determine the degree of compliance with public health standards as expressed in the Grade "A" PMO. Rating results are further utilized as a means of uniform education and interpretation, in addition to providing a basis for the acceptance/rejection of shippers by Regulatory Agencies beyond the limits of routine inspection. Rating results are intended to establish uniform reciprocity between Regulatory Agencies to prevent unnecessary restrictions of the interstate flow of milk and/or milk products, yet assure public health protection.

The rating method for evaluating the sanitary quality of milk and/or milk products measures the extent to which a shipper complies with the standards contained in the Grade "A" PMO. These nationally recognized standards, rather than local requirements, are used as a yardstick in order that ratings of individual Bulk Tank Units (BTUs) or attached shippers and milk plants, receiving stations and/or transfer stations may be comparable to each other, both interstate and intrastate. Ratings are expressed in terms of percentage compliance. For example, if the milk plant, receiving station, transfer station and/or dairy farms comply with all of the requirements of the Grade "A" PMO, the Sanitation Compliance Rating of the pasteurized milk supply and/or raw milk supply, respectively, would be one hundred percent (100%); whereas, if the milk plant, receiving station, transfer station or some of the dairy farms fail to satisfy one (1) or more of these requirements, the Sanitation Compliance Rating would be reduced in proportion to the amount of milk and/or milk products involved in the violation and to the relative public health significance of the violated Item(s). Procedures for the collection of data, the computation of Sanitation Compliance Ratings for raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging and pasteurized milk, and the computation of the Enforcement Rating of the Regulatory Agency, responsible for administering milk sanitation regulations, are described in the following Sections.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	\underline{Pa}	<u>ge</u>
PR	REFACE	i
TA	ABLE OF CONTENTS	. ii
ΑF	BBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMSv	iii
CF	ETHODS OF MAKING SANITATION RATINGS OF MILK SHIPPERS AND THE ERTIFICATIONS/ LISTINGS OF SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR LOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS	. 1
Α.	DEFINITIONS	. 1
PA PR	RATING METHODS FOR RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA- ASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT ROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF- YABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING	. 8
	DRUG RESIDUE COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING BTU OR TACHED SUPPLY COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX N. OF THE GRADE "A" PMO a. Record Review	
	b. Regulatory Notification and Disposition	
	c. Reinstatement	
2.	COLLECTION OF DATA	
	a. Number of Dairy Farms to be Rated	
	b. Random Selection of Dairy Farms to be Ratedc. Number of Bulk Milk Hauler/Samplers to be Evaluated	
	d. Recording of Inspection Data	
	e. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data	11
3.	COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS	
	RATING METHODS FOR MILK PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND RANSFER STATIONS	13
1.	DRUG RESIDUE COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING MILK PLANT,	,
RE	ECEIVING STATION AND TRANSFER STATION COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX N	
OF	THE GRADE "A" PMO	
	a. Record Review	
	b. Regulatory Notification	
2	c. Industry Notification	14
	FOOD SAFETY PLAN COMPLIANCE – PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING MILK	1 1
۲L	ANT COMPLIANCEa. Record Review	
2	COLLECTION OF DATA	
э.	a. Recording of Inspection Data	

	b. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data	17
	c. Recording of Data for Milk Plants, Receiving Stations and Transfer Stations Being Li	
	Under the NCIMS Voluntary HACCP Listing Procedure	18
	d. Recording of Data for Milk Plants and Receiving Stations Being Listed Under the	
	NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and/or the Fermented High-Acid, Sh	
	Stable Processing and Packaging Program.	
4.	COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS	21
	CERTIFICATION/LISTING METHODS FOR SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS ND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS	
	COLLECTION OF DATA	
1.	a. Recording of Inspection Data	
	b. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data	
2.	COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS	
Ε.	COMPUTATION OF ENFORCEMENT RATINGS	
1.	PURPOSE	26
2.	RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC	
	ROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR	
	ERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING ONLY	
3.	RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION	27
4.	MILK PLANTS	28
	a. For NCIMS aseptic milk plants.	
	b. Milk Plant with an Unattached Supply of Raw Milk	
	c. Milk Plant with an Attached Supply of Raw Milk	30
F.	PREPARATION OF THE SRO'S REPORT FOR MILK SHIPPERS	31
1.		
2.		
<i>2</i> .	SUPPLEMENTARY NARRATIVE REPORT	
٠.	RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SRO	
4.	RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SRO	31
G.	PREPARATION OF THE SRO'S OR SSC'S REPORT FOR SINGLE-SERVICE	
C	ONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURERS	32
1.	PURPOSE	32
2.	SUMMARY OF CERTIFICATION RESULTS	32
		22
	. PUBLICATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT"	
	PURPOSE	
2.	PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT"	33
	a. Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization, Aseptic	1.0
	Processing and Packaging, Retort Processed after Packaging or Fermented High-Acid, Sh	
	Stable Processing and Packaging.	
	b. Receiving Station or Transfer Station	34 34
	C. IVIIIN I IZIII	14

3 PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT" FOR	
LISTINGS	
4 PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT" FOR	ASEPTIC
PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER	
PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STAB	
PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTINGS	
TROOLEGEN OF THE THE TOTAL OF THE ORIGINAL DISTRICTS	
I. PUBLICATION OF THE "REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of	of Single-
Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)"	
 PURPOSE PREPARATION OF THE "REPORT OF CERTIFICATION" 	
2. TREFARATION OF THE REFORT OF CERTIFICATION	41
J. EXAMPLES OF RATING, NCIMS HACCP LISTING, ASEPTIC PROCE AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGE PROGRAM, AND FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESS PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTING FORMS AND SINGLE-SERVICE CON	ING ING AND
AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFAC	
CERTIFICATION/LISTING FORMS	
1. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REI	PORTOF
THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)	
2. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REI	
ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)	
3. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C. EV	ALUATION
OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3)	
4. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DA	AIRY FARM
ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)	
5. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MIL	LK PLANT
ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5)	4
6. FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTI	RA-
PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PI	ROCESSED
AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCI	ESSING
AND PACKAGING	4
7. FORM FDA 2359L- STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AN	1D
CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TR	
STATIONS)	
8. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT	5
9. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT (ELECTRONIC	
SUBMISSION)	
10. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER	STATION
NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT	
11. FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY RE	 Wiew
REPORT	
12. FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION- INTERSTATE MIL	
SHIPPER'S LISTING	
13. FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PR	
AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL	LISTING

ELEMENTS (LOW-ACID (PH GREATER THAN 4.6) ASEPTIC AND RETORT MILK
AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS)
ELEMENTS FOR GRADE "A" FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK
AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS - PH OF 4.6 OR BELOW OBTAINED BY FERMENTATION
USING LIVE AND ACTIVE CULTURES
15. FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service
Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)
16. FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service
Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)
K. EXAMPLES OF HOW TO PROPERLY COMPLETE RATING, NCIMS HACCP
LISTING, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, RETORT
PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM, AND FERMENTED HIGH-ACID,
SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTING FORMS
AND SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR
MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS CERTIFICATION/LISTING FORMS
1. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF
THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)
2. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY)
3. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C. EVALUATION
OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY-PART II, ITEM
8)68
4. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT
ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5) (EXAMPLE: MILK
PLANT ONLY-PART II, ITEMS 9 AND 10)
5. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU AND
<i>RECEIVING STATION</i>)70
6. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C. EVALUATION
OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU AND
RECEIVING STATION-PART I, ITEM 9 AND PART II, ITEM 8)71
7. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM
ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:
MULITPLE FARM BTU-PART I, ITEMS 10 AND 11)
8. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT
ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5) (EXAMPLE:
RECEIVING STATION-PART II, ITEMS 9 AND 10)73
9. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: SINGLE FARMS BTU)
$10.\ FORM\ FDA\ 2359 j-MILK\ SANITATION\ RATING\ REPORT-SECTION\ D.\ DAIRY\ FARM$
ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:
SINGLE FARM BTU-PART I, ITEMS 10 AND 11)75
11. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU)

12. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARI	M
ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:	
MULTIPLE FARM BTU-PART I, ITEMS 10 AND 11)	77
13. FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-	
PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSEI	D
AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING	
AND PACKAGING (EXAMPLE)	78
14. FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND	
CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER	
STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: MILLK PLANT)	80
15. FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND	
CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER	
STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT WITH A RECEIVING AND TRANSFER STATION)	81
16. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT	82
17. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT (ELECTRONIC	
SUBMISSION)	
18. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION	[
NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT	85
19. FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW	
REPORT	88
20. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT (EXAMPLE: NCIMS	
HACCP LISTING)	89
21. FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION-INTERSTATE MILK	
SHIPPER'S LISTING (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT HACCP LISTING)	91
22. FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION-INTERSTATE MILK	
SHIPPER'S LISTING (EXAMPLE: BTU AND MILK PLANT RATING LISTING)	92
23. FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM	
AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING	
ELEMENTS (EXAMPLE: LOW-ACID (PH GREATER THAN 4.6) ASEPTIC AND RETOR	
MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS)	
24. FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE - CRITICAL LISTING	j
ELEMENTS FOR GRADE "A" FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK	
AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS – PH OF 4.6 OR BELOW OBTAINED BY FERMENTATION	
USING LIVE AND ACTIVE CULTURES	94
(EXAMPLE: ASEPTIC AND/OR RETORT MILK PLANT AND/OR FERMENTED HIGH-	
ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK PLANT)	94
25. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF	
ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: ASEPTIC, RETORT MILK PLANT	
AND/OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK PLANT)	96
26. FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service	
Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	97
27. FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service	
Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	98
TABLE FOR COMPUTING PERCENT VIOLATION	99

APPENDIX A.	. 100
GUIDELINES FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT RATINGS	. 100
(FORM FDA 2359J-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF	
ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2))	. 100
PART I. DAIRY FARMS	100
PART II. MILK PLANTS	107
PART III. INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING	114
GUIDANCE FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT CREDIT FOR PART I, ITEM 9	
AND/OR PART II, ITEM 8 OF FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING	
REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)	116
APPENDIX B. TABLE OF DAIRY FARM WATER SUPPLY VIOLATIONS	. 119

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACLE (Aseptic Critical Listing Element)
APPS (Aseptic Processing and Packaging System)
AQFPSS (Aseptic-Qualified Filler and Product Sterilizer System)
AR (Audit Report)

BTU (Bulk Tank Unit)

CCP (Critical Control Point)
CFR (Code of Federal Regulations)
CIP (Clean-in-Place)
CL (Critical Limit)
CLE (Critical Listing Element)
cwt. (100 Pounds Weight Unit)

dSSO (delegated Sampling Surveillance Regulatory Agency Official)

EML (Evaluation of Milk Laboratories) EPA (Environmental Protection Agency)

FDA (Food and Drug Administration) FFD&CA (Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act)

FHA (Fermented High-Acid)

HACCP (Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point)

ICP (International Certification Program)
IMS (Interstate Milk Shipper)

LACF (Low Acid Canned Food)
LEO (Laboratory Evaluation Officer)
LOI (Letter of Intent)
LOU (Letter of Understanding)

LPET (Laboratory Proficiency and Evaluation Team)

M-a (Memorandum of Interpretation)

MC (Milk Company)

M-I (Memorandum of Information)

MMSR (Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers)

MOA (Memorandum of Agreement)

MST (Milk Safety Team)

NCIMS (National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments)

PCQI (Preventive Controls Qualified Individual)

pH (Potential Hydrogen-acid/alkaline balance of a solution)

PHS (Public Health Service)

PHS/FDA (Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration)

PMO (Pasteurized Milk Ordinance)

PP (Prerequisite Program)

Procedures (Procedures Governing the Cooperative State-Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration Program of the National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments)

RPPS (Retort Processed after Packaging System)

SMEDP (Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products)

SRO (Sanitation Rating Officer)

SSC (Single-Service Consultant)

SSO (Sampling Surveillance Officer)

TPC (Third Party Certifier)

USDA (United States Department of Agriculture)

METHODS OF MAKING SANITATION RATINGS OF MILK SHIPPERS AND THE CERTIFICATIONS/LISTINGS OF SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS

A. DEFINITIONS

Terms used in this document not specifically defined herein are those within *Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) and/or the *Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act* (FFD&CA) as amended.

- 1. **AREA RATING:** An area rating, if used, shall apply to raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging and retort processed after packaging. An area rating consists of more than one (1) producer group operating under the supervision of a single Regulatory Agency and which is rated as a single entity. An individual dairy farm shall only be included in one (1) IMS Listing.
- 2. **ASEPTIC CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENT** (**ACLE**): An Item on FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products). The identification of any Aseptic Critical Listing Element (ACLE) element by a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) or PHS/FDA Milk Specialist as not being in compliance, whereby a listing shall be immediately denied or withdrawn.
- 3. ASEPTIC, RETORT OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK PLANT RATING: A rating of a milk plant or portion of a milk plant that produces aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products that is rated separately from the rating of pasteurized and/or ultra-pasteurized Grade "A" milk and/or milk products produced in the milk plant. This rating shall be made for all milk plants producing aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products as defined in the Grade "A" PMO. An NCIMS HACCP milk plant listing that produces aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products shall have only an NCIMS HACCP listing.

NOTE: The raw milk receiving area may be rated with the aseptic or retort milk plant, or with a separately listed pasteurization and/or ultra-pasteurized milk plant, or separately as a receiving station.

- 4. **ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING SYSTEM (APPS):** For the purposes of this document, the Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) in a milk plant is comprised of the processes and equipment used to process and package aseptic Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products. The Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) shall be regulated in accordance with the applicable requirements of 21 CFR Parts 108, 113 and 117. The Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) shall begin at the constant level tank and end at the discharge of the packaging machine, provided that the Process Authority may provide written documentation which will clearly define additional processes and/or equipment that are considered critical to the commercial sterility of the product.
- 5. ASEPTIC-QUALIFIED FILLER AND PRODUCT STERILIZER SYSTEM (AQFPSS): A filler and product sterilizer and associated equipment which are used for aseptic processing and packaging as defined in 21 CFR 113.3(a). This system will be described within filings for aseptic low-acid products that have been filed with and reviewed by the Food Processing Evaluation Team in FDA/CFSAN's Office of Food Safety. The aseptic-qualified filler (which includes the package sterilizer) is operated as described within the Form FDA 2541g filing submission. The aseptic-qualified product sterilizer is operated in a manner that is sufficient to destroy the vegetative cells of microorganisms of public health significance and those of non-health significance capable of reproducing in the food under conditions of ambient storage. The scope of the AQFPSS includes the filler and product sterilizer described within the Form FDA 2541g filing submission and any other equipment or processes which will be defined in written documentation provided by the Process Authority that are critical to maintain the safety of the product.
- 6. **AUDIT:** An evaluation of the entire milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station facility, and NCIMS HACCP System to ensure compliance with the NCIMS HACCP System and other NCIMS regulatory requirements, with the exception of the Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) for aseptic processing and packaging milk plants and the Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) for retort processed after packaging milk plants, respectively.
- 7. **BULK TANK UNIT (BTU):** A dairy farm or group of dairy farms from which raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging or retort processed after packaging is collected under the routine supervision of one (1) Regulatory Agency and rated as a single entity and given a Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Rating. An individual dairy farm shall only be included in one (1) IMS Listing.
- 8. **CERTIFIED MILK LABORATORY EVALUATION OFFICER (LEO):** A Regulatory Agency or Milk Laboratory Control Agency employee who has been certified by the Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration (PHS/FDA) Laboratory Proficiency and Evaluation Team (LPET) using the Evaluation of Milk Laboratories (EML) to evaluate milk laboratories for the purpose of accrediting or approving laboratories that conduct official NCIMS milk testing and has a valid certificate of qualification.
- 9. **CERTIFIED MILK SANITATION RATING OFFICER (SRO):** A Regulatory Agency employee who has been certified by the Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration (PHS/FDA); has a valid certificate of qualification; and does not have direct responsibility for the routine regulatory inspection and enforcement or regulatory auditing of the shipper to be rated or listed. Directors, administrators, supervisors, etc. may be certified as Milk Sanitation Rating Officers

- (SROs). A Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) may be certified to make HACCP milk plant, receiving station or transfer station listings.
- 10. **CERTIFIED SAMPLING SURVEILLANCE OFFICER (SSO):** A Regulatory Agency employee who has been certified by the Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration (PHS/FDA) and has a valid certificate of qualification. Directors, administrators, supervisors, etc., Milk Sanitation Rating Officers (SROs), Laboratory Evaluation Officers (LEOs), etc. may be certified as Sampling Surveillance Officers (SSOs).
- 11. **CERTIFIED SINGLE-SERVICE CONSULTANT** (**SSC**): An individual who has been certified by the Public Health Service/Food and Drug Administration (PHS/FDA), has a valid certificate of qualification to conduct the certification and listing of foreign single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturers on the *IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List)* and does not have direct responsibility for the routine regulatory inspection and enforcement or regulatory auditing of the foreign single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer to be certified.
- 12. **CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENT (CLE):** An item on FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT identified with a double star (**). The marking of a Critical Listing Element (CLE) element by a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) or FDA auditor, indicates a condition that constitutes a major dysfunction likely to result in a potential compromise to milk and/or milk product safety, or that violates NCIMS requirements regarding drug residue testing and trace back and/or raw milk sources, whereby a listing may be denied or withdrawn.
- 13. **DAIRY FARM:** A dairy farm is any place or premises where one (1) or more lactating animals (cows, goats, sheep, water buffalo, or other hooved mammal) are kept for milking purposes, and from which a part or all of the milk or milk product(s) is provided, sold or offered for sale to a milk plant, receiving station or transfer station.
- 14. **ENFORCEMENT RATING:** This is a measure of the degree to which enforcement provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO* are being applied by the Regulatory Agency.
- 15. **FDA AUDIT:** An evaluation conducted by FDA of the entire milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station facility to ensure compliance with the NCIMS HACCP System and other NCIMS regulatory requirements, with the exception of the Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) for aseptic processing and packaging milk plants, the Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) for retort processed after packaging milk plants and the Aseptic-Qualified Filler and Product Sterilizer System (AQFPSS) for Fermented High-Acid, Shelf-Stable plants, respectively.
- 16. **FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENT:** An Item on FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS for Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products pH of 4.6 or below obtained by fermentation using live and active cultures. The identification of any Fermented High-Acid, Shelf-Stable Critical Listing Element by a Milk

Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) or PHS/FDA Milk Specialist as not being in compliance, shall cause a listing to be immediately denied or withdrawn.

- 17. **HACCP LISTING:** An inclusion on the *IMS List–Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List)* based on a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer's (SRO's) evaluation of a milk plant's, receiving station's or transfer station's NCIMS voluntary HACCP Program and other applicable NCIMS requirements.
- 18. **INDIVIDUAL RATING:** An individual rating is the rating of a single producer group, milk plant, receiving station, and/or transfer station under the supervision of a single Regulatory Agency. Milk plants producing Grade "A" condensed and/or dried milk and milk products and/or Grade "A" condensed or dry whey and whey products may be rated separately from the same milk plant producing other Grade "A" milk and/or milk products, provided each listing holds a separate permit. Milk plants that produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk products, and/or Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products, and pasteurized and/or ultra-pasteurized Grade "A" milk and/or milk products shall be rated separately. Provided, that an NCIMS HACCP milk plant listing that produces aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or Grade "A" fermented-high acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products shall have only an NCIMS HACCP listing. An individual dairy farm shall only be included in one (1) IMS Listing.
- 19. **INTERNATIONAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM (ICP):** The International Certification Program (ICP) means the NCIMS voluntary program designed to utilize Third Party Certifiers (TPCs) authorized by the NCIMS Executive Board in applying the requirements of the NCIMS Grade "A" Milk Safety Program for Milk Companies (MCs) located outside the geographic boundaries of NCIMS Member States that desire to produce and process Grade "A" milk and/or milk products for importation into the United States.
- 20. **LETTER OF INTENT (LOI):** A formal written signed agreement between a Third Party Certifier (TPC), authorized under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP), and a Milk Company (MC) that intends to be certified and IMS Listed under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). A copy of each written signed agreement shall be immediately submitted to the International Certification Program (ICP) Committee following the signing by the Third Party Certifier (TPC) and Milk Company (MC).
- 21. **LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING (LOU):** A formal written signed agreement between a Third Party Certifier (TPC) and the NCIMS Executive Board that acknowledges the NCIMS' authorization of the Third Party Certifier (TPC) to operate under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). It also states the Third Party Certifier's (TPC's) responsibilities under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP); their agreement to execute them accordingly; and their understanding of the consequences for failing to do so. The Letter of Understanding (LOU) shall include, but is not limited to, the issues and concerns addressed in all documents involved in the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP).

- 22. **LISTING AUDIT:** An evaluation conducted by a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) of the entire milk plant, receiving station or transfer station facility to ensure compliance with the NCIMS voluntary HACCP Program and other NCIMS regulatory requirements, with the exception of the Aseptic Processing and Packaging System (APPS) for aseptic processing and packaging milk plants and the Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) for retort processed after packaging milk plants, respectively.
- 23. **MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT** (**MOA**): A formal written signed memorandum that states the requirements and responsibilities of each party (Third Party Certifier (TPC) and Milk Company (MC)) to participate and execute the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). The Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) shall include, but is not limited to, the issues and concerns addressed in all documents involved in the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). This agreement shall be considered the Milk Company's (MC's) permit to operate in the context of the NCIMS Grade "A" Milk Safety Program and shall be renewed (signed and dated) on an annual basis.
- 24. MILK COMPANY (MC): A Milk Company (MC) is a private entity that is listed on the IMS List by a Third Party Certifier (TPC) including all associated dairy farms, bulk milk haulers/samplers, milk tank trucks, milk transportation companies, milk plants, receiving stations, transfer stations, dairy plant samplers, industry plant samplers, milk distributors, etc. and their servicing milk and/or water laboratories, as defined in the *Grade "A" PMO*, located outside the geographic boundaries of NCIMS Member States.
- 25. **MILK PLANT:** A milk plant is any place, premises, or establishment where milk and/or milk products are collected, handled, processed, stored, pasteurized, ultra-pasteurized, aseptically processed and packaged, retort processed after packaged, fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processed and packaged, condensed, dried, packaged, or prepared for distribution.
- 26. **PREVENTIVE CONTROLS QUALIFIED INDIVIDUAL:** A qualified individual who has successfully completed training in the development and application of risk-based preventive controls at least equivalent to that received under a standardized curriculum recognized as adequate by FDA or is otherwise qualified through job experience to develop and apply a food safety system.
- 27. RATING AGENCY: A Rating Agency shall mean a State Agency, which certifies interstate milk shippers (BTUs, receiving stations, transfer stations, and milk plants) as having attained the Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings necessary for inclusion on the *IMS List*. The ratings are based on compliance with the requirements of the *Grade "A" PMO* and were conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers (MMSR)*. Ratings are conducted by FDA certified Milk Sanitation Rating Officers (SROs). They also certify single-service containers and closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturers for inclusion on the *IMS List*. The certifications are based on compliance with the requirements of the *Grade "A" PMO* and were conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers (MMSR)*. The definition of a Rating Agency also includes a Third Party Certifier (TPC) that conducts ratings and certifications of Milk Companies (MCs) located outside

- the geographic boundaries of NCIMS Member States that desire to produce and process Grade "A" milk and/or milk products for importation into the United States.
- 28. **RECEIVING STATION:** A receiving station is any place, premises, or establishment where raw milk is received, collected, handled, stored, or cooled and prepared for further transporting.
- 29. **RECIPROCITY:** For the purposes of the *National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments* (NCIMS) agreements, reciprocity shall mean any action or requirements on the part of any Regulatory Agency will not cause or require any action in excess of the requirements of the current edition of the *Grade "A" PMO* and Related Documents of the NCIMS agreements.
- 30. **REGULATORY AGENCY:** A Regulatory Agency shall mean an agency which has adopted an ordinance, rule or regulation in substantial compliance with the current edition of the *Grade "A" PMO* and is responsible for the enforcement of such ordinance, rule or regulation, which is in substantial compliance with the *Grade "A" PMO* for a listed interstate milk shipper. The term "Regulatory Agency" whenever it appears in the *MMSR* shall also mean the appropriate Third Party Certifier (TPC) having jurisdiction and control over the matters cited within this *MMSR*.
- 31. **RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING SYSTEM (RPPS):** For the purposes of this document, the Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) in a milk plant is comprised of the processes and equipment used to retort process after packaging low-acid Grade "A" milk and/or milk products. The Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) shall be regulated in accordance with the applicable requirements of 21 CFR Parts 108, 113 and 117. The Retort Processed after Packaging System (RPPS) shall begin at the container filler and end at the palletizer, provided that the Process Authority may provide written documentation which will clearly define additional processes and/or equipment that are considered critical to the commercial sterility of the milk and/or milk product.
- 32. **SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURER:** A single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer shall mean any person or company in the business of manufacturing a single-service container and/or closure for the packaging or sampling of Grade "A" milk and/or milk products in accordance with Appendix J. Standards for the Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 33. **SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURER AUDIT:** The designated PHS/FDA and NCIMS *Procedures* method to ensure that the published certification/listing of a single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturer on the *IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List)* is valid and maintained during the interval between certifications.
- 34. **SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURER CERTIFICATION:** This is the certification conducted by a Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) for U.S. manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products; or a Third Party Certifier's (TPC's) Milk Sanitation Rating Officer (SRO) or a Certified Single-Service Consultant (SSC) for foreign manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products, which measures the degree to which the provisions of Appendix J. Standards for the Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk

and/or Milk Products of the *Grade* "A" *PMO* are being complied with by the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer for inclusion on the *IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS List)*. The certification is based on compliance with the requirements of Appendix J. of the *Grade* "A" *PMO* and is conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *Methods of Making Sanitation Ratings of Milk Shippers and the Certifications/Listings of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products Manufacturers (MMSR).*

35. **THIRD PARTY CERTIFIER (TPC):** A Third Party Certifier (TPC) is a non-governmental individual(s) or organization authorized under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP) that is qualified to conduct the routine regulatory functions and enforcement requirements of the *Grade "A" PMO* in relationship to milk plants, receiving stations, transfer stations, associated dairy farms, bulk milk hauler/samplers, milk tank trucks, milk transportation companies, dairy plant samplers, industry plant samplers, milk distributors, etc. participating in the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP). The Third Party Certifier (TPC) provides the means for the rating and listing of milk plants, receiving stations, transfer stations and their related raw milk sources. They also conduct the certification and IMS listing of related milk and/or water laboratories and related single-service container and closure manufacturers on the *Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers (IMS) List.* To be authorized under the NCIMS voluntary International Certification Program (ICP), a valid Letter of Understanding (LOU) shall be signed between the NCIMS Executive Board and the Third Party Certifier (TPC).

36. **TRANSFER STATION:** A transfer station is any place, premises, or establishment where milk or milk products are transferred directly from one (1) milk tank truck to another.

B. RATING METHODS FOR RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING

1. <u>DRUG RESIDUE COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING BTU OR</u> ATTACHED SUPPLY COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX N. OF THE GRADE "A" PMO

During an Interstate Milk Shippers' (IMS) rating or check rating, it is necessary to determine compliance of the BTU or attached supply with the requirements of Appendix N. of the *Grade* "A" PMO. The following criteria are to be used in making that determination. If the BTU or attached supply is not in substantial compliance, a rating or check rating is not to be completed and the Rating Agency shall immediately withdraw the IMS certification.

a. Record Review

Determine from records that are stored in a manner acceptable to the Rating Agency that all milk pick-up tankers are screened daily, prior to processing, for *Beta lactams* with an approved test method. As necessary, determine that all dairy farms are randomly tested four (4) times in any consecutive six (6) months for other drug residues, if directed by Section 6, of the *Grade* "A" PMO.

Compliance with the above Item would be satisfied in the following manner:

- 1.) Records indicating that milk was always shipped to an IMS listed shipper shall suffice for actual test results.
- 2.) If milk is shipped to a non-listed milk plant, receiving station and/or transfer station, records indicating actual testing shall be provided or available for review. When the Regulatory Agency has determined adequate documentation for compliance with this Section exists, the Rating Agency may accept this documentation. SROs may at their discretion request records on the testing of loads of milk that are sent to non-listed milk plants, receiving stations and/or transfer stations. If records are requested, the SRO should choose and request to review records for no more than fifteen (15) days, unless these selected records show a problem.

b. Regulatory Notification and Disposition

If a load sample or individual dairy farm sample is positive for a drug residue, determine if the Regulatory Agency was immediately notified, including the method of proper disposition to keep the contaminated milk out of the food chain.

c. Reinstatement

Determine if the violative dairy farm was not allowed to ship milk until the milk no longer tested positive, using the same or equivalent (M-I-96-10, latest revision) test method as used when the producer was initially found to be violative for drug residues.

2. COLLECTION OF DATA

Data from which the ratings are determined are obtained by the SRO from the records on file with the Regulatory Agency and from the evaluation of sanitary practices and facilities at the dairy farms. It is not necessary, except on very small BTUs or attached supplies, to inspect every dairy farm, since a sufficiently accurate determination of the percentage compliance with the sanitation requirements can be determined by rating statistically selected dairy farms.

a. Number of Dairy Farms to be Rated

1.) The minimum number of dairy farms to be included in the rating depends upon the number in the area to be rated and the accuracy desired. To attain accuracy such that the probable error in the individual percentages of compliance with the various Items of sanitation will be less than five percent (5%), the minimum number of dairy farms selected at random for inspection during the rating shall be determined from TABLE 1.

TABLE 1
MINIMUM NUMBER OF DAIRY FARMS TO BE SELECTED AT RANDOM FOR INCLUSION IN A RATING

Number in the BTU or Attached Supply	Number to be Rated
1 to 25	All
25 to 54	25
55 to 59	26
60 to 64	27
65 to 71	28
72 to 78	29
79 to 86	30
87 to 94	31
95 to 105	32
106 to 116	33
117 to 130	34
131 to 147	35
148 to 167	36
168 to 191	37
192 to 222	38
223 to 262	39
263 to 316	40
317 to 394	41
395 to 514	42
515 to 725	43
726 to 1,192	44
1,193 to 5,000	50
5,001 to 10,000	100

2.) TABLE 1 is used to determine separately the number of dairy farms to be included in the rating. The probable error is not applicable to small samples. If the total number is twenty-five (25) or less, the entire number shall be rated.

b. Random Selection of Dairy Farms to be Rated

The individual dairy farms included in the rating shall be representative to reflect conditions throughout the BTU or attached supply. It is important that the selection method excludes elements of pre-selection and provides a truly random sample. The selection of dairy farms for a rating should be made from a current listing of dairy farms making up the BTU or attached supply and may be compared to a list for the previous sixty (60) days to determine if an appreciable shifting of dairy farms has taken place. Random selections, once made, should be deviated from only in cases of emergencies. Replacements, where necessary, should also be selected at random. Whenever possible, random selection or announcements of such selections for only one (1) day's work at a time should be made.

Examples of methods, which are satisfactory for the random selection for dairy farms, include the following:

- 1.) The name of each dairy farm in the BTU or attached supply is written on a small card, one (1) name per card. These cards are then thoroughly shuffled and the number of dairy farms to be included in the rating, as determined from TABLE 1, are selected.
- 2.) The selection of dairy farms is made at intervals from a complete card index, ledger record, or other list. When this method is used, the sequence interval chosen shall be such that the entire card index, ledger record, or other list is subject to the sampling method. The sequence interval may be determined by dividing the total number of dairy farms by the number needed for the rating.

For Example: If there were 280 dairy farms in the BTU or attached supply, TABLE 1 indicates that forty (40) shall be included in the rating and the sequence interval in this case would be every seventh (7th) dairy. The first dairy farm in sequence is picked at random from the complete index, record or list in order that chance alone determines the selection of individual dairy farms.

- 3.) Immediately prior to the initial random drawing of dairy farms to be selected for inclusion in a rating, every dairy farm, which produces forty percent (40%) or more of the volume of milk in a BTU, which consists of five (5) dairy farms or more, shall become a separate BTU.
- c. Number of Bulk Milk Hauler/Samplers to be Evaluated

At each dairy farm, during the rating or check rating of a BTU, determine the identification of the bulk milk hauler/sampler(s), from at least the previous thirty (30) days, to be used when computing FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT, SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3). Obtaining records on bulk milk hauler/samplers from other Regulatory Agencies may be necessary, depending on the Regulatory Agency, which issued the permit(s).

d. Recording of Inspection Data

- 1.) During a rating, inspection data are recorded on FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT, the Items of which correspond to the Items of sanitation in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 2.) Sanitary conditions are evaluated in terms of the requirements of Section 7. of the *Grade* "A" PMO. Professional judgment alone shall dictate whether an observed deficiency is representative of significant day-to-day sanitary conditions or is an anomaly. When significant violations of any given requirement are noted, the corresponding Item(s) or subitem(s) on the individual FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT are marked with an "X". Each sub-item found in violation should be carefully marked, as this affects the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating.
- 3.) The number of pounds of milk sold daily is needed for computing the rating and is entered in the appropriate place at the top of FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT.

NOTE: A deficiency should not be based entirely on a discussion held with a dairy farm employee. Confirmation of a deficiency should be made with the responsible owner or manager in charge.

e. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data

- 1.) Regulatory Agency records are used in determining compliance with bacterial, drug residue, somatic cell, and cooling temperature requirements. The acceptance of data from Official and/or Officially Designated Laboratories is contingent upon the utilization of standard procedures by the laboratories concerned. Accordingly, it is necessary for the SRO to determine from the official Milk Laboratory Control Agency that both sampling and laboratory procedures have been approved in accordance with the methods of the current edition of the *Evaluation of Milk Laboratories (EML)*. Ratings shall not be conducted when an approved laboratory is not utilized by the Regulatory Agency for the necessary tests.
- 2.) Compliance with bacterial, drug residue, somatic cell, and cooling temperature requirements is based on whether, at the time of the rating, a dairy farm meets the standards of Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Credit for bacterial, somatic cell and cooling temperature requirements shall be given if no more than two (2) of the last four (4) sample results exceed the limits. Provided, that the last sample result is within the
- limit. No credit for compliance with bacterial, drug residue, somatic cell and cooling temperature requirements shall be given when less than the required number of samples have been examined during the preceding six (6) months. For rating purposes, the preceding six (6) months is considered to be the elapsed period of the month in which the rating is made and the preceding six (6) months. Dairy farms, which have had a permit for less than six (6) months at the time of the rating and for which the Regulatory Agency has not yet examined the required number of samples, shall be given credit. Provided, that the last sample result is within the limits.
- 3.) The SRO shall utilize the Regulatory Agency's records in determining compliance with those Items of sanitation which require laboratory tests to complete the evaluation.

3. COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS

a. Rating results are transferred to FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING. This Form may be obtained from a PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or at the following FDA website: http://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/reportsmanualsforms/forms/default.htm. The Form is sufficiently flexible to permit various combinations of pages to be used for reporting ratings of area or individual shippers.

b. The identity of each dairy farm, included in the rating, and the total pounds of milk sold daily, expressed to the nearest 100 pound unit (cwt.), are entered in the first, "Name of Dairy Farm", and second, "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)", columns, respectively, of FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING.

For Example: 3,760 pounds of milk sold per day shall result in an entry of thirty-eight (38) in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)" column.

Violations of Items or sub-items are indicated by an "X" or by inserting the point value of the violation in the appropriate column(s). The sum of the weights of all Items and sub-items found violated at each dairy farm is entered in the "Total Debits" column. This figure is then multiplied by the number in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)" column, and the results are entered in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column. When all entries have been made, the figures entered in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column are totaled as are the figures in the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)" column from all the dairy farms rated. (Refer to Section K. #13, for an example.)

NOTE: Item 8-Water Supply on FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT has been divided into two (2) point and five (5) point violations/debits. The maximum point value for the entire Item 8r cannot exceed five (5) points on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF MILK RAW FOR PASTEURIZATION. ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION. ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING. RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING. (Refer to APPENDIX B. TABLE OF DAIRY FARM WATER SUPPLY VIOLATIONS, which provides guidance, which may be used to differentiate between two (2) point (minor) and five (5) point (major) violations of Section 7., Item 8r of the *Grade "A" PMO* during Ratings and FDA Check Ratings.)

Non-compliance with Item 15r-DRUG AND CHEMICAL CONTROL, Administrative Procedures #s 5, 6 and 7 of the *Grade* "A" *PMO* (debited under Item 15r(d) and (e) on FORM FDA 2359a-DAIRY FARM INSPECTION REPORT), would constitute a five (5) point debit, not to exceed a total of seven (7) points for the entire Item 15-Drugs on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER

PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING.

Non-compliance with Item 18r-RAW MILK COOLING, Administrative Procedure #3 of the *Grade "A" PMO*, would constitute a one (1) point debit, not to exceed a total of five (5) points for the entire Item 18-Cooling on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING.

c. The Sanitation Compliance Rating is Derived from the Following Formula:

Rating = 100 – (The Sum of the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column) divided by (The Sum of the "Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)" column)

This rating figure is entered in the appropriate space in the upper right-hand corner of FORM 2359k-STATUS FDA OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, **ULTRA-**PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING PACKAGING, AND PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING. It is also entered on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1), in the appropriate location.

d. Provision is also made on the Form for computing the percentage of dairy farms violating individual Items of sanitation. The number of dairy farms violating each Item shall be totaled and the percentage computed by dividing this number by the total number of dairy farms rated and then multiplying by 100. The percentage of dairy farms violating an Item may also be determined by using the "TABLE FOR COMPUTING PERCENT VIOLATION".

C. RATING METHODS FOR MILK PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS

1. <u>DRUG RESIDUE COMPLIANCE - PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION AND TRANSFER STATION COMPLIANCE WITH APPENDIX N. OF THE *GRADE "A" PMO*</u>

During an IMS rating/listing audit or check rating/FDA audit, it is necessary to determine compliance of the milk plant, receiving station and transfer station with the requirements of Appendix N. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. The following criteria are to be used in making that determination. If the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station is not in substantial compliance, a rating/listing audit or check rating/FDA audit is not to be completed and the Rating Agency shall immediately withdraw the IMS certification.

a. Record Review

Determine from records that are stored in a manner acceptable to the Rating/Listing Agency that all milk pick-up tankers are screened daily, prior to processing, for *Beta lactams* with an approved test method. As necessary, determine that all dairy farms are randomly tested four (4) times in any consecutive six (6) months for other drug residues, if directed by Section 6. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

Milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations having an attached supply with loads that occasionally are diverted by direct farm shipment shall be deemed in compliance if the following criteria are met:

- 1.) Records indicating that milk was always shipped to an IMS listed shipper shall suffice for actual test results.
- 2.) If milk is shipped to a non-listed milk plant, receiving station and/or transfer station, records indicating actual testing shall be provided or available for review. When the Regulatory Agency has determined adequate documentation for compliance with this Section exists, the Rating Agency may accept this documentation. SROs may at their discretion request records on the testing of loads of milk that are sent to non-listed milk plants, receiving stations and/or transfer stations. If records are requested, the SRO should choose and request to review records for no more than fifteen (15) days, unless these selected records show a problem.

b. Regulatory Notification

If a load of milk was found to have a positive drug residue, determine if the Regulatory Agency was properly notified.

c. Industry Notification

If a load of milk was found to have a positive drug residue, determine if the permit holder of the BTU or attached supply that the dairy farms are attached to, was properly notified.

2. <u>FOOD SAFETY PLAN COMPLIANCE – PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING MILK PLANT COMPLIANCE</u>

During a PHS/FDA check rating/audit, or a state rating/audit upon agreement between a State Rating agency and FDA, it is necessary to determine compliance of the milk plant with the requirements of Appendix T. Preventive Controls for Human Food Requirements for Grade "A" Milk and Milk Products of the *Grade* "A" *PMO* related to the requirement that the milk plant shall have a written food safety plan. The following criteria are to be used in making that determination:

a. Record Review

Determine from records stored in a manner as required in the *Grade "A" PMO* that the milk plant's food safety plan is in compliance. Significant deficiencies involving one (1) or more of the following constitutes grounds for the re-inspection of a milk plant's IMS listing. Milk plants shall be deemed in compliance if the following criteria are met:

- 1.) The milk plant's food safety plan is in writing and was prepared, or its preparation overseen by one (1) or more preventive controls qualified individuals (PCQIs).
- 2.) The milk plant's written food safety plan and its contents included the following:
 - A.) The written Recall Plan;
 - B.) The written Hazard Analysis;
 - C.) The written Preventive Controls, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*;
 - D.) The written Supply-Chain Program, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*;
 - E.) The written Procedures for Monitoring the Implementation of the Preventive Controls, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*;
 - F.) The written Corrective Action Procedures, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*; and
 - G.) The written Verification Procedures, as appropriate, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade* "A" *PMO*.
- 3.) A reanalysis of the milk plant's food safety plan, as a whole, or portion of the food safety plan, was conducted as required and was performed, or overseen, by a PCQI.
- 4.) The milk plant has a written Hazard Analysis for each kind or group of milk and/or milk products processed. A milk plant may group similar types of milk and milk products, or similar types of production methods together, if the hazards and procedures are essentially identical.
- 5.) The milk plant has controls at identified critical points (CCPs) and other preventive controls, as appropriate to the milk plant and the milk and/or milk products, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 6.) The milk plant has established and implemented written procedures, including the frequency with which they are to be performed, for monitoring the preventive control and monitoring the preventive controls with adequate frequency to provide assurance that they are consistently performed, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 7.) The milk plant has established and implemented written corrective action procedures that shall be taken if preventive controls are not properly implemented, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 8.) The milk plant is verifying that the preventive controls are consistently implemented and are effectively and significantly minimizing or preventing the hazards, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 9.) The milk plant has validated that the preventive controls identified and implemented are adequate to control the hazard as appropriate to the nature of the preventive control and its role in the milk plant's food safety system, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 10.) The milk plant has established and is maintaining the required records documenting the implementation of the food safety plan. These records have not been falsified, for hazards not addressed by the *Grade "A" PMO*.

If the milk plant is determined not to be in substantial compliance with Appendix T. of the *Grade* "A" *PMO* by a check rating, the milk plant shall not be immediately removed from the IMS List and PHS/FDA shall formally notify the Rating Agency that a re-inspection/re-audit of the milk plant shall be required within sixty (60) days. If the milk plant is determined not to be in substantial compliance with Appendix T. of the Grade "A" PMO as determine by a state rating, the milk plant

shall not be immediately removed from the *IMS List* and the Rating Agency shall conduct a reinspection/re-audit of the milk plant within sixty (60) days of the initial rating.

NOTE: If a re-inspection/re-audit is required following a PHS/FDA check rating/audit or a state rating/audit because of the milk plant not being in substantial compliance with Appendix T. of the *Grade "A" PMO*, then the milk plant upon re-inspection shall be determined to be in substantial compliance with Appendix T. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and shall achieve a Sanitation Compliance Rating of ninety percent (90%) or higher on the re-inspection or shall receive an acceptable listing audit for NCIMS HACCP milk plants on a re-audit in order to be eligible for a listing on the *IMS List*.

3. COLLECTION OF DATA

Data from which ratings are determined are obtained by SROs from the records on file with the Regulatory Agency and from the evaluation of sanitary practices and facilities at the milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations. Receiving stations and transfer stations may be considered as an integral part of the milk plant to which milk is shipped. Therefore, all such stations not having individual ratings and supplying milk to the milk plant selected for the rating shall be included. Receiving stations and/or transfer stations, which are not an integral part of a milk plant, shall have individual ratings and may be rated separate from their BTUs.

a. Recording of Inspection Data

- 1.) During a rating, inspection data are recorded on FORM FDA 2359-MILK PLANT INSPECTION REPORT, the Items of which correspond to the Items of sanitation in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 2.) Sanitary conditions are evaluated in terms of the requirements of Section 7. of the *Grade* "A" PMO. Professional judgment alone shall dictate whether an observed deficiency is representative of significant day-to-day sanitary conditions or is an anomaly. When significant violations of any given requirement are noted, the corresponding Item(s) or subitem(s) on the individual FORM FDA 2359-MILK PLANT INSPECTION REPORT are marked with an "X". Each sub-item found in violation should be carefully marked, as this affects the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating.
- 3.) The average number of pounds of milk and milk products processed daily is needed for computing the rating and is entered in the appropriate place at the top of FORM FDA 2359-MILK PLANT INSPECTION REPORT. When a deficiency in a milk plant affects only one (1) type of packaging, i.e., paper, glass, single-service plastics, multi-use plastics, dispenser, cottage cheese, sour cream or yogurt containers; or the capping of these containers; or an individual pasteurization unit used, i.e., vat, HTST or HHST; or product(s) that has not been pasteurized at minimum pasteurization times and temperatures; only the quantity of all products affected by the deficiency, rather than the entire milk plant's production, is recorded for use in the computation of the milk plant's Sanitation Compliance Rating. Only violations of Items 16p, 18p and 19p of the *Grade "A" PMO* are to receive partial debits. Provided, that bacterial count, coliform count and cooling temperature may be partially debited for the particular product involved. All other violations should be considered as affecting the entire production of the milk plant.

b. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data

- 1.) Regulatory Agency records are used in determining compliance with bacterial, coliform, phosphatase, drug residue, and cooling temperature requirements. The acceptance of data from Official and/or Officially Designated Laboratories is contingent upon the utilization of standard procedures by the laboratories concerned. Accordingly, it is necessary for the SRO to determine from the official Milk Laboratory Control Agency that both sampling and laboratory procedures have been approved in accordance with the methods of the current edition of the *EML*. Ratings and HACCP listing audits shall not be conducted when an approved laboratory has not been utilized by the Regulatory Agency for the necessary tests.
- 2.) Compliance with bacterial, coliform and cooling temperature requirements is based on whether, at the time of the rating, a milk plant's Grade "A" milk and/or milk products meet the standards of Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Each milk and/or milk product, including commingled raw milk prior to pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging and fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging for each of the above applicable requirements, shall be debited if two (2) of the last four (4) sample results exceed the limit(s), and the last sample result is in violation. A debit shall be given when less than the required number of samples has been examined during the preceding six (6) months. For rating purposes, the preceding six (6) months is considered to be the elapsed period for the month in which the rating is made and the preceding six (6) months. Milk plants which have had a permit for less than six (6) months at the time of the rating or which do not operate on a year-round basis and for which the Regulatory Agency has not yet examined the required number of samples shall not be debited. Provided, that the last sample result is within the limit(s).
- 3.) The SRO shall utilize Regulatory Agency's records in determining compliance with those Items of sanitation, which require laboratory tests to complete the evaluation. Official records of Equipment Tests may also be used in lieu of performing such Equipment Tests during the rating. Provided, that the SRO is satisfied as to the competency of the Regulatory Agency's personnel to perform these Equipment Tests as described in Appendix I. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

NOTE: All pasteurized and ultra-pasteurized milk and/or milk products required sampling and testing is to be conducted only when there are test methods available that are validated by FDA and accepted by the NCIMS. Milk and/or milk products that do not have validated and accepted methods are not required to be tested. (Refer to M-a-98, latest revision, for the specific milk and/or milk products that have FDA validated and NCIMS accepted test methods.)

The sampling and testing of aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, and Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products is not required, with the exception of the annual vitamin assay analysis to which vitamin(s) A and/or D have been added for fortification purposes. The sampling and testing requirements of Section 6. of the *Grade "A" PMO* for raw milk for aseptic processing and packaging and retort processed after packaging is required.

- c. Recording of Data for Milk Plants, Receiving Stations and Transfer Stations Being Listed Under the NCIMS Voluntary HACCP Listing Procedure
 - 1.) Prior to conducting the initial HACCP listing audit, there shall be a Regulatory audit conducted of the milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station and the milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station shall have a minimum of sixty (60) days of HACCP System records prior to a HACCP listing audit.
 - 2.) The listing audit may be announced at the discretion of the auditor under limited circumstances, such as, the initial audit or a re-audit in response to an FDA audit. When unannounced audits are conducted, the audits shall not be completed until appropriate milk plant personnel have had an opportunity to make all pertinent records available for review by the auditor.
 - 3.) Listing Audit Procedures
 - A.) Pre-Audit Management Interview: Review and discuss the milk plant's, receiving station's or transfer station's HACCP System including:
 - (i) The management structure;
 - (ii) The Hazard Analysis: Ensure that all milk or milk product hazards are addressed;
 - (iii) The HACCP Plan;
 - (iv) The Prerequisite Program (PP);
 - (v) The flow diagrams; and
 - (vi)The products/processes.
 - B.) Review past Audit Reports (AR) and corrections of deficiencies and non-conformities if any.
 - C.) In milk plant review of implementation and verification of the HACCP System.
 - D.) Review records of the HACCP System.
 - E.) Review compliance with other applicable NCIMS regulatory requirements*.
 - F.) Discuss findings and observations.
 - G.) Prepare and issue an AR based on findings of deficiencies and non-conformities.
 - H.) Conduct the exit interview.

*Examples of Other Applicable NCIMS Requirements:

- 1. Raw Milk Supply Source;
- 2. Labeling Compliance;
- 3. Adulteration;
- 4. Licensing Requirements;
- 5. Drug Residue Testing and Trace Back Requirements;
- 6. Regulatory Samples in Compliance;
- 7. Approved Laboratory Utilized for the Required Regulatory Tests; and
- 8. Pasteurization Equipment Design, Construction, and Installation.

4.) Criteria and Procedures for Denial or Withdrawal of a Listing

- A.) A Listing under the NCIMS HACCP Program may be denied or withdrawn when CLEs have been noted indicating that the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station has failed to recognize or correct a deficiency(ies) or nonconformity(ies) indicating:
 - (i) A major HACCP System dysfunction that is reasonably likely to result in a milk or milk product safety hazard or an adverse health consequence(s).*

- *A milk and/or milk product safety hazard that is reasonably likely to occur is one for which a prudent milk plant, receiving station or transfer station operator would establish controls because experience, illness data, scientific reports, or other information provides a basis to conclude that there is a reasonable likelihood that, in the absence of those controls, the milk and/or milk product hazard will occur in the particular type of milk and/or milk product being processed.
- (ii) A series of observations that leads to a finding of a potential HACCP System failure that is likely to result in a compromise to milk or milk product safety.
- (iii)Drug residue testing and trace back requirements are not met.
- (iv)Milk is received from a supply other than a NCIMS listed source or from a listed source with a Sanitation Compliance Rating below 90 percent (90%).
- B.) Significant deficiencies involving one (1) or more CLEs constitute grounds for denial or withdrawal of a milk plant's, receiving station's or transfer station's NCIMS HACCP listing.

Observations of CLE related concerns and anomalies that do not meet these criteria should be discussed with the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station being audited and/or the Regulatory Agency but not marked on the AR as a CLE or used to justify the denial or removal of a listing. In this case, professional judgment should be exercised to allow the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station to retain its listing and benefit from the observation by making the necessary corrections to their HACCP System.

CLEs are noted on FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT with a double star (**) and cover the following areas of the NCIMS voluntary HACCP Program:

- (i) **HAZARD ANALYSIS:** Flow Diagram and Hazard Analysis conducted and written for each kind or group of milk and/or milk products processed.
- (ii) **HACCP PLAN:** HACCP Plan prepared for each kind or group of milk or milk products processed.
- (iii)**HACCP PLAN CRITICAL LIMITS (CLs):** CLs are adequate to control the hazard identified.
- (iv)**HACCP PLAN CORRECTIVE ACTION:** Corrective action taken for milk or milk products produced during a deviation from CLs defined in the HACCP Plan.
- (v) **HACCP PLAN VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION:** Calibration of Critical Control Point (CCP) process monitoring instruments performed as required and at the frequency defined in the HACCP Plan.
- (vi)**HACCP SYSTEM RECORDS:** Information on HACCP records not falsified. (vii)**OTHER NCIMS REQUIREMENTS:** Incoming milk supply from a NCIMS listed source(s) with a Sanitation Compliance Rating(s) of 90 percent (90%) or above and a drug residue control program implemented.
- (viii)**HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT FOLLOW-UP ACTION:** A series of observations that lead to a finding of a potential HACCP System failure that is likely to result in a compromise to milk or milk product safety.

NOTE: In the case of a HACCP aseptic listed milk plant, HACCP retort listed milk plant, and/or HACCP fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk plant, the identification of any CLE on FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND/OR PACKAGING PROGRAM AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products) or FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE - CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS for Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products - pH of 4.6 or below obtained by fermentation using live and active cultures by a SRO or PHS/FDA Milk Specialist as not being in compliance shall also constitute an CLE deficiency under the NCIMS HACCP System, whereby a listing shall be immediately denied or withdrawn.

d. Recording of Data for Milk Plants and Receiving Stations Being Listed Under the NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and/or the Fermented High-Acid, Shelf-Stable Processing and Packaging Program.

1.) Inspection Criteria

- A.) The NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program includes all low-acid aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" milk and/or milk products as defined in the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- B.) The NCIMS Retort Processed after Packaging Program includes all low-acid retort processed after packaging Grade "A" milk and/or milk products as defined in the *Grade* "A" PMO.
- C.) The NCIMS Fermented High-Acid, Shelf-Stable Processing and Packaging Program includes all Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products as defined in the Grade "A" PMO.

NOTE: Retort processed after packaging low-acid milk and/or milk products as addressed in the definition of Milk Products as cited in the *Grade "A" PMO* shall be considered to be Grade "A" milk and/or milk products if they are used as an ingredient to produce any milk and/or milk product defined in the definition of Milk Products as cited in the *Grade "A" PMO*; or if they are labeled as Grade "A" as described in Section 4. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

- D.) Regulatory Agency inspections of a milk plant or portion of a milk plant that is listed to produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processed and packaged Grade "A" milk and/or milk products shall be conducted in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* at least once every six (6) months. The milk plant's APPS, RPPS and/or AQFPSS, respectively, as defined by the *Grade "A" PMO*, shall be inspected by FDA, or a Regulatory Agency designated by FDA under the FDA LACF, in accordance with the applicable requirements of 21 CFR Parts 108, 113 and 117 at a frequency determined by FDA.
- E.) For milk plants or portions of milk plants that are listed to produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaged Grade "A" low-acid milk and/or milk products and/or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processed and packaged Grade "A" milk and/or milk

products, the APPS, RPPS and/or AQFPSS, respectively, as defined by the *Grade "A" PMO*, shall be exempt from Items 7p, 10p, 11p, 12p, 13p, 15p, 16p, 17p, 18p, and 19p of the *Grade "A" PMO*. These Items, which are dedicated only to the APPS or RPPS, respectively, shall comply with the applicable portions of 21 CFR Parts 108, 113 and 117. The rest of the milk plant, including the receiving area, shall be inspected in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* and rated and listed in accordance with the current NCIMS requirements. (Refer to Appendix S. Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and Retort Processed after Packaging Program of the *Grade "A" PMO*.)

- F.) When the APPS is utilized to produce aseptically processed and packaged Grade "A" milk and/or milk products and pasteurized and/or ultra-pasteurized Grade "A" milk and/or milk products, the APPS shall be inspected and tested by the Regulatory Agency in accordance with the requirements cited in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- G.) NCIMS HACCP listed aseptic, retort and/or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk plants shall be inspected/audited and regulated under the NCIMS voluntary HACCP Program with the exception of the APPS, RPPS or AQFPSS respectively, which shall be inspected and regulated under the NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program, Retort Processed after Packaging Program, and or Fermented High-Acid, Shelf-Stable Processing and Packaging Program respectively. Provided that FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND/OR PACKAGING PROGRAM AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products) and or FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS for Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products pH of 4.6 or below obtained by fermentation using live and active cultures shall also be completed and submitted.
- 2.) Criteria and Procedures for Denial or Withdrawal of a Listing In addition to the current NCIMS requirements for a listing, the identification of any critical listing element on FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products) or FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS for Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products pH of 4.6 or below obtained by fermentation using live and active cultures by a SRO or PHS/FDA Milk Specialist as not being in compliance, requires that a listing shall be immediately denied or withdrawn.

4. COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS

The criteria and procedures for actions following a HACCP listing audit are found in Section C., 2., c. of this document. Sanitation Compliance Ratings shall be made of dairy farms that are attached supplies of milk plants, receiving stations, or transfer stations listed under the HACCP listing procedure.

a. Rating results are transferred to FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS. This Form may be obtained from a PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or at the following FDA website: http://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/reportsmanualsforms/forms/default.htm.

b. The name of the milk plant and the total pounds of milk and/or milk products processed daily, expressed to the nearest 100 pound unit (cwt.), are entered in the first, "Name of Plant", and second, "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)", columns, respectively, of FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS.

For Example: 86,340 pounds processed per day shall result in an entry of 863 in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column.

If the milk plant's daily output varies, the recorded quantity is the daily average, based on actual operating days, for the week preceding the rating. Violations of Items or sub-items are indicated by an "X" or by inserting the point value of the violation in the appropriate column(s). When a deficiency in a milk plant affects one (1) type of packaging, capping, or individual pasteurization unit used, the number of pounds of all milk and/or milk products so packaged, capped or pasteurized are debited. In such cases, entries are made on separate lines below the name of the milk plant. The name or names of the milk and/or milk product(s) affected by the violation(s) of Items 16p, 18p, 19p, or bacterial, coliform or cooling temperature standards of the *Grade "A" PMO* is entered in the "Name of Plant" column, together with a parenthetic entry of the total volume in 100 pound units (cwt.) of the milk and/or milk product(s) involved. Care shall be taken not to enter this quantity in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column where it would again be included in the total pounds processed daily. (Refer to Section K. #s 14 and 15 for examples.)

c. For receiving and/or transfer stations operated by the milk plant and under the same routine supervision as the milk plant and shipping to the milk plant, the name of the station is entered in the "Name of Plant" column, together with a parenthetic entry of the hundredweight (cwt.) shipped daily. An entry is not made in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column.

If the pounds shipped daily by a receiving and/or transfer station(s) to the milk plant varies, the recorded quantity is the daily average, based on actual operating days, of the shipments for the week preceding the rating. Violations of Items or sub-items are indicated by an "X" or by inserting the point value of the violation in the appropriate column(s).

To facilitate the rating computations, receiving station's and/or transfer station's entries follow the entries for the milk plant. If the rating of the receiving station and/or transfer station is equal to, or greater than, that of the milk plant, or equal to ninety percent (90%) or greater, the milk plant rating is considered as being inclusive of the receiving station's and/or transfer station's violation(s); therefore, an entry is not made in the "Total Debits" column, for the receiving and/or transfer station(s). However, if the receiving station's and/or transfer station's rating is less than ninety percent (90%) and lower than the milk plant's rating, it is subtracted from the rating of the milk plant, which it supplies, and the difference is entered in the "Total Debits" column. This difference is then multiplied by the number of pounds of milk shipped daily by the receiving and/or transfer station to the milk plant and entered in the "Pounds Processed Daily X Total Debits" column. (Refer to Section K. #15 for an example.)

d. The computation procedure for a milk plant is similar to that for dairy farms, except that a modified procedure is necessary in computing debits for violations involving only one (1) type of packaging, capping or individual pasteurization unit used; or individual product(s) violating

the bacterial, coliform or cooling temperature standards; and for violations involving receiving or transfer stations. The latter is explained in the preceding paragraph. For such violations, the entry in the "Total Debits" column is multiplied by the actual number of pounds of product involved, as entered parenthetically in the "Name of Plant" column, rather than by the plant's entire production from the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column. This figure is entered in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column.

The formula for determining the Sanitation Compliance Rating for the milk plant is as follows:

Rating = 100 - (The Sum of the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column) divided by (The Sum of the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column)

This rating figure is entered in the appropriate space in the upper right-hand corner of FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS. It is also entered on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1), in the appropriate location.

- e. The name(s) of the BTU(s), receiving station(s) and/or transfer station(s) shipping milk to the milk plant, which are separately rated and listed, are also entered in the "Name of Plant" column, below the name of the plant but the quantity of milk supplied daily is entered parenthetically in the same manner as for locally supervised receiving and/or transfer stations. The poundage is not recorded in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)" column, since this quantity is already accounted for in the milk plant figures. If the rating for the receiving station(s) and/or transfer station(s) is equal to, or greater than, that of the milk plant, the plant rating is considered as being inclusive of the receiving station's and/or transfer station's violations; therefore, no entry is made in the "Total Debits" column. However, if the receiving station's and/or transfer station's rating(s) is less than ninety percent (90%) and lower than that of the milk plant, the difference is entered in the "Total Debits" column. For the station(s), this difference is then multiplied by the number of pounds of milk shipped daily by the receiving station(s) and/or transfer station(s) to the milk plant and entered in the "Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits" column.
- f. If, upon receipt, one (1) or more shipper(s) of unattached raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging violates the bacterial and/or cooling temperature standards, the violations are debited against the rating of the receiving station(s) and/or transfer station(s) shipping the milk, prior to combining the ratings in accordance with the methods described above.

D. CERTIFICATION/LISTING METHODS FOR SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS

The State Rating Agency shall certify U.S. manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products based on compliance with Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and in accordance with the *MMSR* for inclusion on the *IMS List*.

A TPC's SRO or a SSC shall certify foreign manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products based on compliance with Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and in accordance with the *MMSR* for inclusion on the *IMS List*.

1. COLLECTION OF DATA

Data from which certifications for U.S. manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products are determined shall be obtained by State Rating Agency SROs from the records on file with the Regulatory Agency and from the evaluation of sanitary practices and facilities at the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer.

Data from which certifications for foreign manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products are determined shall be obtained by a TPC's SRO or a SSC from the records on file with the Regulatory Agency, SSC or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer, respectively, and from the evaluation of sanitary practices and facilities at the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer.

a. Recording of Inspection Data

- 1.) During a certification, inspection data are recorded on FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), the Items of which correspond to the Items of sanitation in Appendix J. of the Grade "A" PMO.
- 2.) Sanitary conditions are evaluated in terms of the requirements of Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Professional judgment alone shall dictate whether an observed deficiency is representative of significant day-to-day sanitary conditions or is an anomaly. When significant violations of any given requirement are noted, the corresponding Item(s) or sub-item(s) on the individual FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (*Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*) are marked with an "X". Each sub-item found in violation should be carefully considered before marking with an "X", as this affects the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating.

b. Recording of Laboratory and Other Test Data

1.) As applicable, records from the Regulatory Agency, SSC and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers are used in determining compliance with bacterial, coliform and chemical, as applicable, requirements. The acceptance of data from Official and/or Officially Designated Laboratories is contingent upon the utilization of standard procedures by the laboratories concerned. Accordingly, it is necessary for the SRO to determine from the official Milk Laboratory Control Agency or for the SSC that certified the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer that both sampling and laboratory procedures have been approved in accordance with the methods of the current edition of the *EML*. Certifications shall not be conducted when an approved laboratory has not been utilized by the Regulatory Agency, SSC or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers, as applicable, for the necessary tests.

2.) Compliance with bacterial and coliform requirements is based on whether, at the time of the certification, a single-service manufacturer's containers and/or closures meet the standards of Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO*. Each manufacturing line of containers and/or closures for each of the above applicable requirements, shall be debited if two (2) of the last four (4) sample set results exceed the limit(s), and the last sample set result is in violation. A debit shall be given when less than the required number of sample sets has been examined during the preceding six (6) months. For certification purposes, the preceding six (6) months is considered to be the elapsed period for the month in which the certification is made and the preceding six (6) months. Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers which have had a permit, if applicable, for less than six (6) months at the time of the certification or which do not operate on a year round basis and for which the Regulatory Agency, SSC and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer, as applicable, has not yet examined the required number of sample sets shall not be debited. Provided, that the last sample set result is within the limit(s).

2. COMPUTATION OF SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATINGS

Sanitation Compliance Ratings shall be made of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturers.

a. Certification results are transferred to FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (*Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*). This Form may be obtained from a PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or at the following FDA website:

http://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/reportsmanualsforms/ forms/default.htm.

b. The identity of each single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer is entered in the first column, "Name of Plant" on FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products).

Violations of Items are indicated by an "X" or by inserting the point value of the violation in the appropriate column(s). The sum of the weights of all Items found violated at the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer is entered in the "Total Debits" column. (Refer to Section K. #25, for an example.)

c. The Sanitation Compliance Rating is Derived from the Following Formula:

Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - (The Sum of the "Total Debits")

This Sanitation Compliance Rating is entered in the appropriate space in the upper right-hand corner of FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (*Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*). (Refer to Section K. #25, for an example.)

E. COMPUTATION OF ENFORCEMENT RATINGS

For all NCIMS HACCP listings, including aseptic, retort and/or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk plants, complete FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT. (Refer to Section K. #19 for an example.) Enforcement Ratings shall be made for dairy farms that are listed with milk plants, receiving stations, or transfer stations that are listed under the NCIMS voluntary HACCP listing procedure. These Enforcement Ratings shall be made using the procedures for raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processed and packaging, retort processed after packaging and fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging addressed in 2. of this Section.

1. PURPOSE

- a. FORM FDA 2359j consists of five (5) parts: SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING is on Page 1, SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS is on Page 2, SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES is on Page 3, SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS is on Page 4 and SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS is on Page 5. (Refer to Section J. #s 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 for an example of this Form.) This Form provides a means of measuring the degree to which the enforcement provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO* are being applied by the Regulatory Agency. It serves to delineate specific areas where a milk sanitation program needs strengthening. The rating method provides for separate appraisals of these provisions as they are applied to dairy farms, milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations. In some cases, the Enforcement Rating is derived by combining these appraisals with an appraisal of other regulatory actions for which the Regulatory Agency is responsible.
- b. Appraisal of Items is based on the SROs observations made during the rating and their review of the Regulatory Agency's records for the lesser of the following periods:
 - 1.) The period since the last rating, but not less than six (6) months; or
 - 2.) The two (2) years preceding the date of the current rating.
- c. Enforcement Rating scores shall be computed utilizing the GUIDELINES FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT RATINGS, contained in Appendix A. of this document.
- d. The Enforcement Rating applies directly to the individual Regulatory Agency; therefore, there are no provisions for combining the Enforcement Ratings of two (2) or more Regulatory Agencies. Enforcement Ratings shall be made in accordance with the procedures in the following Sections.
- e. For rating purposes, to determine if inspections have been made at the required frequency, the interval shall include the designated period, plus the remaining days of the month in which the inspection is due.

2. <u>RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING ONLY</u>

- a. When an individual shipper offers for sale only raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging directly from dairy farms, known as a BTU, and there are not any milk plant(s), receiving and/or transfer station(s) involved, all Items in Part I-DAIRY FARMS, FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) shall be evaluated. The total of the credit column of Part I shall be the Enforcement Rating and shall be recorded on Page 1 of this Form, in the appropriate location. (Refer to Section K. #s 1, 9 and 11 for examples.)
- b. When an Item requires separate action on the part of the Regulatory Agency with respect to each dairy farm, compliance is prorated on the proportion of dairy farms included in the rating for which official records show the Item to have been satisfied.
- c. When an Item requires an action by the Regulatory Agency that affects the entire program, quantitative estimates of compliance by the above-described procedure are not applicable. These Items have the "Percent Complying" column blocked out and the full weight of the Item is debited or credited, depending upon whether the milk sanitation program is satisfying the pertinent provisions of the *Grade* "A" PMO. In appraising these Items, the SRO's judgment should be based on the attainment of objectives toward which the provisions of the appropriate Sections are directed and not on occasional circumstances or insignificant deviations in procedure. (Refer to Section K. #s 5, 9 and 11 for examples.)
- d. For rating purposes, to determine if tests have been made at the required frequency, the interval shall include the designated period, plus the remaining days of the month in which the test(s) is due.
- e. For dairy farms inspected under the provisions of Appendix P. of the *Grade "A" PMO*, the following rating criteria applies:
 - 1.) At each three (3) month categorization during the rating period, the previous twelve (12) month dairy farm records were used to determine the proper categorization of individual dairy farms into twelve (12), six (6), four (4) and three (3) month inspection intervals.
 - 2.) Dairy farms were re-categorized properly every three (3) months.
 - 3.) The due date for the next inspection is calculated from the date of the last routine inspection, unless, the due date was scheduled to occur before the re-categorization. However, the due date may be extended up to thirty (30) days after the re-categorization date for dairy farms assigned to a six (6), four (4) or three (3) month inspection frequency, if the due date was scheduled to occur before the re-categorization date.

3. RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION

a. When an individual shipper offers for sale raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid,

shelf-stable processing and packaging, which is shipped from a receiving station or transfer station, with one (1) or more dairy farms rated with it, all Items in Part II-MILK PLANTS, except Numbers 5 and 7, and all Items on Part III-INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), shall be evaluated. When a receiving station and/or transfer station receives and trans-ships raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging from one (1) or more rated and listed BTUs and wishes a separate listing for its facilities, all Items in Part II, except Numbers 5 and 7, and all Items in Part III, except Number 1 shall be evaluated. The procedures outlined in E., 3., b and E., 4., b.3.), 4.) and 5.) shall be followed in computing the Enforcement Rating of the receiving station and/or transfer station.

b. The total weight, which can be earned in Part II, is seventy-five (75). Therefore, the sum of the total credits earned in Part II should be divided by seventy-five (75) and multiplied by 100.

For Example: Assume that the addition of all credits, omitting Numbers 5 and 7 under Part II, equals 67.7. Then 67.7 divided by seventy-five (75), multiplied by 100 equals 90.3 percent. Fractions of 0.5 or higher are increased to the next whole number and fractions of less than 0.5 are dropped. Under these rules, the 90.3 percent would equal ninety percent (90%). The sums of the credits in Parts I and II are transferred to Part III. The sum of the credits in Part III shall be the Enforcement Rating of the Regulatory Agency. (Refer to Section K. #5 for an example.)

b. When an Item requires separate action on the part of the Regulatory Agency with respect to each receiving station or transfer station, compliance is based on the proportion of receiving stations or transfer stations that are included in the rating for which local records show the Item to have been satisfied. If an Item requires more than one (1) test or determination, i.e., Part II, Numbers 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, and 10, then compliance is also based on the proportion of tests or determinations, which according to the Regulatory Agency's records, were made at the required frequency.

For Example: If only six (6) of the required eight (8) inspections were made in the past two (2) years, the compliance would be 6/8 or seventy-five percent (75%).

d. When an Item requires an action by the Regulatory Agency, which affects the entire control program, quantitative estimates of compliance by the procedure described in the preceding paragraph are not applicable. These Items have the "Percent Complying" column blocked out and the full weight of the Item is debited or credited, depending upon whether the program being rated is satisfying the pertinent provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO*. In appraising these Items, the SROs judgment should be based on the attainment of objectives toward which the milk sanitation regulations are directed and not on occasional circumstances or insignificant deviations in procedure.

4. MILK PLANTS

a. For NCIMS aseptic milk plants, retort milk plants and fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk plants, all Items in Part II-MILK PLANTS, except Number 5, and all Items on Part III-

INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), shall be evaluated. The total weight, which can be earned in Part II, is eighty-five (85). Therefore, the sum of the total credits earned in Part II shall be divided by eighty-five (85) and multiplied by 100.

b. Milk Plant with an Unattached Supply of Raw Milk

1.) When an individual shipper of pasteurized milk and/or milk products imports all raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging from outside the jurisdiction of the Regulatory Agency in which the milk plant is located, only Parts II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), shall be evaluated. If an Item requires more than one (1) test or determination, i.e., Part II, Numbers 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, then compliance is also based on the proportion of tests or determinations, which according to the Regulatory Agency's records, were made at the required frequency.

For Example: For an Enforcement Rating, all required tests shall be performed on each individual pasteurizer used to receive credit. Compliance is determined by multiplying the number of pasteurizers (units) by the number of three (3) month periods (quarters) in the rating period. If a milk plant with four (4) pasteurizers is rated over a two (2) year span and one (1) pasteurizer is not completely tested during one (1) quarter, then compliance is calculated as follows:

4 X 8 = 32 Unit (Quarters), Less One (1) Non-Complying Quarter = 31/32 X 15 = 14.5 Credits

For rating purposes, to determine if the required tests have been performed at the required frequency, the interval shall include the designated period plus the remaining days of the month in which the test(s) is due.

- 2.) When an Item requires an action by the Regulatory Agency, which affects the entire control program, quantitative estimates of compliance by the procedure described in the preceding paragraph are not applicable. These Items have the "Percent Complying" column of the schedule blocked out, and the full weight of the Item is debited or credited, depending upon whether the program being rated is satisfying the pertinent provision of the *Grade "A" PMO*. In appraising these Items, the SROs judgment should be based on the attainment of objectives toward which the milk sanitation regulations are directed and not on occasional circumstances or insignificant deviations in procedure.
- 3.) The utilization of milk from a separately rated source, which has a Sanitation Compliance Rating, which is not equal to ninety percent (90%) or greater, or is from an unlisted source, would initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the *IMS List*.
- 4.) The utilization of milk from a separately rated source, which has an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) for longer than six (6) months, or which has been re-rated and received an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) following a rating with an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), is considered a violation of

Section 11. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and would initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the IMS list.

5.) When computing Part III, there shall be zero (0) credit in Item 1. It will be necessary to increase the weight for Item 2 to .94 to negate the zero (0) credit in Item 1. (Refer to Section K. #2 for an example.)

<u>For Example</u>: Total credit in Part II is 88.7 and Item 3 has a credit of 4.8 in Part III, the calculations shall be as follows:

$$(88.7 \text{ X} .94) = 83.4 + 4.8 = 88.2 = 88\%$$
 Enforcement Rating

- c. Milk Plant with an Attached Supply of Raw Milk
 - 1.) When an individual shipper of pasteurized milk and/or milk products receives raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging from an attached supply(ies) within the jurisdiction of the Regulatory Agency in which the milk plant is located, Parts I, II, and III, on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) shall be evaluated. If raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging is received from both attached and unattached supplies, only those sources from attached supplies shall be evaluated in Part I. If an Item requires more than one (1) test or determination, i.e., Part II, Numbers 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, then compliance is also based on the proportion of tests or determinations, which according to the Regulatory Agency's records, were made at the required frequency.

For Example: For an Enforcement Rating of a milk plant, if only eight (8) of the required ten (10) individual milk products had been sampled at the required frequency during the preceding required time period, the compliance would be 8/10 or eighty percent (80%) under Part II, Number 7.

- 2.) When an Item requires an action by the Regulatory Agency, which affects the entire control program, quantitative estimates of compliance by the procedure described in the preceding paragraph are not applicable. These Items have the "Percent Complying" column blocked out and the full weight of the Item is debited or credited, depending upon whether the program being rated is satisfying the pertinent provisions of the *Grade "A" PMO*. In appraising these Items, the SROs judgment should be based on the attainment of objectives toward which the milk sanitation regulations are directed and not on occasional circumstances or insignificant deviations in procedure.
- 3.) The utilization of milk from a separately rated source, which has a Sanitation Compliance Rating, which is not equal to ninety percent (90%) or greater, or is from an unlisted source, would initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the *IMS List*.
- 4.) The utilization of milk from a separately rated source, which has an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) for longer than six (6) months, or which has been re-rated and received an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) following a rating with an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), is considered a

violation of Section 11. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and would initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the IMS list.

F. PREPARATION OF THE SRO'S REPORT FOR MILK SHIPPERS

1. PURPOSE

Ratings made by the methods described measure the degree to which the shipper and enforcement practices of a Regulatory Agency conform to the standards and procedures contained in the *Grade* "A" PMO. Space is provided on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1) for presenting a summary of rating results and recommendations of the SRO.

2. SUMMARY OF RATING RESULTS

Sanitation Compliance Ratings computed in accordance with procedures previously described and other data pertinent to the shipper are entered in the SUMMARY OF RATING RESULTS on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1). When the Sanitation Compliance Rating of raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging has been combined with the rating(s) of unattached supplies in accordance with the conditions and procedures found under H. PUBLICATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORTS", Sections 2., c., 2.) or 2., c., 3.) B.); the combined rating, rather than the rating of the attached supply is entered in the summary.

3. SUPPLEMENTARY NARRATIVE REPORT

In the course of conducting a rating and computing ratings, additional facts may become apparent, which if presented, would be of value to the Regulatory Agency in directing the milk sanitation program so as to be more effective. SROs are urged to prepare a supplementary narrative report of their rating findings. This report should include, but not be limited to, the following:

- a. A statement regarding the general status of the milk sanitation program, including both strengths and weaknesses.
- b. Discussion of needs for greater program emphasis as indicated by the compliance levels of sanitation Items and enforcement practices found during the rating.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SRO

A summary of the narrative report, including the specific measures recommended for program improvement, is entered on Page 1 of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1), under the heading "Recommendations of the Milk Sanitation Rating Officer". The full report should be discussed in detail with the appropriate officials of the Regulatory Agency. Such discussions

contribute to better understanding of the problems involved and provide the Regulatory Agency authorities an opportunity to discuss means of implementing the SROs recommendations. (Refer to Section K. #1 for an example.)

For all NCIMS HACCP listings, including aseptic, retort and/or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk plants, complete FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT, which includes an evaluation of the following: (Refer to Section K. #19 for an example.)

- a. Milk plant, receiving station or transfer station holds a valid permit;
- b. Milk plant, receiving station or transfer station audited by a HACCP trained Regulatory auditor at the minimum required frequency and follow-up conducted as required;
- c. Requirements interpreted in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* as indicated by past audits:
- d. Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not applicable to receiving stations, transfer stations, aseptic milk plants and retort milk plants);
- e. Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required;
- f. Samples of milk plant's milk and/or milk products collected at the required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made (Not applicable to receiving stations/ transfer stations);
- g. Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods;
- h. Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required; and
- i. Records systematically maintained and current.

G. PREPARATION OF THE SRO'S OR SSC'S REPORT FOR SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES MANUFACTURERS

1. PURPOSE

Certifications made by the methods described measure the degree to which the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer conforms to the standards and procedures contained in Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

2. SUMMARY OF CERTIFICATION RESULTS

The following FORM shall be provided in the summary report provided to the Regulatory Agency and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer, as applicable:

FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products) shall be used. Under "REMARKS" an explanation of the observations per debited Item shall be included. During the certification, additional facts may become apparent. These facts, if provided, would be valuable information to the Regulatory Agency and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer in directing the Regulatory Agency program and/or single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer to be utilized for improvement. Specific measures that give guidance on how improvements may be

made shall be included. The full report shall be discussed in detail with the appropriate officials of the Regulatory Agency and/or the appropriate personnel responsible for the management of the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer. These discussions will contribute to a better understanding of the problems present and provide an opportunity for communicating a means of implementing the SRO's or SSC's recommendations.

H. PUBLICATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT"

1. PURPOSE

- a. The *IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk Shippers* (*IMS List*) is an electronic publication of CFSAN's Milk Safety Team (HFS-316), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740-3835. This is a part of the activities of the PHS/FDA in cooperation with the Regulatory Agencies in the cooperative program for the certification of interstate milk shippers.
- b. Triplicate copies or PHS/FDA's electronic version (transmitted via computer) of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT shall be submitted by the SRO to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs for shippers who desire to be listed on the *IMS List*. (Refer to Section J. #s 8 and 9 for a copy of the Form.)

A signed copy of a written FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING shall accompany each triplicate set of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT, submitted to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs for publication on the *IMS List*. For the submission of PHS/FDA's electronic version, a signed copy of the written FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING shall be maintained on file by the Rating Agency for publication on the *IMS List* and shall be reviewed as part of the check rating and/or Regulatory/Rating Agency Program Evaluation. Once a shipper has been listed, all new ratings shall be submitted to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs even though the shipper has refused to sign a written FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING. Supporting sampling and laboratory certification reports, as specified in the *Procedures*, are also necessary for inclusion and retention of the shipper on the list. (Refer to Section J. #12 for a copy of the Form.)

The Sanitation Compliance Rating of a shipper is not published unless the written and signed FORM FDA 23590 - "PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S LISTING" of the shipper concerned has been obtained by the Rating Agency. Milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations shall achieve a Sanitation Compliance Rating of ninety percent (90%) or greater in order to be eligible for a listing on the *IMS List*. The Sanitation Compliance Rating for milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations will not be printed on the *IMS List*.

2. PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT"

a. Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization, Aseptic Processing and Packaging, Retort Processed after Packaging or Fermented High-Acid, Shelf-Stable Processing and Packaging.

This shipper is commonly referred to as a BTU. Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359k- STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING and Part I of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT. The earliest rating date shall be the date of the first day of the rating. (Refer to Section K. #s 16 and 17 for examples.)

NOTE: If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

b. Receiving Station or Transfer Station

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359k STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS, and Parts I, II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date shall be the date of the first day of the rating. When receiving and/or transfer stations wish a separate listing and receive raw milk for pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging from one (1) or more rated and listed BTUs for trans-shipment, the procedures to be followed shall be that of Section H. PUBLICATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT, 2., c.2) or 2., c.3).

NOTE: If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

c. Milk Plant

1.) Attached Supply Only: A milk plant with a single source of raw milk, both under the jurisdiction of the same Regulatory Agency.

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS, and Parts I, II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date shall be the date of the first day of the rating of the dairy farms (BTU) or milk plant, whichever is earliest in time.

NOTE: If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

2.) Attached Supply and Unattached Supplies: A milk plant with a source of raw milk under the jurisdiction of the same Regulatory Agency as the milk plant and one (1) or more sources of raw milk from other separate rated and listed sources.

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS, and Parts I, II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date and the Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating shall be computed by the following method:

All unattached supplies shall have a Sanitation Compliance Rating of ninety percent (90%) or greater. The Sanitation Compliance Rating of the attached supply shall be reported as the Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating for the milk plant. The earliest rating date shall be reported on FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. In addition, the name of each unattached shipper, during the thirty (30) days preceding the rating, along with the Sanitation Compliance Rating and Date of Rating of each shipper shall be listed on the reverse side of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. If milk is received from an unlisted source or from a source having a Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs shall be notified and the milk plant shall be immediately withdrawn from the *IMS List*.

NOTE: If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

3.) Unattached Supplies Only: A milk plant with one (1) or more sources of raw milk received from other rated and listed sources.

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS and Parts II and III of FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), the resultant data shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT. The earliest rating date and the Sanitation Compliance Rating shall be computed by one (1) of the following two (2) options:

NOTE: If the Enforcement Rating for the IMS Listed Shipper is less than ninety percent (<90%), then the IMS Listing is valid for a period not to exceed six (6) months and shall have an expiration date six (6) months from the earliest rating date. For example, the earliest rating date is 6/15/2015; therefore, the expiration date would be 12/14/2015.

- A.) Option 1: If all raw milk sources have a published, or submitted for publication, Sanitation Compliance Rating of ninety percent (90%) or greater and the milk plant desires to be listed with the milk plant rating date, the raw milk shall be reported as ninety percent (90%) or listed with an asterisk (*), which denotes all supplies are ninety percent (90%) or greater. This shall eliminate the need for frequent updating of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT by the Rating Agency. Certain precautions shall be taken to ensure that the raw supply remains at or above the required listed ninety percent (90%) Sanitation Compliance Rating. The name of each shipper of raw milk for the thirty (30) days preceding the rating shall be listed on the reverse side of FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT, along with their Sanitation Compliance Rating and the Expiration Rating Date. The milk plant shall be immediately withdrawn from the IMS List when milk is received from an unlisted source or from a source having a Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of less than ninety percent (90%). The appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs shall be immediately notified shall either of the above events occur.
- B.) Option 2: If the milk plant desires to be listed with the actual Sanitation Compliance Rating of the raw milk, a weighted average of all raw milk sources, the requirements of the preceding Option shall also apply except that:
 - (i) The earliest rating date of any of the raw milk sources or the milk plant, whichever is earliest in time, shall be shown as the earliest rating date on FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT.
 - (ii) The Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating shall be prorated on a weighted basis as follows:

<u>Supply Sanitation Compliance Rating X Percent of Supply = </u>

Unattached Supply #1: 95 X .20 = 19 Unattached Supply #2: 90 X .35 = 31.5 Unattached Supply #3: 92 X .45 = 41.4

$\frac{\text{Total} = 91.9}{\text{Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating}} = 92\%$

The SRO shall re-compute the Raw Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating whenever any of the raw milk sources is re-rated and a new FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT shall be submitted to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs.

<u>NOTE</u>: The acceptance of milk, which has a Sanitation Compliance Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), or is from an unlisted source, is a violation of the agreed upon provisions of Options 1 and 2 and shall initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the *IMS List*.

The utilization of milk from a separately rated source which has an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%) for longer than six (6) months, or which has been re-rated and received an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), following a rating with an Enforcement Rating of less than ninety percent (90%), is considered a violation of Section 11. of the *Grade "A" PMO* and shall initiate an immediate withdrawal of the shipper from the *IMS List*.

3 PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT" FOR HACCP LISTINGS

The provisions of this Section apply to milk plants, receiving stations, and transfer stations listed under the NCIMS voluntary HACCP listing procedure, except that:

- a. A statement regarding the acceptability, or unacceptability of the HACCP System shall be substituted on FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT for the Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Ratings; and
- b. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT and FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT shall be submitted to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs for quality assurance reviews with all FORM FDA 2359i's.
- 4 PREPARATION OF THE "INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT" FOR ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTINGS

The provisions of this Section apply to milk plants and receiving stations listed under the NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program, Retort Processed after Packaging Program, and/or Fermented High-Acid, Shelf-Stable Processing and Packaging Program listing procedure, except that FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products)

and/or FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE - CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS for Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products - pH of 4.6 or below obtained by fermentation using live and active cultures, respectively, shall be submitted with FORM FDA 2359i for each NCIMS aseptic milk plant and/or retort milk plant listing to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist or PHS/FDA MST for TPCs for quality assurance review.

I. PUBLICATION OF THE "REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)"

1. PURPOSE

a. Criteria for Listing Certified Single-Service Containers and/or Closures Manufacturers on the *IMS List*

The following criteria have been developed to allow Rating and/or Regulatory Agencies flexibility in evaluating and listing single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plants. Rating and/or Regulatory Agencies shall choose from the following list of criteria for listing certified single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers:

- 1.) Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate in conjunction with an IMS Listed milk plant may be listed for twenty-four (24) months, if the singleservice containers and/or closures manufacturing plant is inspected at least quarterly, using FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), and records of such inspections and all required tests are maintained by the Regulatory Agency. Provided that, single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate in conjunction with an IMS HACCP listed milk plant may be listed for twenty-four (24) months, if the singleservice containers and/or closures manufacturing plant is integrated into the milk plant's NCIMS HACCP system and if the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plant is inspected at the minimum milk plant audit frequency specified in Appendix K. of the Grade "A" PMO, using FORM FDA 2359c-MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk *Products*), and records of such inspections and all required tests are maintained by the Regulatory Agency. The permit for the milk plant shall also include the inspection of the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing areas.
- 2.) Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate in conjunction with an IMS listed milk plant and are not inspected at least quarterly and/or are not included under a permit system may be optionally listed for twelve (12) months.
- 3.) Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate as a separate entity may be listed for twenty-four (24) months, if the Regulatory Agency has a permit system and inspects the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plant using FORM FDA 2359c–MANUFACTURING PLANT INSPECTION REPORT (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products) at least quarterly. All testing of containers, closures and individual water supplies shall be under the direction of the Regulatory Agency and kept on file.

4.) Single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers that operate as a separate entity and are not inspected by Regulatory Agency personnel at least quarterly and/or do not have a permit system may be optionally listed for twelve (12) months.

NOTE: This criterion is the only option available for use by a SSC when certifying foreign manufacturers of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products.

- 5.) Certification of single-service containers and/or closures manufacturing plants may be valid for a period not to exceed one (1) or two (2) years from the earliest certification date, based on the criteria above. The expiration date is one (1) or two (2) years from the earliest certification date. In the case of a one (1) year certification with the earliest certification date of 6/15/2015, the expiration date would be 6/14/2016.
- b. Procedures for Certifying/Listing Single-Service Containers and/or Closures Manufacturers.

The following procedures shall be followed for certifying/listing single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers on the *IMS List*:

- 1.) For domestic firms, triplicate copies or PHS/FDA's electronic version (transmitted via computer) of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (*Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*) shall be submitted by the SRO to the appropriate PHS/FDA Milk Specialist for single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers who desire to be listed on the *IMS List*.
- 2.) For foreign firms, duplicate copies or PHS/FDA's electronic version (transmitted via computer) of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (*Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*) shall be submitted by the TPC or SSC conducting the certification to CFSAN's Milk Safety Team (HFS-316), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740-3835 for single-service containers and/or closures manufacturers who desire to be listed on the *IMS List*.
- 3.) The certified single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer is not listed on the *IMS List* unless the "PERMISSION TO PUBLISH" SECTION of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (*Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*) is signed by an officer of the firm authorizing the release.
 - A.) For the submission of PHS/FDA's electronic version, a signed copy of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products), including Section 12, shall be maintained on file by the Rating Agency and shall be reviewed as part of the single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer's listing audit and/or the Regulatory/Rating Agency Program Evaluation.
 - B.) For the submission of PHS/FDA's electronic version, a signed copy of FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (*Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*), including Section 12, shall be maintained on file by the SSC.
- 4.) The certified single-service containers and/or closures manufacturer may be listed on the *IMS List* as a "PARTIAL" listing. A "PARTIAL" listing shall mean that only specific

production rooms, or fabrication lines or machines have been evaluated in regard to specific containers and/or closures or specific size of containers and/or closures and conform to the specifications contained within Appendix J. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

2. PREPARATION OF THE "REPORT OF CERTIFICATION"

Following the computation of the Sanitation Compliance Rating on FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (*Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*), the resultant rating shall be transferred to FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (*Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products*). The earliest certification date shall be the date of the first day of the certification.

<u>NOTE</u>: Certification of single-service containers and/or closures for milk and/or milk products manufacturers conducted by SSCs may be valid for a period not to exceed one (1) year from the earliest certification date. The expiration date is one (1) year from the earliest certification date. For this one (1) year certification, with the earliest certification date of 6/15/2015, the expiration date would be 6/14/2016.

J. EXAMPLES OF RATING, NCIMS HACCP LISTING, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM, AND FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTING FORMS AND SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS CERTIFICATION/LISTING FORMS

The following pages contain examples of Forms used in IMS ratings/listing audits and check ratings/FDA audits. These Forms include:

1.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF
	THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)43
2.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)44
3.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C. EVALUATION
	OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3)
4.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)46
5.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5)47
6.	FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-
	PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT
	PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE
	PROCESSING AND PACKAGING48
7.	FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING
	MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS)50
	FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT51
9.	FORM FDA 2359i–INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (ELECTRONIC
	<i>SUBMISSION</i>)53
10.	FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION
	NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT54
11.	FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW
	REPORT56
12.	FORM FDA 2359o-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK
	SHIPPER's LISTING58
13.	FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM
	AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL
	LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or
	Milk Products)59
14.	FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE - CRITICAL LISTING
	ELEMENTS for Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products -
	pH of 4.6 or below obtained by fermentation using live and active
	cultures
15.	FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service
	Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)61

16. FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	62
NOTE : These FORMS may be obtained at the following FDA web site:	
http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/ReportsManualsForms/Forms/default.htm	

1. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING

MILK SAN	ITATION	RATING	REPORT
----------	---------	--------	--------

SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING

		As of	(5.4.1
GULATORY AGENCY (Snipper's ivarni	e and Address) MILK SANITARIAN	ORDINANCE	(Date)
GULATURT AGENCT	MILK SANITARIAN	ORDINANCE	NEFFECT
		Edition	Date Adopted
ATED BY (Name) (Title) (Agency)	DATE CERTIFIED BY PHS/FDA	RATING BASED ON	APPROVED LABORATORY (Name or #)
		Edition of the Pasteurized Milk Ordinance	Date
		Edition of the Fasteurized Wilk Ordinance	Date
	SUMMARY	OF RATING RESULTS	
Number of Dairy Farms		Sanitation Compliance Rating of Raw Milk for Pasteurization	
Number of Dairy Farms Inspected			
		Sanitation Compliance Rating of Milk Plant, Receiving Station or T	ransfer Station
Number of Milk Plants, Receiving Stations or Transfer Station	s		
Number of Milk Plants, Receiving Stations or Transfer Station	s Inspected	Enforcement Rating	
Total Pounds of Pasteurized Milk Produced Daily		Enforcement Rating	
		<u>'</u>	
	Recommendati	ions of the Rating Officer	

2. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2)

MI	LK	SANITATION RATING	RE	PC	OR'	Т							-	S	СТ	ON	В.	REPORT OF ENFORCEME	NT	ME	TH	1OD	s
	E O	RATING								-								ENFORCEMENT RATING					
1		DAIRY FARMS PART I								MILK PLANT PART II								INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER F	RATI	NG	Œ.		
ber	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Ħ,	<u> </u>	per	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	H.		ber	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	ber Complying	Percent Complying	Ti.	#
Number	Ordi		N	N.	Perc	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordi		N.	P	Perc	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordi		P.	Number (Perc	Weight	Credit
1	3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit				5		1	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid permit				5		1		Enter TOTAL OREDIT from PART Lunder Percent Complying				47	
2	5	All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P"				15		2	5	Mik plant and receiving station(s) inspected once every three (3) months, aseptic and retort milk plant		Т		15		2		Enter TOTAL CREDIT from PART II under Percent Complying				47 <i>J</i> 94	
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5				and transfer station(s) once every six (6) months	L					3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled				6	
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/ FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections				10	- 2	3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available		Ų.		5				TOTAL CRE	DIT, PA	RTIII	*		
5	8	TB & Brucellosis Certification on file as				10	3	4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections				10				INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEME	NT RA	NITA	GS	_	
6	7	required Water samples tested and reports on file as required				5		5	7 Appl	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for aseptic and retort milk plants.)				15		A:	SEPTI(UAL SHIPPER OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, I C PROCESSING AND PACKAGING OR RETORT PROCE TOUT MIIK Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Statio	SSED A				
7	-6	Milking time inspection program established				5	7	6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required				5			-E	valuate all Items PART I. and record.	n.				
8	6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made				10		7	6	Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made				10			-E	n Receiving Station(s) or Transfer Station(s): valuate all Items PART I. valuate all Items PART II., except Numbers 5 and valuate all Items PART III.	7. Divid	e by 7	5.		
9	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10	3	8	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	П	Ī		10		16		UAL SHIPPER OF PASTEURIZED MILK AND MILK PRO					
10	3, 5 6, 16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15		9	3, 5 6, 16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstallement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required		Ī		15			- Ev	ptic and Retort Milk Plants: With Unattached F valuate all Items PART II., Evaluate all Item ccept Number 5. Divide by 85. In Attached Raw Supply: With Unattached F Number 1.	s PAR	T II., 1			ght.
11		Records systematically maintained and current				10	- 19	10		Records systematically maintained and current	Т	t		10			-E	valuate all Items PART I. valuate all Items PART II., use 47 Weight.					
		TOTAL CREE	NT, P	ARTI						TOTAL CREE	IT, P	ARI	rii 🕨					valuate all Items PART III, use 4/ Weight.					
		REMARKS								REMARKS								REMARKS					

3. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3)

MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES

The calculations below address Items from Section B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS on Page 2 of this Form For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM SAMPLING PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 9 on Page 2 of this Form) The calculations below address Items from Section B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS on Page 2 of this Form For the Calculation of MILK PLANT SAMPLING PROCEDUR (Refer to PART II, ITEM 8 on Page 2 of this Form)													
		DAIRY FARM SAMPLING PR	OC	ED			s		MILK PLANT SAMPLING PE	ROC	ED		
LOCATION	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Credit
BTU/PLANT NUMBER	1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified				5		1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified			-	5
	2	Adequate training program provided				5		2	Adequate training program provided			1	5
INSPECTING AGENCY	3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated				10		3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated			1	0
	4	All samplers hold a valid permit				10		4	All samplers hold a valid permit	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed				30		5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed			3	0
DATE(S)	6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance				6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance			1	5		
	7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required		7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required	NVA	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	Records systematically maintained and current				10		8	Records systematically maintained and current		П	1	0
		t.				100						7	5
		T	OTAL C	REDI	T 🗦	Г			1	OTAL (REDI	+	
		REMARKS						Sa	OTE: Items 4 and 7 above are not applicable when calcul- mpling Procedures (Part II, Item 8 from Section B, 'Repo ethods'' on Page 2 of this Form).				
								mi	Iculation of the Score: Divide the TOTAL CREDIT by Ik plants, receiving stations (RS) and transfer stations (TF		-five (75)* for	
									hen mulliply by 100 to create a percentage. FINAL TOTAL CREDIT (Milk Plant, RS	or TR)	*		
									REMARKS				

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 3)

4. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4)

MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

TH	ne calculations below address Items fr	om	Sec	tion	B. R	POR	T OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS on Page	2 01	thi	s Fo	rm.	_
	DAIRY FARM ENFORCE PROCEDURES	ME					DAIRY FARM RECOR	RDS		For	m)	
Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Credit	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	Category I - Permit Issuance	1	Category I - Permit Records				25					
2	Category II - Permit Suspension	2	Category II - Inspection Records				25					
3	Category III - Permit Revocation	3	Category III - Laboratory Records				25					
4	Category IV - Permit Reinstatement	Category IV - Plan Review Files (Within Rating Period)				25						
5	Category V - Hearing/Court Action							100				
				10	0	┖	TO	TAL C	REDI	•		
╙	тот	AL CF	EDIT	*:				Comp	lying"	colum	n of	
		omply	/ing" c	olumn	of	F	JRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.					
4	REMARKS					ı	REMARKS					=
	Jumpel Number	For the Calculation DAIRY FARM ENFORCE PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of Item 1 Category I - Permit Issuance 2 Category II - Permit Suspension 3 Category III - Permit Revocation 4 Category IV - Permit Reinstalement 5 Category V - Hearing/Court Action TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART I, Item 10 "Percent County FORM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEME PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Item Item 1 Calegory I- Permit Issuance 2 Calegory II - Permit Suspension 3 Category III - Permit Revocation 4 Calegory IV - Permit Reinstalement 5 Calegory V - Hearing/Court Action TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART I, Item 10 "Percent Comply FORM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Formal Item Item	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form) Item Page 1 Calegory I- Permit Issuance Category II- Permit Revocation Category III- Permit Revocation Category IV- Permit Reinstatement Category V- Hearing/Court Action TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART I, Item 10 "Percent Complying" column FORM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form) Item Page Page	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form) Item Page Page	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form) Item Item	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form) Item Item	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form) Item Item	For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form) Item Item	DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on Page 2 of this Form) Item Item

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 4)

5. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5)

MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

SHIPPER	Th	e calculations below address Item	s from	Sec	tion	B. Ri	POR	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS on Page	2 of	this	For	m.
LOCATION		For the Calculation MILK PLANT ENFOR PROCEDURE Refer to PART II, ITEM 9 on Page 2	CEME S	ENT				For the Calculation MILK PLANT RECOR (Refer to PART II, ITEM 10 on Page 2	RDS		Forn	n)
PLANT NUMBER	Number	Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight					
	1	0	1	Category I - Permit Records			25	5				
INSPECTING AGENCY	2	0	2	Category II - Inspection/Equipment Records			28	5				
	13	0	3	Category III - Laboratory Records (Also Containers/Vitamin Volume Control)			25	5				
	4	Category V - Permit Reinstatement Category V - Hearing/Court Action	Category IV - Plan Review Files (Within Rating Period)	+		25	5					
DATE(S)	5	0	h				10	00				
			TOTAL C		_	0	Г	TO'	TAL C	REDIT	7	
	TO FO	TAL CREDIT to be entered into PART II, Item 9 "Pero RM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	cent Comply	ying" c	olumn	of		OTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART II, Item 10 "Percent ORM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.	Comp	lying"	column	of
	1	REMARKS						REMARKS				

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 5)

6. FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING

	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	
Shipper	Food and Drug Administration	
V. 8 - AC	STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTE	EURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING
	AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING	OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-
Date of Rating	STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING	Sanitation Compliance Rating ¹

																7	ITE	MS	01	s,	ANI	TAT	TIO	N												
	15	П			N C	lilkin onst	ıg Ba ructi	ım on			C	onstr	lkho ructi acilit	ion a	nd	Ī	Ī		Uten Equ	sils a	and int	Milki	ing	Drug Cher Cont	nical	Per- sonne	Н		ln	sects a	nd Rod	ents				
NAME OF DAIRY FARM	Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)ീ	Abnormal Milk	Somatic Cell Count*	Floors	Walls and Ceilings	Separate Stalls	Liahtina	Ventilation	Cleanliness	Cowyard	Floors	Walls and Ceilings	Lighting and Ventilation	Miscellaneous Requirements	Cleaning Facilities	Cleanliness	l gilet	Vvater Suppry	Cleaning	Sanitzation	Storage	Flanks, Udders and Teats	Protection from Contamination	Cleaners/Sanitizers, Drug Equipment and Drugs Labeled Handled and Stored	Labeled for Use, Stored to Preclude the Contamination of Milk or Product-Contact	Handwashing Facilities	Personnel Cleanliness Cooling Revisculated Cooling Water Safe/Drotected	Cooling, Recirculated Cooling Water Sater Protected Temperature-Recording Device when Required	Fly Breeding Minimized, Manure Packs Maintained	Milkhouse Openings Screened, Doors Tight, Milkhouse Free of Insects/Rodents	Approved Pesticides Used, Equipment and Utensils not Exposed to Contamination	Surroundings Neat and Clean, Free of Harborages and Breeding Areas	Bacterial Count or Drug Residue Analysis*	Total Debits ²	Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) 3 X Total Dabits 2	REMARKS
	ITEM		1	٥		2 C	_	- 1	3	4			5 C	n .		6 7	8	9	10	11	12	13		1:		16 1		18	4.0		9	OU				
	WEIGHT	5	5*	A 1			1	1 1	3	-	A I	1 2		D I	2 4	4	2 or	5 4	5	5	2	5		A-C 2 - (7	7) - 5	2 1	_	5)-1	AB 3	CD 2	EF 2	GH 2	10*			
1.																																				
2.																																				
3.		П					П						Т	Т	Т				Г				П													
4.														Т					Г																	
5.		П					\exists					T	T	T				Т	Г				T													
6.		П					T	П	П		\neg	T	T	T			Τ	Т	Г	Г		П	T			П		\neg								
7.		П					\neg	\sqcap			T	T	T	Т	T		Т	Т	Г	Г		\neg	\exists				Т	\neg								
8.													\top	\top									\Box													
9.		П					\dashv	\dashv			\top	\top	\top	\top	T		T	Т	Г	Г		\neg	\dashv					\neg								
10.		П					\dashv	\dashv			\top	\top	\top	\top	T		T	T	Т			\Box	\dashv					\neg								
11.		П	\Box		8 Y		\dashv	\exists			\top	\top	\top	T	Ť		T	T	T		П		\dashv					\neg								
12.		П					\neg	\forall			T		T	1				T	T				7													
13.		П			7 7		\dashv	\dashv	T		\top	\top	\top	\top	\top		T	T	Τ		П	\sqcap	\dashv			\sqcap	T	\neg								
14.		Н					\dashv	\dashv			\top	\top	\top	\top			1	T	T			\neg	\dashv			\sqcap	1	1								
15.		П					\dashv	\dashv			\top	\top	\top	\top	T	1	T	T	Τ		П	\dashv	\dashv			\sqcap	1	\dashv								
16.		H	\exists			\neg	\dashv	\forall	T	T	\top	†	†	†	Ť	T	T	T	T	T	П	\forall	7				T	\neg								
17.		H	\exists				\dashv	\forall	\forall	\top	\top	\top	\top	†	$^{+}$	T	†	T	T	Т	П	\dashv	\dashv			\Box	T	\dashv								
Total or Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% of Dairy Farms Violating		Н					7	\forall			\top	\top	+	+	1		1	Ť	T				7				\top									

	N, ASEPT	OR	RO FEF	CES	SIN	GA	ND	PAC	CKA	GIN	G, R	ETC																						AS OF			
PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH ACID SHELF- STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING" FOR 1 2 2 4 5 6 7 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 18 17 19																			45 OF	_																	
	ITEM																	19			%	n %.	REMARKS														
		L	- ·														-	\perp	+	+	_	_									CD			BACTI	Total Debits ²	#Sold Daily ² ,3	
	WEIGHT	-	5*	_	1	-	_	-	-	\rightarrow	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	\rightarrow	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$		5-(5)-1	3	2	2	2	10*	-		
Subtotal from Page 1	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0	0	Ō.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1)	0	0	0 (0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
18.		┡	L	L			Н	_	Ш	\dashv	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	+	4	4	4	+	_	╀	+	_	Ш	4				_	1				
19.		╙	L	_		┕	Ш	L	Щ	4	4	4	4	4	\perp	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	_	4	4	_	Щ	Ц				<u> </u>	_		<u> </u>	_	
20.		┖		L					Ш	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	_	4	1	4		4		┸	_	_	Ц										
21.		┖							Ц	_	4	4	4	4	1	4	_	4	4	4	4	\perp	\perp	┸	4		Ц	Ц									
22.		L	L				Ш	L	Ш	_	_	\perp	┙	_	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp	1	\perp	\perp		┸	\perp		Ш	Ц									
23.		L							Ш		_		_	_	1	_	_	_	1	1		\perp		\perp	_		Ш										
24.																																					
25.																																					
26.																																					
27.																																					
28.																																					
29.																																					
30.													П	Т																							
31.		Г		Г		Г				\exists		\Box	T	Т	T	T	T	Т	T	T	T	T		Т	\neg												
32.		Г								П	П		П	Т	Т	T	П	Т	Т	Т	T	Т		Т													
33.		Г		Г					П	\neg			╗	T	1		T	T	T	T		T		Т	\neg												
34.		Г		Г						П		\Box	T	Т	T	Т	T	Т	Т	Т	T	Т		Т	\neg												
35.		Г		Г					П		T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T		T	\neg		П										
36.													T	\neg				T	T	T																	
37.									П		1		┪	1		1		1	T	1		T		T													
38.		Г		Г					П	\dashv	T	\exists	ℸ	寸	\top	T	T	\top	T	T	T	\top		T	\neg												
39.									П		1		╛	1	1	T	\exists	\top	T	T		T		T													
40.									П				\dashv	1		1		T	T	\top		T															
Totals or Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (0	0	0	0 (0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% of Dairy Farms Violating		Г								\neg	\exists	\Box	\exists	T	1	T	T	T	T	T	1	T		T	\neg												

² Total Debits for each dairy farm is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an "X" under that Item.)

Footnotes: Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) X Total Debits

Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)

Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)

1 Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)

1 Used only when not in compliance.

COMMENTS

$7.\ FORM\ FDA\ 2359L\text{-}\ STATUS\ OF\ MILK\ PLANTS\ (\text{including drying and condensing milk}$

PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS)

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration

Milk Products Plant STATUS OF MILK PLANTS	
	on Compliance Rating ¹
ITEMS OF SANITATION	
Containers and Equipment Pasteurization Bottling Capping	
Pounds Processed Daily Pounds Processed Daily Lighting Vertiaton Separate Rooms Lighting Construction and Recording Thermometers Sanitary Piping Contamination Indicating and Recording Service Articles Regenerative Heating Temperature Recording Container Filling, Container Filling, Capping and Sealing Personnel Cleanliness, Protective Clothing Vehicles Surroundings Bacterial Count* Total Debits² Total Debits² Pounds Processed Daily	REMARKS REMARKS
ITEM 1 2 3 4a 4b 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12ab 12c-e 13 14 15ac 15b 16ab 16c 16d 17 18 19 20 21 22	
WEIGHT 1 1 2 1 1 3 3 4 2 3 3 3 5 5 3 2 3 5 4 15 3 10 4 5 5 1 1 2 5* 10*	

Footnotes:

TOTALS

FORM FDA 2359L (10/18)

Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 -

Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) ³ X Total Debits ²
Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) ³

² Total Debits for each milk plant, receiving station or transfer station are the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item or subitem violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an "X" under that Item.)

³ Total Pounds Processed Daily are calculated in 100# Units.

^{*}Used only when not in compliance. Pro-rated by product.

8. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEA			s	ı	INTE	RST	ATE	MILK	(SI	HIPPE	R's I	REPO	RT			3-A. COUNTRY				
1. NAME OF SHIPPER						2	. CITY							3.	STATE					
4. STREET				5.		PLA	NT or	BTU#			6.		PR	ODI	JCT COD	E #s				
									Т	\neg						\neg				
					7. S l	JRVE	Y DA	TA			_						ш			
	DAIRY	FARMS																		
		F RATING		ECEIVING NSFER S		N		MILI	K PL	ANT 1					ENFORG	EMENT	Г			
RATING (%)																				
DATE OF RATING																				
TOTAL NUMBER												IS THE	PPEN			10.711	FSP/P E SHIPF			
NUMBER INSPECTED												COMP THE P	ROVI	SION	/ITH	COMP THE F	PLIANCE	E WITH IONS OF		
VOLUME RECEIVE DAILY (Cwt)] -	YES		ои [YES	□ио		
RATING AGENCY ☐ SHD ☐ SDL	CERTIFIED	RATING OFFI	CER					ICER'S IRATION		RTIFICATI ATE	ON			EAR	LIEST R	ATING [DATE			
SDA TPC												МС	нтис		DA	ΑY	3.	YEAR		
OTHER																		Т		
AGENCY PROVIDING CONTI	NUOUS SUP	ERVISION OF	SUPPLY				1						E	XPIF	RATION R	RATING	DATE ²			
												MC	нти	\Box	DA	Υ	1	YEAR		
				9 1 4	ABOB	ATO	PV CC	NTRO					_				Ь			
APPROVED LABORATORY N	ILIMBER	EXPIRATION	DATE	_																
					PROC	ESSE	D MILK	TESTS	APF	PROVED			RA	AVV N	IILK TES	TS APP	ROVED)		
A B		A B		SPC	co	LI	PHOS	RBC	DI	RUG RES		COUN			SOMATIC LL COUN			RESIDUE ESTS		
				A	A	_		A				A	- 1							
DATE OF LAST TWO (2) COLUM	T CAMPI FO			B	_ B	B		B	_ B.	AND DAT	_	B		B			в			
DATE OF LAST TWO (2) SPLIT A A.				APPRO	JVED	WATE	R LAB	URATU	RYA	AND DAT	E	VVAIER	CIES	15 A	PPROVE	U				
В В.																				
9. PUBLICATIO	N (Written p	ermission froi	n a milk :	shipper s	shall b	e obt	ained .	by the i	Ratii	ing Agen	cy pri	or to the	publ	icati	on of a r	ating/li	sting.)			
LETTER OF PERMISSION TO	PUBLISH IS	TRANSMITTE	D WITH TH	HIS REPO	ORT?			YES			[Ои								
			10. SUB I	MISSIO	N OF I	REPO	RTB	Y RATI	ING	AGENC	Υ									
DATE OF REPORT		SUBMITT	ED BY (S.	ignature a	and Titi	le)														
				1	FOR F	DA U	JSE O	NLY												
Written permission from	shipper da	ated						on file	and	d publica	ation	of ratin	g/list	ing	recomn	nende	d.			
DATE		SIGNATU	IRE (FDA	Milk Spec	cialist)															
Submit separate Form for ear Expiration rating date is two (Enforcement Rating is <90, the date of 3/31/2014.	2) years after	the earliest ration on rating date is	ng date, i.e s six (6) m	e., earlies onths afte	t rating r the e	date i arliest	is 10/1/ rating	2013 wi date, i.e	ith a	correspon rliest ratin	ding e	expiration is 10/1/2	rating 2013 w	date	of 9/30/2 correspo	2015, ex inding e	cept if the contraction	he ı rating		

FORM FDA 2359I (10/18) FRONT (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

PSC Graphics (301) 443-1090 EF

11.MILK PLANTS: List below the Name and Address of all shippers of raw milk and milk products received during the thirty (30) days preceding the earliest rating date of the Rating; Sanitation Compliance Rating; and Expiration Rating Date. Plants receiving milk from an unlisted source(s), or source(s) with a Sanitation Compliance Rating below ninety (90), are not eligible for listing in the electronic publication, IMS LIST - SANITATION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT RATINGS OF INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPERS.

NAME OF SHIPPER (Include BTU or Plant #)	CITY AND STATE/COUNTRY	SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATING	EXPIRATION RATING DATE

INSTRUCTIONS:

Completed Forms shall be received by the Milk Safety Team (HFS-316) to be included in the IMS List. Additional explanation is offered for the following Items: Item 1: Name of Shipper – Limit shipper's name to not more than thirty-four (34) characters and spaces. If a receiving or transfer station is to be listed, please include "Receiving Station" or "Transfer Station" or "(TS)" or "(TS)" with the name of the shipper. Suggested abbreviations are published in the IMS List. Item 5: Plant or BTU # – When the IMS Number is less than five (5) digits, leave the left-hand square(s) blank.

Item 6: Product Code #'s - Enter Product Code #s starting in the first (left-hand) space. Product Code #s are listed below:

PRODUCT CODES:

- 1. Raw Milk for Pasteurization (May Include Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 2. Pasteurized Milk, Reduced Fat, Lowfat, or Skim
- 3. Heat-Treated (May Include Reduced Fat, Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 4. Pasteurized Half & Half, Coffee Cream, Creams
- 5. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Milk and Milk Products
- 6. Aseptic Milk and Milk Products (Including Flavored)
- 7. Cottage Cheese (Including Lowfat, Nonfat or Dry Curd)
- 8. Cultured or Acidified Milk and Milk Products
- 9. Yogurt (Including Lowfat or Skim)
- 10. Sour Cream Products (Acidified or Cultured)
- 11. Whey (Liquid)
- 12. Whey (Condensed)
- 13. Whey (Dry)
- 14. Modified Whey Products (Condensed or Dry)
- 15. Condensed Milk and Milk Products
- 16. Nonfat Dry Milk
- 17. Buttermilk (Condensed or Dry)
- 18. Eggnog
- 19. Lactose Reduced Milk and Milk Products
- 20. Low-Sodium Milk and Milk Products
- 21. Milk and Milk Products with Added Safe and Suitable Microbial Organisms (Such as Lactobacillus acidophilus)
- 22. Dry Milk and Milk Products
- 23. Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 24. Cholesterol Modified Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 25. Cholesterol Modified Fluid Milk Products

- 26. Cream (Condensed or Dry)
- 27. Blended Dry Products
- 28. Whey Cream
- 29. Whey Cream and Cream Blends
- 30. Grade "A" Lactose
- 31. Raw Goat Milk for Pasteurization
- 32. Pasteurized Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 33. Cultured Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 34. Condensed or Dry Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 35. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 36. Aseptic Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 37. Raw Sheep Milk for Pasteurization
- 38. Pasteurized Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 39. Cultured Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 40. Concentrated Raw Milk Products for Pasteurization
- 41. Concentrated Pasteurized Milk Products
- 42. Ultrafiltered (UF) Permeate from Milk
- 43. Ultrafiltered (UF) Permeate from Whey
- 44. Raw Water Buffalo Milk for Pasteurization
- 45. Pasteurized Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 46. Cultured Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 47. Raw Camel Milk for Pasteurization
- 48. Cultured Camel Milk and Milk Products
- 49. Cultured Camel Milk and Milk Products

FORM FDA 2359i (10/18) BACK (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

9. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION)

DEPARTMENT	OF HEALTH AN SERVICES	D HUMAN	INT	TERSTAT	E MILK	SHIPPE	R's RE	PORT			INTER	NAL USE OI	NLY:						
FOOD AND D	RUG ADMINIST	TRATION																	
1.NAME OF SHIPPER	?		2.CITY						3.STA	TE / COUNTR	Υ								
4.STREET			5.PLAN	IT or BTU#	:				6. PRODUCT CODE #s										
					7	. SURVE	γ ηΔΤ	Δ											
		DAIRY F	FARMS			· DOILVE	I DAI												
		TYPE OF	RATING)		EIVING OR	NO.	1	MILK P	LANT 1		ENFO	RCEMENT						
		O AREA O) INDIVI	IDUAL	TRANSF	ER STATIO	N2												
RATING (%)																			
DATE OF RATING																			
TOTAL NUMBER												PENDIX N	WHEN APP	P/PCs LICABLE, IS					
NUMBER INSPECTE	D										COMPLIA	E SHIPPER IN ANCE WITH TH VISIONS OF	E COMPLIANO	IPPER IN CE WITH THE SIONS OF					
VOLUME RECEIVED	DAILY(Cwt)										_	PENDIX N? YES NO		NDIX T? s NO					
RATING AGENCY	(ERTIFIED RATI	NG OFF	ICER				OFFICE	R'S CE	ERTIFICATIO	EARLIEST RATING DATE								
SHD SDL								EX	PIRATI	ION DATE									
SDA TPC																			
OTHER																			
AGENCY PROVIDING	CONTINUOUS SUP	ERVISON OF S	UPPLY									EXPIRATION	I RATING DATE	:2					
					8. LAE	BORATOR	RY COI	NTROL											
						PRO	OCESS	ED MIL	K TE	STS APPR	OVED	RAW MIL	K TESTS A	PPROVED					
APPROVED LABORATORY NUMBER	EXPIRATION DATE	E DATE OF LA	ST TWO	(2) SPLIT S	SAMPLES	SPC CO		l Ph	los	RBC	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS	VIABLE COUNTS	SOMATIC CELL COUNTS	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS					
A.	1	1		I	1														
В.	1	1		I	1														
C.	1	1		I															
D. E.	1	1		1	,			_											
	OVED WATER LABOR	RATORY		AP	PROVED	WATER LAB	ORATOR	RY DATE			WAT	ER TESTS APP	ROVED						
9. PUBLICATIO		nission fror	n a sh	ipper sh	all be fi	led at th	e Rati	ng Age	ncy p	orior to th	e publicat	ion of a rat	ng/listing.)					
			10). SUBM	ISSION	OF REPO	ORT BY	RATIN	IG A	GENCY									
DATE OF REPORT		SI	JBMITTE							TITLE									
				FOR	FDA RE	GIONAL	OFFIC	E USE	ONLY	1									
DATE		F	DA Milk S	Specialist															
1 Submit separate For	m for each milk plant.																		
	e is two (2) years after	the earliest ratir	ng date, i iest ratin	i.e., earliest g date, i.e.,	rating date earliest rat	is 10/1/2013 ing date is 1	3 with a c 0/1/2013	orrespond with a cor	ling exp respon	piration rating nding expiratio	date of 9/30/2 n rating date o	015, except if the f 3/31/2014.	Enforcement F	Rating is <90,					
FORM FDA 235	9i (10/18) (PRI	VIOUS EDI	TIONS	S ARE O	BSOLET	E)													

10. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT

Department of Health and Human Ser Food and Drug Administration	vices	MIL								NSFER STA	TION
DATE TYPE OF A	AUDIT										
	JLATORY*	REGU	LATORY				1007	LISTI	NG	☐ FDA	AUDIT OF LISTING
FIRM NAME			RMI			E IMS	PLANT N	10 .			
ADDRESS (Line 1)			•								
ADDRESS (Line 2)			CITY		ST	ATE/COL	UNTRY		ZIP CODE		
IMS LISTED PRODUCT(S) MANUFACTUR	ED AND REV	/IEWED	<u> </u>					Prere	equisite Pr	ogram(s) Issu	e Date(s)
Hazard Analysis Issue Date(s)	HAC	CCP Plan I	ssue Da	te(s	;)	71	_				
ITEMS MARKED <u>DID NOT</u> MEET THE NO	IMS HACCP	PROGRAM CRIT	TERIA D	ES	CRIE	BED BEL	OW Starr	red *	★ Items a	re Critical List	ing Elements
*NOTE: This regulatory NCIMS System Au permit if items marked on this audit report a 3 and 6, and Appendix K. for details.)											
Section 1 HAZARD ANALYSIS			Se	ctio	n 6	H	ACCP PLA	AN CC	RRECTIV	E ACTION	
A. Flow Diagram and Hazard Analysis con- group of milk or milk product processed.		en for each kind or] A.		Corrective a leviations o		n defin	ed in the HA	ACCP Plan were	followed when
☐ B. Written Hazard Analysis identifies all pol hazards and determines those that are r hazards within and outside the processi	easonably likely	to occur (including] B.			ned correctiv		ons defined	in the HACCP Pl	an ensure the cause
C. Written Hazard Analysis reassessed after processing methods/systems, distribution	er changes in rav	w materials, formulation	ns,] C			action taken ne HACCP I		oducts produ	uced during a dev	viation from CL(s)
☐ D. Written Hazard Analysis signed and date	ed as required.] D							segregated and
Section 2 HACCP PLAN A. Written HACCP Plan prepared for each	kind or group of	milk or milk product			c	orrective a	ction taken	to ensu			omed, AND and/or milk product
processed.**	Mila of group of	THIN OF THIN PIOUGO] E.	. (Cause of de	eviation was	s correc	eted.		
B. Written HACCP Plan implemented.	100 V] F.	. F	Reassessm	ent of HAC	CP Pla	n performed	d and modified ac	cordingly.
C. Written HACCP Plan identifies all milk o reasonably likely to occur.	rmilk product sa	fety hazards that are] G	i. (Corrective a	actions docu	umente	d.		
☐ D. Written HACCP Plan signed and dated a	as required.		Se	ctio	n 7	H	ACCP PLA	AN VE	RIFICATION	ON & VALIDAT	TION
Section 3 HACCP PLAN CRITICAL (CONTROL PO	INTS (CCP)] A.	. I	HACCP pla	n defines ve	erificati	on procedur	res, including free	quency.
A. HACCP Plan lists CCP(s) for each milk	or milk product s	afety hazard identifie	d 📗] B.	. 1	/erification	activities ar	re cond	lucted and c	omply with HAC	CP Plan.
as reasonably likely to occur. B. CCP(s) identified are adequate control n	neasures for the	milk or milk product] C	. F	Reassessm	ent of HAC	CP Pla	ın conducted	d annually, OR	
safety hazard(s) identified.	icusures for the	THIIK OF THIIK PRODUCT			_ ^	I. After ch	anges that o	could a	iffect the haz	zard analysis, O F	₹
C. Control measures associated with CCP(processing step identified.	s) listed are app	ropriate at the] 2					ion including raw ng methods/syste	materials and/or
Section 4 HACCP PLAN CRITICAL I	JMITS (CL)						d use or inte		500	ig moulous syste	ino, alouis daoir
☐ A. HACCP Plan lists critical limits for each	CCP.] D							ned as required and
☐ B. CL(s) are adequate to control the hazar	d identified.**				á	at the frequ	ency define	ed in the	e HACCP PI	lan.**	-
C. CL(s) are achievable with existing moni	toring instrument	ts or procedures.] E.						alues are within C) working days of	CL(s) the records being
D. CL(s) are met.			_			reated.	ou do roquii		iii oovoii (i)	, working days or	ale receive semig
Section 5 HACCP PLAN MONITORII		OD A MESSA I SOSS] F.					ewed as req	uired within seve	n (7) working days of
A. HACCP Plan defines monitoring proced frequency, whom, etc.)	ures for each CC	JP. (Wnat, how,		1 ^			being creat				ande defined:
☐ B. Monitoring procedures as defined in the	HACCP Plan fo	llowed.] G			records and an reviewed			-process testing i	esults defined in
C. Monitoring procedures as defined in the CL(s) at each CCP.	HACCP Plan ac	dequately measure] H	. F	Records rev	viewed as re	equired	l, including o	date and signatur	·e
D. Monitoring records data consistent with the audit.	the actual value	(s) observed during									
E. Monitoring records reviewed as required as required to the records being created.		ven (7) working									

FORM FDA 2359m (10/18) Page 1 PSC Graphics (301) 443-1090 EF

Milk Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Station - NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT ITEMS MARKED DID NOT MEET THE NCIMS HACCP PROGRAM CRITERIA DESCRIBED BELOW Starred ★ ★ Items are Critical Listing Elements Section 10 Section 8 HACCP SYSTEM RECORDS OTHER NCIMS REQUIREMENTS Required information included in the record, e.g., name/location of processor Incoming milk supply from NCIMS listed source(s) with sanitation scores and/or date/time of activity and/or signature/initials of person performing of 90 or better or acceptable HACCP Listing.*7 operation and/or identity of product/product code. Drug residue control program implemented.** B. П Processing/other information entered on record at time observed. Drug residue control program records complete. C. Records retained for 2 years. ___ D. Labeling compliance as required. D. Records relating to adequacy of equipment or processes retained for 2 years. □ E. Prevention of adulteration of milk products. E. HACCP records correct, complete and available for official review ___ F. Regulatory samples comply with standards. F Information on HACCP records not falsified.** П G. Requirements in Appendix T. are addressed. Pasteurization Equipment design and construction. H. Approved Laboratory Utilized - (if not, Rating not conducted) Section 9 HACCP SYSTEM PREREQUISITE PROGRAMS (PPs) I. Substantially compliant on the following items as outlined in Appendix T. П А. Required PP written, implemented, and in substantial compliance by firm. 1. Written Recall Plan; 1. Safety of the water that comes into contact with milk or milk contact surfaces (including steam and ice); Written Risk Based Supply-Chain Program; 3. Written Environmental Monitoring Program; and 2. Condition and cleanliness of equipment milk contact surfaces; 3. Prevention of cross contamination from unsanitary objects and/or 4. All other applicable requirements practices to milk and milk products, packaging material and other milk Holding and Distribution of Human Food By-Products for Use As Animal Food. contact surfaces, including utensils, gloves, outer garments, etc., and from raw product to processed product; Other items as noted 4. Maintenance of hand washing, hand sanitizing, and toilet facilities; HACCP SYSTEM TRAINING (Individuals trained according to Section 11 5. Protection of milk and milk product, milk packaging material, and milk Appendix K or alternatively have equivalent job experience.) contact surfaces from adulteration with lubricants, fuel, pesticides, cleaning compounds, sanitizing agents, condensate and other chemical, A. PPs developed by trained personnel. physical and biological contaminants; Hazard Analysis developed by trained personnel. 6. Proper labeling, storage, and use of toxic compounds. C. HACCP Plan developed by trained personnel. 7. Control of employee health conditions that could result in the microbiological contamination of milk and milk products, milk packaging □ D. HACCP Plan validation, modification or reassessment performed by trained materials, and milk contact surfaces; and 8. Pest exclusion from the milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station. HACCP Plan records review performed by trained individual. 9. Requirements in Appendix T. are addressed. Employees trained in monitoring operations. П В. Additional PP's required or justified by the hazard analysis are written and G. Employees trained in PP operations and food hygiene. implemented by firm. Πн Records that document training shall be established, maintained and retained at □ C. PP conditions and practices monitored as required. the milk plant for at least two (2) years after the date they are prepared. П D. PP monitoring performed at a frequency to ensure conformance. Section 12 HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT FOLLOW-UP ACTION Corrections performed in a timely manner when PP monitoring records reflect ___ A. Previous audit findings corrected. deficiencies or non-conformities. Previous audit findings remain corrected at time of this audit. PP audited by firm. П в. PP monitoring records adequately reflect conditions observed. STATE MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT issued and follow- up conducted as required PP signed and dated as required. (HACCP Listing Audits and FDA Audits only). Πр A series of observations that lead to a finding of a potential HACCP System failure that is likely to result in a compromise to milk or milk product safety.** Refer to attached Audit Discussion sheet(s) for details.

	NCIMS HACCP SY	STEM AUDIT REPORT	F DISCUSSION SHEET	
M NAME			DATE OF AUDIT	
EXPLANATION	ON OF DEVIATION/DE	FICIENCIES/NON-	CONFORMITIES THAT DID NO	T MEET
	THE NCIM	S HACCP PROGRA	AM CRITERIA	 '
	(Use additional shee	ts as necessary if entry	field is non-expandable.)	
	Regulatory Audits ar eficiencies and non-con		lines for corrections of all id stablished.	dentified

11. FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW REPORT

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration	NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULAT (To be included with all NCIMS HA	
REGULATORY AGENCY		DATE OF EVALUATION
FIRM NAME	LICENSE/PERMIT NO.	IMS PLANT NO.
ADDRESS		
OBLIGATIONS	RNS NOTED REGARDING REGUNDER THE NCIMS HACCP (see additional sheets if necessary.)	
A narrative description shall be provided as a retort milk plants with NCIMS HACCP Listings.		
Milk plant, receiving station or transfer sta	tion holds a valid permit.	
Milk plant, receiving station or transfer sta required frequency and follow-ups conduct		tory Agency auditor at the minimum
3. Requirements interpreted in accordance v	vith the Grade "A" PMO as indicated by p	ast audits.
Pasteurization equipment tested at required plants and retort milk plants.)	frequency. (Not applicable to receiving stat	cions, transfer stations, aseptic milk
5. Individual and cooling water samples teste	ed and reports on file as required.	
Samples of milk plant's milk and/or milk present examinations made. (Not applicable to reconstructions)	roducts collected at the required frequenc ceiving and transfer stations.)	by and all necessary laboratory
7. Sampling procedures approved by PHS/F	DA evaluation methods.	
8. Permit issuance, suspension, revocation,	reinstatement, hearings, and/or court acti	ions taken as required.
9. Records systematically maintained and cu	urrent.	

FORM FDA 2359n (10/13)

PSC Publishing Services (301) 443-6740 EF

12. FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION- INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING

	SSION FOR PUBLICATION state Milk Shipper's Listing
PER'S NAME	
ESS	
You are hereby advised that on (date[s]) HACCP Listing Audit was conducted with	
Producer Supply (BTU)	Transfer Station
Receiving Station	Milk Plant
Enforcement Rating (For all Ratings ar	nd for attached farm supplies of HACCP listings)
Shippers". The official Rating or HACCF the earliest rating/listing date, except if	or Compliance and Enforcement Ratings of Interstate Milk P Listing is valid for a period not to exceed two (2) years from the Enforcement Rating is less than 90 percent (< 90%), then eriod not to exceed six (6) months from the earliest rating date, erence on Interstate Milk Shipments.
Public	eation Permission Section
Permission is hereby granted to release a Regulatory Agencies and prospective pur	and publish the above-stated Rating or HACCP Listing for use by rchasers.
review this supply at any time during the above. <i>It is further understood</i> that we w	ersigned that the official Rating or HACCP Listing Agency may ne two (2)-year or six (6) month period, respectively, referred to vill notify the Rating or HACCP Listing Agency if any significant raw milk supply, milk plant, receiving station or transfer station
It is understood and agreed that the failu acceptable for listing, shall result in imm	ure to maintain the Rating or HACCP System at a level, which is ediate withdrawal of this listing.
products for processing into milk or mill station is listed, are from a non-listed so	ceiving stations or transfer stations, which receive milk or milk k products for which that milk plant, receiving station or transfer ource or a source having a Milk Sanitation Compliance Rating of mmediately withdrawn from the Interstate Milk Shipper's List.
SIGN AND RETURN TO	WITHIN FIVE (5)
SIGN AND RETURN TO DAYS OF RECEIPT.	(Name of Agency) WITHIN FIVE (5)
and against colors of annual to an again to the second to the	(Name of Agency)
DAYS OF RECEIPT.	(Name of Agency)

FORM FDA 23590 (10/13)

PSC Publishing Services (301) 443-6740 EF

13. FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products)

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration	NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PARTICLE AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AND PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING (Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retorn than 4.6).	FTER PACKAGING G ELEMENTS
	Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and Retor tings/HACCP Listings and FDA Check Ratings/HACCP	
MILK PLANT		DATE OF RATING
ADDRESS		LICENSE/PERMIT NO.
RATING AGENCY		
UNDER THE NCIMS ASE AND RETORT PR	NS NOTED REGARDING CRITICAL IS NOTED REGARDING AND PACKAGING OCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROJECT AND Sheets as necessary.)	NG PROGRAM
A narrative description shall be provided as a Processed after Packaging Program Ratings an evaluation of the following requirements:	a part of all NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packagin #HACCP Listings and FDA Check Ratings/HACCP A	ng Program and Retort udits. This report shall include
after packaging Grade "A" milk and/or m or Form FDA 2341a, respectively, or eq		Fusing Form FDA 2541c,
	cesses for all of its low-acid aseptic and/or retort pr veloped by a recognized Process Authority qualifie	
	eptic processing and packaging systems and/or ret son who has attended a school approved by the FI t)?	
4. Is the milk plant currently under an "Ord	der of Determination of Need" for an Emergency Pe	ermit?

14. FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE – CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS FOR GRADE "A" FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS - PH OF 4.6 OR BELOW OBTAINED BY FERMENTATION USING LIVE AND ACTIVE CULTURES

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE-CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS for Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products-pH of 4.6 or below obtained by fermentation using live and active cultures

			ts-pH of 4.6 or below obtained by ng live and active cultures	
	(To be included	with NCIMS State Ratings/HACCF FDA Check Ratings/Audits.)	Listings and	
Ν	MILK PLANT		DATE OF INSPECTION/RA	TING
Δ	NDDRESS		LICENSE/PERMIT NO.	
Б	RATING AGENCY			
	(Use	E NCIMS PROGRAM CO additional sheets as necessa	OMMITTEE ry.)	S
	A narrative description shall be provided as HACCP Listings and FDA Check Ratings/Au requirements:			
	Does the milk plant have an FDA Low-Ad Number?	cid Canned Foods (LACF) Foo	d Canning Establishment (FCE)	
	Are the milk plant's Grade "A" fermented using an Aseptic-Qualified filler and Proc 2541g (Food Process Filing for Low Acid	duct Sterilizer System (AQFPS		22
	Are the milk plant's process recommen and/or milk product(s) developed by knowledge of aseptic processes?			k
	Have the milk plant's process recommen milk product(s) been reviewed [with no o products?)r
	5. Are the milk plant's process recommendation Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable r			3
	6. Are the operators of the milk plant's asepticattended a school approved by the FDA (suc	qualified filler and product sterilize th as Better Process Control Scho	er under the supervision of a person who holool or recognized equivalent)?	has
	7. Is the milk plant currently under an "Order of suspension of food facility registration?	Determination of Need" for an em	nergency Permit for its LACF filing, or a	

FORM FDA 2359q (10/19)

15. FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service Containers

and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS

(SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS)

lant																														
ate of Certification							_																	San	itation	Con	nplia	nce	Ratir	ng¹
														ITI	EMS	OF S	SAN	ITAT	ION											
NAME OF PLANT		Floors	Walls and Ceilings	Doors and Windows	Lighting and Ventilation	Separate Rooms	Toilet/Facilities / Sewage Disposal	Water Supply	Handwashing Facilities	Plant Cleanliness	Lockers and Lunchrooms	Disposal of Wastes	Personnel / Practices	Drobastina Erom Contamination		Storage of Materials and Finished Product	Fabrication Equipment	Materials for Construction of	Containers and/or Closures	Waxes, Adhesives, Sealants,	Coating and Inks	Handling of Containers, Closures and Equipment	Wrapping and Shipping		identification and Records	Surroundings	Bacterial Count*	Coliform Count*	Total Debits ²	REMARKS
	ITEM	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13 a,b,c, f,g,i,k	13 d,e, h, j	14	15	16 a	16 b,c	17 a,b d,e	17 c	18	19	20 a,b,f	20 c,d,e,	21				
	WEIGHT	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	2	3	2	2	3	3	11	3	5	11	3	3	11	2	4	3	11	2	5	10		
	-																													
		9																												
	-																													
TOTALS		0																												
Footnotes: 1 Sani	tation Com	pliar	nce F	Ratin	g = 1	100 -	- Tot	al De	ebits					its for e													* Us	e onl	y whe	n not in compliance.

FORM FDA 2359e (11/15) EF

16. FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION FOR FDA USE ONLY 1 2 3 4 5																													
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (Fabric																			2	3	\dashv	4		5					
										Clo	osur	es fo	r Mi	lk an	id/oi	r M	Ailk Pr	oduc	ts)										
													_	DENT	TFIC	:AT	TION												
1. N.	AME	OF SI	NGLE-S	SERVIC	CE FA	BRIC	ATING	S PLAN	NT			2. CI	TY							3. ST.	ATE/CC	OUN	TRY						
4. ST	REE	T														5	5.	MFG.	COD	E NO			5.		ODI				
																1						F		UCT C	ODE	1		AL COD	
7. AG	ENCY	OR S	SC, AS A	APPLIC	ABLE	E, PRO	VIDII	NG RO	UTINE	INSF	PECT	ION				L	56	57		58	59			60			51		62
				1					1 -							١.	PRODU 1. Conf	tainers		(60)		1. M	etal	CODE		,			
7.a.F		NG/ Ation				E OF I	PLAN N	Т	7.0	I. EXP	PIRAT	ION E	DATE	•		- 1	ClosOthe		lucts				aper (lastic	Includ	les I	amina	tes)		
PERS																4	 Cont Cont 	tainers	and					nd pa					
□S	HD.	□Oth	er						M	ЭМТН	+	DAY		YEAR	1		prod	ucts			(6. Pa	aper a	and pl	astic	:			
□ SHD □ Other □ SDA □ TPC COMPLIANCE RATING 67 68										69	9 7	70	72	72	4	prod	Closures and other products Real, paper and plastic S. Glass												
SI	DL	SS	С		Lini								7. Containers, closures and 9. Rubber other products 10. Paper, metal, plastic and glass 11. Ceramic												S				
			igle-ser	vice ma	anufa		plant					d	SRC	OR	SSC	;													
not to exceed one (1) or two (2) years from the earliest certification date. The expiration date is one (1) or two (2) years from the earliest certification 9. CERTIFICATION RECOMMENDED 9a. LISTING TYPE																													
			ed one								1 for				YES			□ NO			ļ] FUL	L		PARTI	AL		
													ABO	RAI	ORY	C	ONTR	OL											
10. N	AME	AND .	ADDRE	SS (C	OR C	ODE)	OF A	APPRO	VED	LABC	DRAT	ORY																	
<u> </u>																													
	_	Τ.			_		_		-	-	-	Т	RES 13		(Pla		an "X u			debited 17	Ť								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	a,b,c, g,i,k	f, d,	,e,	14	15	16 a	16 b,c	a,b, d,e	17 c	18	19	20 a,b,f,	20 c,d,	1	BACTI	8	
													9.44		•					0,0							11		
			ļ	Ш			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				12.	PER	RMISS	SION	TC	D PUBL	ISH								<u> </u>			
		Perm	ission	is	here	ehv.	orar	nted	to re	leas	e a						bove-		d c	ertific	ation	for	IISE	hv	Re	edula	tory/F	ating	
			cies a							icus	nc u	iii j	pubi	11311	uic	u	DOVC	Juic	u	Crunc	auon	101	usc	. Uy	1	guiu	tor y/r	uung	
		ıt io ı	undon	otood	l on	d 00		d by	the u	ndor	roiar	od f	bot	the	offic	امند	I Ratir				000		annli	ioobl			roui ou	u and	
						_		•									the p	•		-						•			
	i	is fur	ther u	ınder	stoc	od th	nat f	ailure	to r	nain	tain	the	abo	ove (certi	fica	ation	will:	subje	ect th	nis pla	int t	to w	ithdr	awa	al fro	m the	: IMS	,
		Listin olant.	g. W	e wil	I no	tify t	he F	Rating	Age	ncy	or S	SSC,	as	appli	icab	le,	, of an	ıy siç	gnific	ant c	hange	es m	nade	in th	ne d	opera	tion (of this	İ
12.a.			PLANT																										
12.5	OFF	CED A	UTHOR	HTING	DEI	EASE						12) = =	IT! E															
12.0.	OFFI	CEK A	UIHUR	(IZING	KEL	EASE						12	2.C. 1	TITLE															
																	RATING				SC, AS	APF	PLICA	BLE					
13.a.	DATE	OF R	EPORT	- 1		REC		ENDE	CLAS	SSIFIC	CATIC)N 13	3.c. S	UBMI	ITTED	В	BY (Sign	ature	and '	Title)									
$ldsymbol{f eta}$							_	YES	□ N	0																			
40.5			/FD		45.			DN 05	D.4.T	0.55				R FD	_		ONLY	1											
14. D	ATÉ I	RECEI	/EÜ		15. F	PUBLI	CATI(ON OF	KATIN	G RE	COM	WENE	JED			YES	S _	NO	(If	"NO",	indicat	e wi	ny.)						
16. D	ATE 1	TRANS	MITTE	D	17. 9	SIGNA	TURE	E (FDA	Milk	Specia	alist)																		
FORM	FD	Δ 235	9d (12	7/17)																									

K. EXAMPLES OF HOW TO PROPERLY COMPLETE RATING, NCIMS HACCP LISTING, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM, AND FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM LISTING FORMS AND SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS MANUFACTURERS CERTIFICATION/LISTING FORMS

The following pages provide examples of Forms that have been completed to demonstrate how observations should be recorded and how the Forms should be completed. These include:

1.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF
	THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)66
2.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY)67
3.	FORM FDA 2359j- MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION C.
	EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY)
	(Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION
	B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II, Item 8)68
4.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5) (EXAMPLE: MILK
	PLANT ONLY) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING
	REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II,
	Items 9 and 10)69
5.	Items 9 and 10)
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU AND RECEIVING
	<i>STATION</i>)70
6.	STATION)
	EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM
	BTU AND RECEIVING STATION) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION
	RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2),
	Part I, Item 9 and Part II, Item 8)71
7.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:
	MULTIPLE FARM BTU) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION
	RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2),
	Part I, Items 10 and 11)
8.	J
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5) (EXAMPLE:
	RECEIVING STATION) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING
	REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II,
	Items 9 and 10)73
9.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: SINGLE FARM BTU)74

10.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:
	SINGLE FARM BTU) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING
	REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part I,
11.	Items 10 and 11)
	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU)
12.	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM
	ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4) (EXAMPLE:
	MULTIPLE FARM BTU) (Used to Complete FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION
	RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2),
	Part I, Items 10 and 11)
13.	FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION. ULTRA-
	PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT
	PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE
	PROCESSING AND PACKAGING (EXAMPLE)
14.	FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING
	MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: MILK
	PLANT) 80
15.	FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING
	MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: MILK
	PLANT WITH A RECEIVING AND TRANSFER STATION)
	FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT
17.	FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (EXAMPLE: ELECTRONIC
	SUBMISSION)84
18.	FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION
4.0	NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT
19.	FORM FDA 2359n-NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM REGULATORY AGENCY REVIEW
20	REPORT
20.	FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT (EXAMPLE: NCIMS HACCP
0.1	LISTING)
21.	FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK
22	SHIPPER'S LISTING (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT HACCP LISTING)
22.	FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION - INTERSTATE MILK
22	SHIPPER'S LISTING (EXAMPLE: BTU AND MILK PLANT RATING LISTING)
23.	FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM
	AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL
	LISTING ELEMENTS (<i>EXAMPLE</i> : Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk
24	and/or Milk Products)
<i>2</i> 4.	ELEMENTS FOR GRADE "A" FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK
	AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS - PH OF 4.6 OR BELOW OBTAINED BY FERMENTATION
	USING LIVE AND ACTIVE CULTURES94
25	FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF
∠J.	ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: ASEPTIC, RETORT AND/OR
	FERMENTED HIGH- ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK PLANT)96
	PERMILINIED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STADLE WILK PLANT J90

26.	FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service Containe	rs
	and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	97
	FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service	
	Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)	.98

1. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING (PAGE 1)

MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

SECTION A. REPORT OF THE MILK SANITATION RATING

Of A Brown Dairy						As o	t June 14, 2018
	(SI	hippers Name and	Address)				(Date)
REGULATORY AGENCY	10310	10 5%		MILK SANITARIAN		ORDINANCE IN E	FFECT
State Department of	f Health			M.I.Good		Edition 201	7 Date Adopted April 1, 2017
RATED BY (Name)	(Title)	(Agency)		ERTIFIED BY PHS/FDA	RATING BASED ON	1 300 1006	#63540
M.Milkrater S	SRO	State HD		June 17, 2017	2017 Edition of the Pasteurized Mill	(Ordinance	Date July 20, 2017

SUMMARY OF RATING RESULTS

		THE RESERVE	
Number of Dairy Farms	314	Sanitation Compliance Rating of Raw Milk for Pasteurization	91
Number of Dairy Farms Inspected	40		
Number of Milk Plants, Receiving Stations or Transfer Stations	1	Sanitation Compliance Rating of Milk Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Station	94
Number of Milk Plants, Receiving Stations or Transfer Stations Inspected	1		
Total Pounds of Pasteurized Milk Produced Daily	1,628,000	Enforcement Rating	92

Recommendations of the Rating Officer

The Sanitation Compliance Rating of the raw milk for pasteurization and the milk plant and the Enforcement Rating are approximately the same as reported for the previous rating. Although these scores meet the minimum requirements for participation in the IMS program, the observations made during this rating indicate the need to improve some areas of

the milk sanitation program. These include:

- 1. Attention should be directed to the Items of sanitation, which were found in violation at twenty-five percent (25%) or more of the dairy farms (Item #s 3,6,12 and 16).
- 2. In the milk plant, particular attention should be directed to the HTST pasteurization deficiencies (Item 16p(B) 2).
- 3. The Regulatory Agency should adhere more closely to the minimum required frequency for inspecting milk tank trucks.
- 4. Written notices of intent to suspend the permit should be issued when there are repeat violations.

NOTE: Two (2) new farm bulk milk storage tanks, manufactured after January 1, 2000, that were recently installed were not equipped with acceptable recording devices.

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 1) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

2. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT ONLY)

MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Milk Plant Only)

SHIPPER Clear Milk Dairy

DATE OF RATING June 12-13, 2018																ENFORCEMENT RATING 84							
	DAIRY FARMS									MILK PL						0		INDIVIDUAL SH			RATI	NG	
		PART	I							PART	'II							PAF	RT II	I			
Number	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Nimber	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit				5		1	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold valid permits				5	5	1		Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying				47	N/A
2	5	All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P"				15		2	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once everythree (3) months; aseptic and retort milk plant and transfer station(s) once every six (6) months	8	8	100	15	15	2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			84.5	47 /94	79.43
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5		3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5	5	3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled	5	4	80	6	4.8
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accord- ance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections				10		4	7	Requirements interpreted in accord- ance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	1	.8	80	10	8			TOTAL CREDIT	rain inverse				84.23
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10		5	7 App I	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for aseptic and retort milk plants.)	8	6	75	15	- 11.25		idual S	DIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORM hipper of Raw Milk for Pasteu and Packaging or Retort Prod	rization,	Ultra-Pa	steuriza	ion, Ase	eptic
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required				5		Individual and cooling water samples 8 6 75 3.75								Witho	ut Milk Plant, Receiving Statio	n or Tra					
7	5	Milking time inspection program established				5		Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary a laboratory examinations made								•	With - Eva - Eva	luate all Items Part I and reco Receiving Station(s) or Transf luate all Items Part I. luate all Items Part II., except	er Statio	505	7. Divide	by 75.	
8	6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made				10		8	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	.90	90	10	9.0		idual S Asep	luate all Items Part III. hipper of Pasteurized Milk an ic and Retort Milk Plants: luate all Items Part II., except			e bv 85.		
9	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10		Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, 3.5. and/or court actions taken as 9 6.16 required								With Attached Raw Supply: Evaluate all Items Part I. Evaluate all Items Part II., use 47 Weight. Evaluate all Items Part III.							
10	Permit issuance, suspension,							Records systematically maintained and current 1.75 75 10 7.5							With Unattached Raw Supplies: Evaluate all Items Part II., use 94 Weight. Evaluate all Items Part III., except Number 1.								
11		Records systematically maintained and current				10				TOTAL CREDIT, Par	t 11			84	.50			REM	ARKS				
		TOTAL CREDIT, Part	900					REMARKS															
4. Viol	ation	REMARKS n of Item 16b(2)(d) (15 pts) ex	Š.	but w	as not	mar	ked on	5. Two (2) water samples were missing (1/2017 and 7/2017). 7. No annual vitamin assay for fat free milk for CY 2017.									Records Evaluations on Page 69.						
		pection. On a previous inspe- was marked, but under rem		descr	ribed a	pac	kagina			to Section C. Evaluation of S				Pag	e 68.			Part III F	REMARK	(S			
violatio							18(b) (5			to Section E. Milk Plant Enfo	rceme	nt Actio	on and	Reco	ords	<u> </u>				525(30.0)			
properl	/ .	tests (6/21/2017 and 3/2/20	overe. nous				d	10.	Refe	ons on Page 69. er to Section E. Milk Plant Ent	orceme	ent Act	ion and			3. "	Grad	e A" only in yogurt ingre	dients	stateme	ent.		
EOR	FORM FDA 2359i (10/13) (PAGE 2) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)																						

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES

(Example: Milk Plant Only)

		(Example: Milk Pla	m (וווע	<i>y)</i>										
SHIPPER	7	The calculations below address Ite	ms	rom	Sec	tion	B. R	EPOI	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON PA	GE 2	of t	nis F	orn	n.	
Clear Milk Dairy		For the Calculation DAIRY FARM SAMPLING I (Refer to Part I, ITEM 9 on PAGE)	PRO	CE				N	For the Calculation FOR FOR THE PLANT SAMPLING FOR THE (Refer to Part II, ITEM 8 on PAGE)	PRO	CE				
LOCATION		Item							Item						
One Milk Road Cowtown, ST 00000	Number		Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number		Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	
BTU/PLANT NUMBER	1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified				5		1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified	2	2	100	5	5	
72-125	2	Adequate training program provided				5		2	Adequate training program provided	1	1	100	5	5	
INSPECTING AGENCY	3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated				10		3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated	2	2	100	10	10	
State Dept. of Health	4	All samplers hold a valid permit				10		4	All samplers hold a valid permit	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	
DATE(S)	5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed				30		5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed.	8	6	75	30	22.50	
June 12-13, 2018	6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance				15		6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance	6	6	100	15	15	
	7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required				15		7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	
_	8	Records systematically maintained and current				10		8	Records systematically maintained and current	10	10	100	10	10	
		то	TAL C	REDIT	→	100		75 TOTAL CREDIT → 67.5							
		REMARKS		a · 11		-		S	<u>IOTE</u> : Items 4 and 7 above are not applicable w ampling Procedures (Part II, Item 8 from Section inforcement Methods" on PAGE 2 of this Form)	n B, "R			k Pla	nt	
	Calculation of the Score for the Milk Plant: 67.50/75 X 100 = 90.00 = 90 Enforcement Methods" on PAGE 2 of this Form). Calculation of the Score: Divide the TOTAL CREDIT by seventy-five (for milk plants, receiving stations (RS) and transfer stations (TR). * Then multiply by 100 to create a percentage. FINAL TOTAL CREDIT (Milk Plant, RS or TR)										75)* 90				
									REMARKS	10.00 SECTION OF THE					
								sai pei eva 8-#	One (1) of two (2) State Regulatory Office imples at this plant, and one (1) of six (6 rsonnel, who samples incoming tankers aluated in the last two (2) years. Add the Number Inspected under #s 3 at the Number Inspected to enter in #8 (1)) milk , have and 5 f	plant not	rece been	iving	=2%.	

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 3) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

was available and reviewed at the milk plant.

(Category II-Inspection Records)

(Example: Milk Plant Only)

SHIPPER		The calculations below address It	ems i	rom	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON PA	GE	2 of	this	Forn	n.
Clear Milk Dairy		For the Calculat MILK PLANT ENFOF PROCEDURE (Refer to PART II, ITEM 9 on PA	RCE S	ME		Form	(For the Calculation MILK PLANT REC (Refer to Part II, ITEM 10 on PA	OR	DS	this	Fori	m)
One Milk Road Cowtown, ST 00000	Nimber	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Niimber	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
PLANT NUMBER	1	Category I-Permit Issuance	1			20	20	1	Category I-Permit Records	1	1	100	25	25
72-125	2	Category II-Permit Suspension	1	0	0	20	0	2	Category II-Inspection Records	1	0	0	25	0
INSPECTING AGENCY	3	Category III-Permit Revocation	1	1	100	20	20	3	Category III-Laboratory Records	1	1	100	25	25
State Dept. of Health	4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	1	1	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	1		100		
DATE(S) June 12-13, 2018	5	Category V-Hearing/Court Action	1	1	100	20	20							
						100							100	
		TOTAL	CRE	DIT	•	8	0		TOTAL	. CF	REDI	T •	•	75
	Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into 2 em 9 "Percent Complying" column o DA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.						Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into 1 cm 10 "Percent Complying" column DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2	of	T II,	(*)		
	2	REMARKS			777	Yer?							8	
		Permit was not suspended on 8/15/2017). (Category II-Perm	REMARKS 2. Last Inspection report (5/13/2018) was missing from the regulatory files; however,											

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 5) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

5. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: MULTIPLE FARM BTU AND RECEIVING STATION)

MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU and Receiving Station)

SHIPPER Clear Milk Coop (BTU)-RS

DATE OF RATING June 14 - 16, 2018

ENFORCEMENT RATING 91

E C	F RATING June 14	- 16,	201	18													ENFORCEMEN	41 F	₹A∏	NG S	<i>1</i> 1		
	DAIRY FA	ARN	IS						MILK PL	AN1	Ī					IN	IDIVIDUAL SHI	PP	ER	RATI	NG		
	PART	ГΙ							PART	'II							PART	ΓIII					
Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	
3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit	25	25	100	5	5	1	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid permits				5	5	1		Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying			90.41	47	42.49	
5	All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P"	25	20	80	15	12	2	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once every three (3) months; aseptic and retort milk plant and transfer station(s) once every six (6) months	8	6	75	15	11.25	2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			90.67	47 /94	42.61	
5	Inspection sheet posted or available	25	25	100	5	5	3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5	5	3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled	1	1	100	6	6	
7	Requirements interpreted in accord- ance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	25	20	80	10	8	4	7	Requirements interpreted in accord- ance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	1	.9	90	10	9			TOTAL CREDIT,	PAR	T III			91.1	
8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10	10	5	7 App 1	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for aseptic and retort milk plants.)	NA	NA	NA	15	NA	INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS ndividual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization, Aseptic Processing and Packaging or Retort Processed after Packaging:								
7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required	25	25	100	5	5	6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required	8	6	75	5	3.75	• V	 Without Milk Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Station: 							
5	Milking time inspection program established				5	5	7	6	Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary aboratory examinations made	NA	NA	NA	10	NA	• \ - -	Vith R Eval Eval	eceiving Station(s) or Transfe uate all Items Part I. uate all Items Part II., except I	r Stat	8.2		de by	75.	
	from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all	25	20	80	10	8	8 6 Sampling procedures approved by App B PHS/FDA evaluation methods 1 .90 90 10 9.1							9.0	ndivid • #	ual Sl Isepti Evali	nipper of Pasteurized Milk and c and Retort Milk Plants: uate all Items Part II., except I				5.		
		1	.791	79.1	10	7.91	9	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	1	1	100	15	15	With Attached Raw Supply: - Evaluate all Items Part I Evaluate all Items Part II. use 47 Weight.								
	and/or court actions taken as	1	.98	98	15	14.7	10		Records systematically maintained and current	1	1	100	10	10	With Unattached Raw Supplies: - Evaluate all Items Part II., use 94 Weight Evaluate all Items Part III., except Number 1.								
	Records systematically maintained and current	1	.98	98	10	9.8			TOTAL CREDIT, Part	III.				68.0									
	TOTAL CREDIT, Pa	rt I			•	90.41	(68.0/ 75 X 100 = 90.67)																
	<u> </u>					3 34 4 5	▙				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							157					
y Fa gnifid	um inspection interval was n rms #3, 7, 9, 11 and 18) cant violations existing durin	ot met	ast ins	pectio	n th	at were	(Da 9. I 71. 10.	airy Fa Refer Refe	arms #2, 8, 12, 15 and 19) to Section C. Evaluation of Si r to Section D. Dairy Farm En	amplin	g Proc	edures	on F	Page	not m 6. Re wice 8. Re	arke circu (5/2 efer t	d on the last inspection. lated cooling water sam 017 and 1/2018).	pling	frequ	iency wa	as mi	ssed	
y Fa	rms #1-Item 8a; #6-Items 2a	Authorities 90		ar moonaa		14-Item	ACCOMPANIE INVESTMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P									d							
1 i i i	Section Grant State Stat	Item Item Item Item Item Item All dairy farmers hold a valid permit All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P" Inspection sheet posted or available Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections It B & Brucellosis certification on file as required Water samples tested and reports on file as required Milking time inspection program established At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required Records systematically maintained and current TOTAL CREDIT, Pa Remark Ininimum inspection interval was new farms #3, 7, 9, 11 and 18) ignificant violations existing durin marked at five (5) dairy farms on	DAIRY FARM PART I Item Item Item All dairy farmers hold a valid permit 25 All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P" Inspection sheet posted or available 25 Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections The & Brucellosis certification on file as required Water samples tested and reports on file as required Milking time inspection program established At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made App B PHS/FDA evaluation methods Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, 6,16 and/or court actions taken as required Records systematically maintained and current TOTAL CREDIT, Part I Remarks Inimimum inspection interval was not met rry Farms #3, 7, 9, 11 and 18) ignificant violations existing during the I marked at five (5) dairy farms on their pry Farms #1-Item 8a; #6-Items 2a & 2b	Item Part P	Item PART I	DAIRY FARMS PART I Item Jaguer Land Land Land Land Land Land Land Land	Item Page	Item Part Part	Second	Item DAIRY FARMS PART I Item	DAIRY FARMS PART I Item The state of the	DAIRY FARMS PART I Item Part Pa	DAIRY FARMS PART I Item B	DAIRY FARMS PART I Item	Item	Item Item	BART I Item BART I Item tem	DAIRY FARMS PART II Item Ite	DAIRY FARMS PART II Item tem	DAIRY FARMS PART II Item Ite			

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2)

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES

8-Add the Number Inspected under #'s 3 and 5 to arrive at a total

for the Number Inspected to enter in #8 (6).

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU and Receiving Station)

SHIPPER		The calculations below address Ite	ems :	from	Sec	tion	B. R	EP0	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON PA	GE 2	of t	his	Forn	n.			
Clear Milk Coop (BTU)-RS		For the Calculation of DAIRY FARM SAMPLING PROCEDURES (Refer to Part I, ITEM 9 on PAGE 2 of this Form) For the Calculation MILK PLANT SAMPLING PF (Refer to Part II, ITEM 8 on PAGE										ROCEDUR					
LOCATION		Item							Item								
Two Milk Road Cowtown, ST 00001	Number		Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number		Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit			
BTU/PLANT NUMBER	1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified	2	2	100	5	5	1	Sampling surveillance officers properly certified	2	2	100	5	5			
72-122/72-152	2	Adequate training program provided	1	1	100	5	5	2	Adequate training program provided	1	1	100	5	5			
INSPECTING AGENCY	3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated	2	2	100	10	10	3	Sampling surveillance authority properly delegated	2	2	100	10	10			
State Dept. of Health	4	All samplers hold a valid permit	12	8	66.7	10	6.67	4	All samplers hold a valid permit	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A			
DATE(S)	5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed	12	6	50	30	15	5	Samplers evaluated every two (2) years and reports properly filed.	4	3	75	30	22.5			
June 14-16, 2018	6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance	6	5	83	15	12.4	6	Sampling procedures in substantial compliance	3	3	100	15	15			
	7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required	12	12	100	15	15	7	Permit suspension, etc., taken as required	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A			
	8	Records systematically maintained and current	14	14	100	10	10	8	Records systematically maintained and current	6	6	100	10	10			
						100							75				
		то	OTAL C	REDIT	•	79	.12		2	TOTAL	CREDI	T 🖷	▶ 6	7.50			
	4	REMARKS REMARKS NOTE: Items 4 and 7 above are not applicable wh Sampling Procedures (Part II, Item 8 from Section Enforcement Methods" on PAGE 2 of this Form).											when calculating Milk Pla on B, "Report of				
	da re	reight tickets found at the dairy farms from ays, plus one (1) field person who to sinstatement samples. Three (3) "weeke erson were not permitted.	akes	soma	tic c	ell c	ount	fo	iculation of the Score: Divide the TOTAL CR r milk plants, receiving stations (RS) and trans hen multiply by 100 to create a percentage.	andrawa area on se	-u -ummenous	ive (7	5)*				
	pe	 In addition to the four (4) individuals ermitted bulk milk hauler/samplers were wo (2) years. 							FINAL TOTAL CREDIT (Milk Plan	nt, RS o	r TR)	→	[5	90			
		- One (1) of the samplers that had been	eval	ated	was	obse	rved		REMARKS								
	committing the following violations: Failing to sanitize the thermometer that was used to check the temperature of the milk; sampling the milk before the required agitation time had elapsed, filling the sample container over the open tank, and not taking a temperature control sample at the first stop. 8-Add the Number Inspected under #'s 3 and 5 to arrive at a total stop.																

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 3) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

temperature control sample at the first stop.

total for the Number Inspected to enter into #8 (14).

8 - Add the Number of Inspected under #'s 3 and 5 to arrive at the

SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU)

SHIPPER
Clear Milk Coop (BTU)-RS
LOCATION
Two Milk Road
Cowstown, ST 00001
BTU NUMBER 72-122
INSPECTING AGENCY
State Dept. of Health
DATE(S)
June 14-16, 2018

	The calculations below address Ite	ms i	from	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON I	PAGE	2 0	f this .	Forn	n.
	For the Calculation DAIRY FARM ENFOR PROCEDURE (Refer to PART I, I TEM 10 on PAGE)	CE S	ME		Forn	n)		For the Calcula DAIRY FARM RE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on P	CO	RDS		Forn	n)
Number	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying		Weight		Niimher	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	Category I-Permit Issuance	25	25	100	20	20	1	Category I-Permit Records	25	25	100	25	25
2	Category II-Permit Suspension	25	22	88	20	17.6	2	Category II-Inspection Records	25	23	92	25	23
3	Category III-Permit Revocation	25	25	100	20	20	3	Category III-Laboratory Records	25	25	100	25	25
4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	25	25	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	25	25	100	25	25
5	Category V-Hearing/Court Action	25	25	100	20	20							
					100	97.6					,	100	98
	TOTAL	CRE	DIT	•	9	8		TOT	AL C	RED)IT =) (98
Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into F om 10 "Percent Complying" column DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.		ΓΙ,				Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into a 11 "Percent Complying" colur DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page	nn of	RT I,			
040	REMARKS		2% 40										
	Regulatory action not prop	•				0.20		REMARKS					
3)	nree (3) dairy farms. (Dairy l K; #15-ltem 2a-4X; and #17 Category II-Permit Suspensi	-Ite				า 6-	tv Ie	Inspection results were row (2) dairy farms on their dgers. (Dairy Farms #5 and Category II-Inspection Rec	indi and #	vidu #16	ual	e fo	r

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 4) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORD EVALUATIONS

(Example: Receiving Station)

SHIPPER
Clear Milk Coop (BTU)-RS
LOCATION
Two Milk Road
Cowtown, ST 00000
PLANT NUMBER
72-122
INSPECTING AGENCY
State Dept. of Health
ATE(S)
June 14-16, 2018

	The calculations below address Ite	ms t	ron	Sec	tion	B. R.	EPO:	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON PA	GE :	2 01	f this	Forn	n.
	For the Calculation	on (of					For the Calculati	on ·	of	- 40001.001.00000		
	PROCEDURE	S						MILK PLANT REC (Refer to PART II, ITEM 10 on PA				Eor	m)
	(Refer to PART II, ITEM 9 on PAC	SE 2			orm)		• Industrial Control C	GL 2	2 01		I VIII	,
Number	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	Category I-Permit Issuance	1	1	100	20	20	1	Category I-Permit Records	1	1	100	25	25
2	Category II-Permit Suspension	1	1	100	20	20	2	Category II-Inspection Records	1	1	100	25	25
3	Category III-Permit Revocation	1	1	100	20	20	3	Category III-Laboratory Records	1	1	100	25	25
4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	1	1	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	1	1	100	25	25
5	Category V-Hearing/Court Action	1	1	100	20	20							
					100							100	
	TOTAL (CRE	DIT	•	10	00		TOTAL	. CR	ED	IT =	1	00
Ite	DTAL CREDIT to be entered into F m 9 "Percent Complying" column o DA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.						Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into mem 10 "Percent Complying" column DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2	of	ТΠ	.		
	REMARKS				-							-3/	
N	o Debits Observed							REMARKS				-	
							N	o Debits Observed					
							35 70						
	NS ARE ORSOLETE)												

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 5) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

9. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: SINGLE FARMS BTU)

MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Single Farm BTU)

SHIPPER United Dairy (BTU)

DATE OF RATING June 16, 2018

DAIRY FARMS

MILK PLANT

INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING

PART III

AII		DAIRY FA PART	RM							MILK PLA PART I								INDIVIDUAL SH PAR	IPPE			iG	
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit	1	1	100	5	5	1	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid permit				5		1		Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying			47		
2		All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P"	4	3	75	15	11.25	2	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once every three (3) months; aseptic and retort milk plants and_transfer station(s) once every six (6) months				15		2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			47 /94		
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available	1	1	100	5	5	3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5		3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled			6		
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	1	.91	91	10 4 7 indicated by past inspections 10 INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS App I required frequency (Not required for Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization)																	
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10	10	5	7 App I					15				Shipper of Raw Milk for Paster	urization	n, Ultra-l	Pasteur	zation	Asepti
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required	5	4	80	5	4	6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required					With	out Milk Plant, Receiving Stati aluate all Items Part I and reco	on or Ti						
7	5	Milking time inspection program established				5	5	7	6	Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary aboratory examination made	Receiving Station(s) or Transf aluate all Items Part I. aluate all Items Part II., except		****	d 7. Div	de by	75.							
8	6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made	1	0	0	10	0	8	6 App E	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10			/idual : • Asep	aluate all Items Part III. Shipper of Pasteurized Milk ar tic and Retort Milk Plants: aluate all Items Part II., except				5.	
9	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	1	100	10	10	9	3,5, 6.16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15] '	- Ev	Attached Raw Supply: aluate all Items Part I. aluate all Items Part II., use 47	Weigh	t.			
	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	1	.60	60	15	9	10		Records systematically maintained and current				10]	- Ev With - Ev - Ev	aluate all Items Part III. Unattached Raw Supplies: aluate all Items Part II., use 94 aluate all Items Part III., excep aluate all Items Part III, except	- Weigh t Numb	t. er 1.			
11		Records systematically maintained and current	1	.75	75	10	7.5		1921	REMARKS			,,,,					REMA	RKS				
		TOTAL CREDIT, Par REMARKS	tı				75.85			culated cooling water sam once in the two year perio				wa	5	Pro 10.	cedi Ref	to Section C. Evalua ures. er to Section D. Dairy cords Evaluations on	Farm	Enfo	8 8	ent A	ction
4. V	′iolat	nspection frequency missions: 2a (1 pt), 14 (3 pts) e not marked on the last i	and	8c (5		exis	ting	wa 8. I	ter w nsuf	recirculated cooling (RC) rell (WW) system (4RC + 1 ficient number of samples rd. (July-December 2017)	WW	= 5 To	otal S	Sam				er to Section D. Dairy cords Evaluations on l			rceme	ent A	ction

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2)

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

(Example: Single Farm BTU)

SHIPPER
United Dairy (BTU)
LOCATION
100 Dairy Lane
Bossy, ST 00009
BTU NUMBER
90-100
INSPECTING AGENCY
State Dept. of Health
DATE(S)
June 16, 2018

	The calculations below address Ite	ms f	rom	Sec	tion	B. R	EPO.	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON	PAGE	2 of	this	Forn	n.
	For the Calculation DAIRY FARM ENFOR PROCEDURE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on PAGE)	CE S	ME		Form	1)		For the Calcula DAIRY FARM RE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on F	COI	RDS		Forr	n)
Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight		Number	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	
1	Category I-Permit Issuance	1	0	0	20	0	1	Category I-Permit Records	1	1	100	25	25
2	Category II-Permit Suspension	1	0	0	20	0	2	Category II-Inspection Records	1	1	100	25	25
3	Category III-Permit Revocation	1	1	100	20	20	3	Category III-Laboratory Records	1	0	0	25	0
4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	1	1	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	1	1	100	25	25
5	Category V-Hearing/Court Action	1	1	100	20	20							
					100	UE GW							75
	TOTAL	CRE	DIT	•	6	0	L	ТОТ	AL C	REDI	Τ •	<u> </u>	75
Ite	DTAL CREDIT to be entered into Porm 10 "Percent Complying" column of DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.		I,				Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered in am 11 "Percent Complying" colur DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Pago	nn of	RT I,			
	REMARKS			-	-								
	Dairy farm was not inspect			r to				REMARKS					
	suing a permit. (Category I-l	eri	mit				3	Laboratory records for S	CC	and	SP	_	
2. sa (1	suance) A warning letter was not issumples exceeding the stand 0/31/2017). (Category II-Peuspension)	ard	for			No.	W th	ere not maintained on led e samples were collected erified from the lab reports aboratory Records)	lgers d/ana	ilyze	owe	ver nd	

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 4) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU)

		R Great Cows BTU		10X 10X 10	0. 51 63																		
DAT	ΕC	FRATING August 1)18			1	_	BALL LZ DI A						1		ENFORCEMENT			9		-0:
		DAIRY FA PART		5						MILK PLA PART I								INDIVIDUAL SH PAR		:KK	AIIN	1G	
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit	25	25	100	5	5	1	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid permit				5		1		Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying			47		
2	5	All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P"	25	20	80	15	12	2	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once every three (3) months; aseptic and retort milk plant and transfer station(s) once every six (6) months				15		2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			47 /94		
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available	25	25	100	5	5	3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5		3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled			6		
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	25	19	76	10	7.6	4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections				10				TOTAL CREDIT,	PAR	TIII			
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10	10	5	7 App I	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for aseptic and retort milk plants.)					INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER EN Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasted and Packaging or Retort Pro	urization	n, Ultra-l	Pasteur	ization	, Aseptic			
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required	25	21	84	5	4.2	6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required Samples of each milk plant's milk and				5			• With	out Milk Plant, Receiving Statio	on or Tr				
7	5	Milking time inspection program established				5	5	7	6	samples of each fills plant's fills and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary aboratory examinations made				10			• With - Ev	aluate all Items Part I and reco Receiving Station(s) or Transf aluate all Items Part I.	er Stati	100 mm	***********	50×0×0	60V
8	6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made	25	23	92	10	9.2	8	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10			- Ev /idual • Asep	aluate all Items Part II., except aluate all Items Part III. Shipper of Pasteurized Milk an stic and Retort Milk Plants:	ıd Milk i	Products	s:		75.
9	6 App E	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	.791	79.1	10	7.91	9	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15] .	• With - Ev	aluate all Items Part II., except Attached Raw Supply: aluate all Items Part I.			ide by 8	35.	
10	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	1	.98	98	15	14.7	10		Records systematically maintained and current				10			- Ev With - Ev - Ev	aluate all Items Part II., use 47 aluate all Items Part III. I Unattached Raw Supplies: aluate all Items Part II., use 94 aluate all Items Part III., except aluate all Items Part III., except	Weigh t Numb	it. er 1.			
11		Records systematically maintained and current	1	.98	98	10	9.8			TOTAL CREDIT, Part	11							REMA	RKS				
		TOTAL CREDIT, Par REMARKS	t I				90.41	19c	; #1	REMARKS 1-Item 8c; #15-Item 9b; and #		m 18c))				Refer ge 71	to Section C. Evaluation	of Sa	mpling	Proce	dure	s on
		um inspection interval not me arms #6, 9, 12, 17 and 19)	et on 1	five (5) dairy	/ far				ted water samples at four (4) and 17)	dairy 1	farms.	(Daiı	ry Fa	arms			r to Section D. Dairy Fan Evaluations on Page 77		orcem	ent Ac	tion a	ınd
ins	ectio	ions existing on six (6) dairy to on and were not marked on th arms #1-Item 5 floors; #4-Iter	ne las	t inspe	ection		ets.	Company		cient samples from two (2) da arms #3 and 20)	iry far	ms.				11.	Refe	r to Section D. Dairy Fari Evaluations on Page 77	m Enf	orcem	ent Ac	tion a	ınd

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS

(Example: Multiple Farm BTU)

SHIPPER
United Dairy (BTU)
LOCATION
100 Dairy Lane
Bossy, ST 00009
BTU NUMBER
90-100
INSPECTING AGENCY
State Dept. of Health
DATE(S)
June 16, 2018

	The calculations below address Ite	ms i	rom	Sec	ion	B. R	EPO	RT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS ON I	PAGE	2 of	this	Forn	n.
	For the Calculation DAIRY FARM ENFOR PROCEDURE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 10 on PAGE)	CE S	ME			- 1		For the Calcula DAIRY FARM RE (Refer to PART I, ITEM 11 on F	COI	RDS		Forr	n)
Nimber	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Niimber		Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	
1	Category I-Permit Issuance	25		100		1	Category I-Permit Records	25	25	_	25		
2	Category II-Permit Suspension	25	22	88	20	2	Category II-Inspection Records	25	25	100	25	25	
3	Category III-Permit Revocation	25	25	100	20	20	3	Category III-Laboratory Records	25	23	92	25	23
4	Category IV-Permit Reinstatement	25	25	100	20	20	4	Category IV-Plan Review File (Within Rating Period)	25	25	100	25	25
5	Category V-Hearing/Court Action	25	25	100									
					100	97.6						100	
	TOTAL (CRE	DIT	•	9	8		TOT	AL C	REDI	T =)	98
Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into F om 10 "Percent Complying" column DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page 2.		Ĩ,				Ite	OTAL CREDIT to be entered into an 11 "Percent Complying" colur DRM FDA 2359j, Section B, Page	nn of				,
	REMARKS				T.								
	Regulatory action not prope							DEMARKO				_	
	ree (3) dairy farms. (Dairy I						2	Prug recidus tests pet re		امما	- II		
	a-4X; #14-ltem 16a-3X; and X) (Category II-Permit Susp				I 4b		le #	Drug residue tests not re dgers for two (2) dairy far 10 and #22) (Category III- ecords)	ms.	(Dai	iry F	arn	ns

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 4) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

13. FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING (EXAMPLE)

Shipper Great Cows BTU

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING

Sanitation Compliance Rating! 91

Date of Rating August 10-12, 2016

															ITE	MS	01	S	ANI	TAT	101	N												
		П			Milki	ing B	am			Co	nstru	nous ction	and				Uten Equ	sils a	and nt	Milkir	ng	Drug a	ical	Per-			Insect	s and	d Rode	ents				
		Ш	H	Т	Т	Т		Н	ŀ	Т	Tac	liues	П	┨		\vdash	T	Ī	Н	Т	+	Contro	-	\neg	8		Т	Т		\Box				REMARKS
NAME OF DAIRY FARM	Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)³	Abnormal Milk	Somatic Cell Count*	Floors	Walls and Cellings Senarate Stalls	Ceparate Grans	Ventilation	Cleanliness	Cowyard	Floors	Vivalis and Cellings	Miscellaneous Requirements	Cleaning Facilities	Cleanliness	Toilet	Water Supply	Construction	Sanitization	Storage	Flanks, Udders and Teats	Protection from Contamination	Cleaners/Sanitizers, Drug Equipment and Drugs Labeled Handled and Stored Labeled for Use Stored to Brachide the	Contamination of Milk or Product-Contact	Handwashing Facilities	Cooling, Recirculated Cooling Water Safe/Protected Temperature-Recording Device when Required	Fly Breeding Minimized,	Manure Packs Maintained Milkhouse Openings Screened,	Doors Tight, Milkhouse Free of Insects/Rodents	Approved Pesticides Used, Equipment and Utensils not Exposed to Contamination	Surroundings Neat and Clean, Free of Harborages and Breeding Areas	Bacterial Count or Drug Residue Analysis*	Total Debitsे	Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units) 3 X Total Debits 2	
	ITEM	Π	1		2			3	4		5		\top	6	7 8	9	10	11	12	13 1	14	15		16 17	18	\vdash		19	1					
		<u> </u>	_	A B	_	_	Ε	Ц	$\overline{}$	A B	_	D	Е			1	L	L				A-C			AB C	AE	_	_	EF	GH				
0.00.00.0	WEIGHT	5	5*	1 1	1	1	1	3	3	1 1	2	2	2	4	4 20	-	5	5	2	5 3	-	2 - (7)	- 5	2 1	5-(5)-1	3	2	1	2	2	10*		30.0	22 2 2222 VOICE
1. Roy Harris	17	Н	-	1	+	╄	Н	Н	-	+	+	Н	Н	+	5	+	╄	┡	Н	+	+	2	_	1		-	╄	+	_			9	153	Major Water Violation
2. James Henley	21	Н	-	-	+	╄	Ш		_		+	Ш	Н		2	4	┡	L		-	+	_		_			-	4				6	126	Missed water frequency, but last sample tested safe
3. W. T. Miller	5	Н	5	+	+	╀	Ш	3	3	1	4	Н	Н	+	+	+	╄	┡	\longrightarrow	5	+	_	_		5	_	+	4			10	34	170	Insufficient Milk Samples
4. John Barkley	11	Н	4	\perp	\bot	╀	Ш	Ц	_	_	+	Ш	Н	4	_	\perp	╀		2	5	+	2		2	<u> </u>		+	4				11	121	Only Cold Water to Hand Sink
5. K. R. Olson	15							3				2			2																	7	105	Minor Water Violation
6. Robert Taylor	10		5														П															5	50	2 of 4 SSC W/Last 1 Violative
7. Pete Carhart	18	П		1		Г		3	3								П			5	Т						Т	Т				12	216	Cooling Pond – Dirty Cows
8. Davis & Nelson	33							3	3	1																						7	231	MTI
9. Al Hart	10	П						3						4							T							T				7	70	
10. Don Meyers	8	П					1							4						5	T	2										12	96	MTI
11. Wm. Long	12	П	T	1			П	3	\neg		Т	П			T	4		Г	П		T	\neg	\neg				Т	T	2			10	120	3r Feed Storage
12. Jon Jones	27				1							2		4									5									12	324	Drugs W/O Directions for Use
13. John Marshall	16	П					П					П			2		Г			5	3	2	5									17	272	Drug Storage and Pig Medicines
14. R. W. Ripple	12	П	T	1			П		\dashv		\top	П	\Box		\top	T			П		\top	\neg	\neg				Т	T		2		3	36	
15. N. W. Williams	23	5			\top		П		\dashv		2				2						\top	\neg						T				9	207	Dirty Abnormal Equipment in MH
16. R. A. Wolf	19	5	1			1	П		\exists			П			\top	T	Т				1							\top				6	114	
17. Frank Ecker	11	П	\top	\top	Τ		П	3	\dashv	\top		П		4	2		Т	Г	П	\top	\top	\dashv	\neg			Π	Т	\top				9	99	Missed water frequency, but last sample tested safe
Total or Subtotal	268	2	2	1 3	1	1	1	7	3	- 2	1	2	-	4	- 6	2	-	100	2	5	1	4	2	1 1	4.			7	11	1	11	176	2510	
% of Dairy Farms Violating		П																			\top							士						

CONTINUATION OF THE " STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION,
ULTRA-PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT
PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELFSTABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING"
FOR Great Cows BTU

AS OF August 10-12, 2016

STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING												FOR	· –	GIE	at C	OWS	BI	_															NO OF	Augu	St 10-1	2, 2016
	ITEM	Γ	1			2 C	_	- 1	3			5	D	- 1	6	7	8	9	10	11	12 1	3 1		15 -C		16		18 AB C	AD		19	CU	DACTI	Total Debits ²	#Sold Daily ² ,3	REMARKS
	WEIGHT	5	5*	_	1			1	3	_	1 1				4	4	2 or5	4	5	5	2	5 3		- (7)		2		5-(5)-1	3 3	CD 2	EF 2	2 2	BACTI 10*	Tota	#So Dai	
Subtotal from Page 1	268	2	_	_	3	1	1	1	\rightarrow	3 -	2	_	2	20	4	120	\rightarrow	2		-		5 1	-	_	2	1	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	176	2510	
18. Henry Ronan	13	Т	П	Г	П	П	┪	┪	\neg	\top	T	T	П		┪	\Box	╅	T	5	5	2	\top	T	\top		П	П							12	156	
19. Smith & Jones	4	T	Г	1	1	1	1	\exists	3	\top	\top	T	П		\exists		\dashv	4	\exists	\exists	2	5	Ť	\top		П	П							18	72	
20. H. Adams	42			1																	2		8	2										5	210	No Veterinarian's Name on Prescription Cattle Drugs
21. Joe Lamb	9			1	1				\Box												2		Τ										10	14	126	2 of 4 SPC, Last 1 Violative
22. B. Forest	12			1									2																	2				5	60	
23. Anna Bowers	11				1			П	3											5			Τ											9	99	
24. L. R. Hayser	4								\Box				П						5		2													7	28	
25. Pete Carson	15				1												5						Ι											6	90	Major Water Violation
26.																							I													
27.																							T													
28.																							Τ													
29.		Г						\Box															Τ													
30.																																				
31.																							Τ													
32.																							Ι													
33.																																				
34.																							I													
35.																																				
36.																							L													
37.																							Т													
38.																																				
39.									J									J				Ι	Ι													
40.									\Box									\Box																		
Totals or Subtotal	378	2	2	5	7.	2	2	1	9	3 -	2	1	3	(50)	4	(2)	7	3	2	2	7 (6 1		5	2	1	1	1	0.00	1	Ŧ	1	2	252	3351	
% of Dairy Farms Violating		8	8	20	28	8	8	4	36	12 0	8	4	12	0	16	0	28	12	8	8	28 2	4 4	. 2	20	8	4	4	4	0	4	4	4	8			

Footnotes: ¹ Sanitation Compliance Rating = $100 - \frac{Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)^3 \times Total Debits^2}{Total Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)^3} = 100 - \frac{3351}{378} = 100 - 8.8 = 91.1 =$ **91**

COMMENTS

² Total Debits for each dairy farm is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an X under that Item).

³ Total Pounds Sold Daily are calculated in 100# Units.

^{*} Use only when not in compliance.

14. FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: Millk Plant)

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration

Milk Plant I.M.A. DAIRY STATUS	OF MILK PLAN	ITS
--------------------------------	--------------	-----

Date of Rating September 20-21, 2016 (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS and TRANSFER STATIONS)

Sanitation Compliance Rating 90

												Α.	A 30 V 350 T T		RE	CE	IVI	NG	ST	ATIC	NS a	and T	ΓRΑ	NSF	ER S	TATI	зис)							5647130223		W.15 - XTELES		
																IT	EM	/IS	OF	SA	NITA	TIO	N																
															onta Equ			nd	es					Past	eurizat	ion			Bottlin Cappir										
NAME OF PLANT (MILK PRODUCT/ PASTEURIZATION/ FILING AND CAPPING)	Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)3	Floors	Walls and Ceilings	Door one 18 find our	Doors and vernaows	Ventilation	Sentitation	Separate Rooms Toilet/Sewade	Disposal Facilities	Water Supply	Handwashing Facilities	Milk Plant Cleanliness	Sanitary Piping	Construction and Repair	- animore C	Cleaning	Sanitization	Storage of Clean Equipment	Storage of Single- Service Articles		Protection From Contamination	Indicating and	Recording Thermometers	Time and Temperature Controls	Adulteration Controls	Regenerative Heating	Temperature Recording	Cooling	Container Filing, Capping and Sealing	Personnel Cleanliness,	a	Vehicles	Surroundings	Bacterial Count*	Coliform Count*	Total Debits ²	Pounds Processed Daily	(100# Units): X Total Debits	REMARKS
	ITEM	1	2	3	4a	4b	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12e	b 120	с-е	13	14	15ac	15b	(1)	16at	b (2)	16b	16c	16d	17	18 1	9 20	2	1	22						
	WEIGHT	1	1	2	1	1	3	13		4	2	3	3	Э	5		5	3	2	3	5	4		15	3	10	4	5	5	348	1		2	5*	10*				
I.M.A. Dairy	5,000						3													3																6	30,0	000	
Buttermilk Vat #1 (15)									1															15		4										15	22	:5	Inlet Valve not Removed from Vat During Holding time.
C. Cheese Starter Vat (3)									+																	5	4									4	12	2	Air Space Reading NOT Made at BOTH the Beginning and End of the Holding Period
									T																							T							
By-Products HTST (360)																,								15		10										25	9,0	00	Plant Operating Computer Can Start the Booster Pump in Divert Mode
								┸	⊥																							⊥							
1% Milk (500)																												5						5	10	20	10,0	000	Insufficient # of Samples Taken in Last 6 Months.
									┙																														
Tub Container (70)					_		-	-	4		4					_	4											-	5		-	4				5	35	0	Hand Capping of 5 lb. Containers
Sour Cream (5)				\vdash	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-			-	+	+						+					\vdash			+	+	+		10	10	50	0	2 of Last 4 Coli Counts High (Last One Positive) (last test violative).
									\dagger		1					\dagger	1															†							V-2000000000000000000000000000000000000

Footnotes: Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 –	Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) ³ X Total Debits ²	= 100 - <u>49,637</u> = 100 - 9.9 = 90.1 = 90
Samuation Compliance Nating - 100 -	Total Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)3	5.000

85 49,637

FORM FDA 2359L (10/18)

TOTALS

5,000

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

PSC Graphic Arts: (301) 443-1090 EF

² Total Debits for each milk plant, receiving station or transfer station is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item or sub-item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an "X" under that Item.)

³ Total Pounds Processed Daily are calculated in 100# Units.

^{*} Used only when not in compliance. Prorate by product.

15. FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS AND TRANSFER STATIONS) (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT WITH A RECEIVING AND TRANSFER STATION)


U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration

Plant	Metro Dairy Company	STATUS OF MILK PLANTS
Plant	Metro Dairy Company	STATUS OF WILL

Date of Rating October 30-31, 2016

(INCLUDING DRYING AND CONDENSING MILK PRODUCTS PLANTS, RECEIVING STATIONS and TRANSFER STATIONS) Sanitation Compliance Rating 91

																			TION		EKS	17311	0110,	,						ľ	Т		П					
					T		Γ	Τ					ntain Equip	ers	and						eurizat	tion			Bottlir Cappir													
NAME OF PLANT (MILK PRODUCT/ PASTEURIZATION/ FILING AND CAPPING)	Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units) ³	Floors	Walls and Ceilings	Doors and Windows	Lighting	Separate Rooms	Toilet/Sewage	Disposal Facilities Water Supply	Handwashing Facilities	Milk Plant Cleanliness	Sanitary Piping	Construction and Repair	Cleaning	Sanitization	Storage of Clean Equipment	Storage of Single- Service Articles	l .	Protection From Contamination	Indicating and Recording Thermometers	Time and Temperature Controls	Adulteration Controls	Regenerative Heating	Temperature Recording	Cooling	Container Filing, Capping and Sealing	Personnel Cleanliness.	Drotockico Clotkico	Surroundings	Barterial Count	Coliform Count*	Total Debits ²	Pounds Processed Daily	(100# Units) X Total Debits	REMARKS				
	ITEM	1		9 1	a 4k	-	6	7	8	9	10	11	12ab		100000	14	15ac		(1)	(2)	16b	16c	16d	17	18 1	9 20	21	-	+	100	L							
	WEIGHT 1,000	1	1 3	2	1 1	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	5	5	3	2	3	5	4	15	3	10	4	5	5	1	1	2	5*	10*	8	0.00	-	400 0 - 00				
Metro Dairy Co.	1,000	Н	+		+	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	Н		3			⊢			3					+			+	+	+	╀	+	l.	8,00	00	100 – 8 = 92				
Metro Receiving Station (680)		1	:	2							3						3														9			Above 90, (would not be included in Plant Score)				
					-	+		_																			-	+	1		_		4					
White Milk Transfer Station (220)		+	+		+	t	H	t			3				\vdash								H	5		+	1	2			11		+	100 – 11 = 89 (Below 90)				
otation (EES)						T		T																				T					1	(Subtract Transfer Station Score from Plant Score).				
			4		1	1																					_	1	_	,s	3	66	0	92 - 89 = 3 x 220 = 660				
			4		+	+	\vdash	\vdash																		+	+	\perp	┡		┡		4					
			+	-	+	+	\vdash	\vdash															-			+	+	+	-	-	⊢	-	4					
		\dashv	+	-	+	+	\vdash	+															-			+	-	+	\vdash	+	\vdash	-	\dashv					
		H	+		+	+		H	H														+				-	+	+	-	+		+					
			T		T	†	\vdash	T																		†	T	\top	T	1	T		1					
TOTALS	1,000				1	T		T																				T	T		T	8,66	60					



² Total Debits for each milk plant, receiving station or transfer station is the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item or sub-item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an "X" under that Item.)

FORM FDA 2359L (10/18) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

PSC Graphic Arts: (301) 443-1090 EF

³ Total Pounds Processed Daily are calculated in 100# Units.

^{*} Used only when not in compliance. Prorate by product.

16. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER'S REPORT

DEPARTMENT OF HEAL	TH AND	HUMAN SERVICI	ES	IN	ITERS	DEDUK THE	23.6700000		35,555.3	0 10-07:0			ORT				3-A. C	OUN	TRY	
FOOD AND DRU	G ADMI	NISTRATION				Submit ies to									USA	4				
1. NAME OF SHIPPER							2. CIT	Υ					3.	STA	TE					
Clean Milk Dairy				- 00			Mod	osvil	le				;	State	e 000	007				
4. STREET					5.	PLA	ANT or	BTU	#			6.			PROD	DUCT	CODE	#s		
2525 Milky Way							0	0	2	5	0	1	2	4	5	7	9	-	1	8
					7. SURV	EY D	ATA													
	D	AIRY FARMS																		
PRODUCT	TYI X ARI	PE OF RATING			NG OR STATION	F		MILK	PLAN	IT ¹					E	NFO	RCEMI	ENT		
RATING (%)		92		NA				9	91							90)			
DATE OF RATING	8/	5-7/2016		NA			8	3/3-4	/201	6					;	8/2/2	2016			
TOTAL NUMBER		120		NA					1											
NUMBER INSPECTED		34		NA					1											
VOLUME RECEIVED DAILY (Cwt)				NA				9,	800											
	ISIONS C	DIX N OMPLIANCE WITH OF APPENDIX N?										LE, IS	FSP/P THE S OF AI	HIPF			/PLIA	NCE		
RATING AGENCY	CERTIF	IED RATING OFFICE	R			1000000	FFICE				ION				EARL	IEST	RATIN	IG D	ATE	
X SHD SDL	3.6	3 6 11				EX	(PIRA	HON	DATE				MC	NTH	L		DAY		١	'EAR
SDA TPC	Mary	Milkrater				S	ept.	19, 2	2017				0		8	0	1	3	1	6
AGENCY PROVIDING CONTINU	Jous su	PERVISION OF SUPP	PLY									_		E	XPIR	IOITA	N RAT	ING I	DATE	2
State Department of Heal	lth												MC	NTH			DAY)	'EAR
				WW0 85 00									0		8	0	1	2	1	8
		T-valantan and		8. LA	BORATO	ORY C	ONT	ROL												
APPROVED LABORATORY NUM 00001	MBEK	EXPIRATION DATE			PROCES	SED M	IILK TE	ESTS	APPR	OVE	D			R	AW M	ILK T	ESTS.	APP	ROVE	D
A		A. 2/2017 B. 9/2017	_	SPC	COLI	PHO	os	RB	c		RES EST	SIDUE S	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			OMAT	TIC JNTS		UG R	ESIDUE STS
J		D	_ /	A. 2a	A. 21a	A. 28	8a	A. 2	2_	A. 9	C2, 9	D3_	A. 2		Α			Α.	9C2	, 9D3
				В	В	В		В		В			в. 3	ű.	В	16		В		
DATE OF LAST TWO (2) SPLIT A. 09/2015 A.			A	PPRO	VED WATI					DATE			WAT	ER T	ESTS	APPI	ROVE	D		
ACCUMANTAL DE LA	04/201	45			State H (Sate)		-		b						24-	MP1	N			
9. PUBLICATION	(Written	permission from a	shipper	r shall	be filed a	at a Re	egiona	al Ofi	fice o	f FDA	A pri	or to t	he pu	blica	ation	of rat	ing/lis	sting.	.)	
LETTER OF PERMISSION	TO PUB	LISH IS TRANSMITTE	ED WITH	THIS	REPORT?		X	ES				Пис)							
		10.	SUBMI	OISS	OF REF	PORT	BY R	ΑПΝ	IG AG	SENC	Y									
08/10/2016	(40)	JBMITTED BY (Signai John Q. Inspect		DN: c=U 0.9.2342	r signed by John 15, o=U.S. Govern 1.19200300.100.1 119.08.19 07:21:0	ment, ou=H .1=0010065	HHS, ou=FE	DA, ou=Pe	eople, cn=	John Q. In	spector	Title Mi	lk Sar	nitat	ion l	Ratin	ng Of	fice	r	
¹ Submit separate Form for each ² The expiration rating date is two Enforcement Rating is <90, then date of 3/31/2014.	(2) years	after the earliest ratin																		

FORM FDA 2359i (10/18) FRONT

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

PSC Publishing Services (301) 443-6740 EF

		FOR FDA REGIO	NAL OFFICE USE ONLY
Written permission fi	rom shipper dated	08/01/2016	on file and publication of rating/listing recommended.
DATE	SIGNATURE	E (FDA Milk Specialist)	
08/10/2016			

11. MILK PLANTS: List below the Name and Address of all shippers of raw milk and milk products received during the thirty (30) days preceding the earliest rating date of the Rating; Sanitation Compliance Rating; and Expiration Rating Date. Plants receiving milk from an unlisted source(s), or source(s) with a Sanitation Compliance Rating below ninety (90), are not eligible for listing in the electronic publication, IMS LIST – SANITATION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT RATINGS OF INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPERS.

NAME OF SHIPPER (Include BTU or Plant #)	CITY AND STATE/COUNTRY	SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATING	EXPIRATION RATING DATE
ABC BTU	Bulls Role, State/County	91	12/19/2017
Udderly Delightful BTU	Tootle Town, State/County	92	06/21/2018
GMI Good Dairy	Paradise, State/County	90	04/28/2018

INSTRUCTIONS:

Completed Forms shall be received by the Milk Safety Team (HFS-316) to be included in the IMS List. Additional explanation is offered for the following Items: Item 1: Name of Shipper – Limit shipper's name to not more than thirty-four (34) characters and spaces. If a receiving or transfer station is to be listed, please include "Receiving Station" or "Transfer Station" or "(RS)" or "(TS)" with the name of the shipper. Suggested abbreviations are published in the IMS List. Item 5: Plant or BTU # – When the IMS Number is less than five (5) digits, leave the left-hand square(s) blank.

Item 6: Product Code #s - Enter Product Code #s starting in the first (left-hand) space. Product Code #s are listed below:

PRODUCT CODES:

- 1. Raw Milk for Pasteurization (May Include Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 2. Pasteurized Milk, Reduced Fat, Lowfat, or Skim
- 3. Heat-Treated (May Include Reduced Fat, Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 4. Pasteurized Half & Half, Coffee Cream, Creams
- 5. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Milk and Milk Products
- 6. Aseptic Milk and Milk Products (Including Flavored)
- 7. Cottage Cheese (Including Lowfat, Nonfat or Dry Curd)
- 8. Cultured or Acidified Milk and Milk Products
- 9. Yogurt (Including Lowfat or Skim)
- 10. Sour Cream Products (Acidified or Cultured)
- 11. Whey (Liquid)
- 12. Whey (Condensed)
- 13. Whey (Dry)
- 14. Modified Whey Products (Condensed or Dry)
- 15. Condensed Milk and Milk Products
- 16. Nonfat Dry Milk
- 17. Buttermilk (Condensed or Dry)
- 18. Eggnog
- 19. Lactose Reduced Milk and Milk Products
- 20. Low-Sodium Milk and Milk Products
- Milk and Milk Products with Added Safe and Suitable Microbial Organisms (Such as Lactobacillus acidophilus)
- 22. Dry Milk and Milk Products
- 23. Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 24. Cholesterol Modified Anhydrous Milk Fat

- 25. Cholesterol Modified Fluid Milk Products
- 26. Cream (Condensed or Dry)
- 27. Blended Dry Products
- 28. Whey Cream
- 29. Whey Cream and Cream Blends
- 30. Grade "A" Lactose
- 31. Raw Goat Milk for Pasteurization
- 32. Pasteurized Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 33. Cultured Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 34. Condensed or Dry Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 35. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 36. Aseptic Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 37. Raw Sheep Milk for Pasteurization
- 38. Pasteurized Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 39. Cultured Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 40. Concentrated Raw Milk Products for Pasteurization
- 41. Concentrated Pasteurized Milk Products
- 42. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Milk
- 43. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Whey
- 44. Raw Water Buffalo Milk for Pasteurization
- 45. Pasteurized Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 46. Cultured Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 47. Raw Camel Milk for Pasteurization
- 48. Pasteurized Camel Milk and Milk Products
- 49. Cultured Camel Milk and Milk Products

FORM FDA 2359i (10/18) BACK (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

MARTINETT SOMMETTE	OF HEALTH AND SERVICES RUG ADMINISTRA		INTERS	TATE MILK SHIP	PPER's R	EPORT			INTERNAL U	SE ONLY	:	
1.NAME OF SHIPPER	OG ADMINISTR		2.CITY	ACC 2			3.STATE / CO	UNTRY				
ABC Milk Plant			ANYWHE 5.PLANT or B				AL US 6. PRODUCT	CODE #s				
							01, 02, 0					
92 BOONESBO	JRU AVE.		PIVIO/FA	RMS 123	RVEY DA	ΤΑ	01, 02,	05, 04,	00, 10	State of the last	-	
		DAIRY F	ARMS	7.50	RVET DA	T						
		TYPE OF	X.XI.3101.9	RECEIVING			MILK PLANT 1			ENFORCE	MENT	
		AREA	INDIVIDUAL	TRANSFER ST	IATIONS							
RATING (%)		9	Albert Commence				92			87		
DATE OF RATING		10/01/	2017				10/03/20	17		10/05/2	And and a second	10
TOTAL NUMBER		1	0				1		APPENDIX IS THE SHIPP	(FSP/PC WHEN APPLIC THE SHIPP	ABLE, IS
NUMBER INSPECTED		1	0				1		COMPLIANCE W PROVISIONS	ITH THE C	OMPLIANCE V	WITH THE NS OF
VOLUME RECEIVED D	AILY(Cwt)						1000		APPENDIX YES C		APPENDI	X T?
RATING AGENCY SHD O SDL	CEF	RTIFIED RATI	NG OFFICER			1000 A 1700 A 1000	ER'S CERTIFIC (PIRATION DA		EAI	RLIEST RAT	ING DATE	
O SDA O TPC		Roger	Rabbit				09/2018	3		10/01/2	2017	
OOTHER			SECTION CONTRACTOR AND									
AGENCY PROVIDING STATE DEPAR	CONTINUOUS SUPER								EXPI	RATION RA 09/30	TING DATE ² 0/19	
				8. LABORA	TORY CO	NTROL						
					PRO	CESSED	MILK TES	TS APF	PROVED	110 0210-00	V MILK TES	
APPROVED LABORATORY NUMBER	EXPIRATION DATE	DATE OF	LAST TWO (2)	SPLIT SAMPLES	SPC	COLI	PHOS	RBC	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS	VIABLE COUNTS	SOMATIC CELL COUNTS	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS
A. 00012	02/ 2019	07/	2018	08/ 2017	2a	20a	28b	22	a C3,C14,D3	2b, 3a	12	C3, D13
B. C.			-	1				—				
D.	- '		-					 	_		-	
E.	i	- i	$\neg \vdash$	-				THE REAL PROPERTY.				
	OVED WATER LABORA	ATORY		APPROVED V	VATER LABO	PRATORY	DATE		WATER	TESTS APP	ROVED	
9. PUBLICATION YES O NO	(Written permis		a shipper	shall be filed a	it the Ra	ting Age	ncy prior t	o the p	ublication of	a rating,	/listing.)	
				BMISSION OF R	REPORT E	Y RATI						
DATE OF REPORT 10/10/2017	****		JBMITTED BY Roger Rabbi				Sec. 12. (20.0) 1844 Sec. 10. (2)	ing Offi	cer			
				OR FDA REGIO	NAL OFF	CE USE	ONLY					
DATE		FL	A Milk Special	st								
¹ Submit separate Form ² Expiration rating date in then the expiration ratin FORM FDA 2359	s two (2) years after the g date is six (6) months	after the earli	est rating date,	i.e., earliest rating dat	1/2013 with a te is 10/1/201	correspond 3 with a co	ding expiration r	ating date piration ra	of 9/30/2015, exce ting date of 3/31/20	ept if the Enf	orcement Ratin	g is <90,

18. FORM FDA 2359m-MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT

	ent of Health and od and Drug Ad	d Human Services ministration	MIL							TRANSFER STATION					
DATE	7	TYPE OF AUDIT	•												
Janaury 23-25	5, 2018	REGULATORY	REGU	JLATOR'	Y FC	LLC	U-WC	IP 🛛	LISTING	☐ FDA AUDIT OF LISTING					
FIRM NAME My HACCP I	Dairy Plant			0000000	ENS		PE	IMS PLANT 00-123	NO.						
ADDRESS (Lin 234 Milk Roa															
ADDRESS (Lin	e 2)			CITY My Ci	ty		TATE IY	E/COUNTRY	ZIP 0	CODE 11					
Vitamin D Mill D Fat Free Mil	k, Vitamin A & I k, Chocolate Vit Lowfat Nutrish	NUFACTURED AND D Reduced Fat 2% M tamin D Milk, Chocol 1%, and Chocolate Vi (s) 3/15/18	ilk, Vitamin A&D Lo ate Vitamin A&D Re tamin A& D Fat Fre	duced I	Fat 2 (IM)	2% : S Pi	Milk roduc	, Chocolate	Prerequisi 3/15/15	ite Program(s) Issue Date(s)					
ITEMS MARK	ED DID NOT MI	EET THE NCIMS HAC	CP PROGRAM CRIT	TERIA F)FS	CRI	RED	BELOW Stor	red + + Ite	ome are Critical Listing Flements					
*NOTE: This	*NOTE: This regulatory NCIMS System Audit Report of your plant, receiving station, or transfer station serves as a notification of the intent to suspend your permit if items marked on this audit report are not in compliance at the time of the next regulatory audit or within established timelines. (Refer to PMO Sections 3 and 6, and Appendix K. for details.) Section 1 HAZARD ANALYSIS A. Flow Diagram and Hazard Analysis conducted and written for each kind or A. Corrective actions when defined in the HACCP Plan were followed when														
Section 1 HAZARD ANALYSIS Section 6 HACCP PLAN CORRECTIVE ACTION															
	Diagram and Hazai o of milk or milk prod		written for each kind or] A			ective actions who tions occurred.	en defined in t	the HACCP Plan were followed when					
haza	rds and determines	identifies all potential milk those that are reasonably de the processing plant env	ikely to occur (including] B	i.		etermined correct deviation is corr		efined in the HACCP Plan ensure the cause					
C. Writte	en Hazard Analysis	reassessed after changes tems, distribution, intended	in raw materials, formulatio	ns,] C).		ective action take ed in the HACCP		produced during a deviation from CL(s)					
D. Writte	en Hazard Analysis	signed and dated as requir	ed.] D).				ed during the deviation segregated and					
Section 2	HACCP PLAI						corre		to ensure that	oduct acceptability performed, AND at no adulterated milk and/or milk product					
	en HACCP Plan pre essed.**	pared for each kind or grou	ip of milk or milk product] E			se of deviation wa		arroto.					
	en HACCP Plan imp				_] F		Reas	sessment of HAC	CCP Plan perf	formed and modified accordingly.					
	en HACCP Plan ide onably likely to occu	ntifies all milk or milk produ r.	ct safety hazards that are] G	3 .	Corre	ective actions do	cumented.						
D. Writte	en HACCP Plan sig	ned and dated as required.		Se	ectio	on 7		HACCP PL	AN VERIFIC	CATION & VALIDATION					
Section 3	HACCP PLAI	N CRITICAL CONTROL	POINTS (CCP)] A		HAC	CP plan defines	verification pro	ocedures, including frequency.					
10	CP Plan lists CCP(s) for each milk or milk prod	uct safety hazard identifie	d □	В		Verifi	ication activities a	re conducted	and comply with HACCP Plan.					
☐ B. CCP	(s) identified are add	equate control measures fo	r the milk or milk product] c		Reas	ssessment of HA	CCP Plan con	ducted annually, OR					
_	y hazard(s) identifie		2.4 - 696				1. A	fter changes that	could affect t	he hazard analysis, OR					
proce	essing step identifie			4			80		mulation, pro	operation including raw materials and/or dessing methods/systems, distribution					
Section 4		N CRITICAL LIMITS (C	L)	- -											
10 10000 HARDSON		l limits for each CCP. ontrol the hazard identified.	**] D).		e frequency defin		ing instruments performed as required and CCP Plan.**					
		h existing monitoring instru] E		ССР	monitoring recor	ds document t	that values are within CL(s)					
D. CL(s) are met.	•					and r		ired within sev	ven (7) working days of the records being					
Section 5	HACCP PLAI	NMONITORING	Corre	ective action reco	rds reviewed:	as required within seven (7) working days of									
	CP Plan defines mo uency, whom, etc.)	onitoring procedures for each	ch CCP. (what, how,				the re	ecords being crea	ated.	.,					
☐ B. Moni	itoring procedures a	s defined in the HACCP PI	an followed.		G	5 .		cP Plan reviewe		t or in-process testing results defined in					
	itoring procedures a) at each CCP.	s defined in the HACCP PI	an adequately measure] н	l.	Reco	ords reviewed as	required, inclu	uding date and signature					
D. Moni		consistent with the actual v	ralue(s) observed during												
	toring records revie	ewed as required within	n seven (7) working												

Milk Plant, Receiving Station or Transfer Station - NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT ITEMS MARKED DID NOT MEET THE NCIMS HACCP PROGRAM CRITERIA DESCRIBED BELOW Starred ★ ★ Items are Critical Listing Elements HACCP SYSTEM RECORDS OTHER NCIMS REQUIREMENTS Section 8 Section 10 □ A. Required information included in the record, e.g., name/location of processor Incoming milk supply from NCIMS listed source(s) with sanitation scores and/or date/time of activity and/or signature/initials of person performing of 90 or better or acceptable HACCP Listing.** operation and/or identity of product/product code. Drug residue control program implemented.** □ B. B. Processing/other information entered on record at time observed. □ C. Drug residue control program records complete. □ C. Records retained for 2 years. Labeling compliance as required. D. Records relating to adequacy of equipment or processes retained for 2 years. □ E. Prevention of adulteration of milk products. □ E. HACCP records correct, complete and available for official review ∏ F. Regulatory samples comply with standards. П F. Information on HACCP records not falsified.** G. Requirements in Appendix T. are addressed. G. Pasteurization Equipment design and construction. Approved Laboratory Utilized - (if not, Rating not conducted) Section 9 HACCP SYSTEM PREREQUISITE PROGRAMS (PPs) I. Substantially compliant on the following items as outlined in Appendix T. Required PP written, implemented, and in substantial compliance by firm. П А. ☐ 1. Written Recall Plan; Safety of the water that comes into contact with milk or milk contact 2. Written Risk Based Supply-Chain Program; surfaces (including steam and ice); 3. Written Environmental Monitoring Program; and 2. Condition and cleanliness of equipment milk contact surfaces; 4. All other applicable requirements 3. Prevention of cross contamination from unsanitary objects and/or practices to milk and milk products, packaging material and other milk Holding and Distribution of Human Food By-Products for Use As Animal Food. contact surfaces, including utensils, gloves, outer garments, etc., and from raw product to processed product; Other items as noted 4. Maintenance of hand washing, hand sanitizing, and toilet facilities; Section 11 HACCP SYSTEM TRAINING (Individuals trained according to 5. Protection of milk and milk product, milk packaging material, and milk Appendix K or alternatively have equivalent job experience.) contact surfaces from adulteration with lubricants, fuel, pesticides, cleaning compounds, sanitizing agents, condensate and other chemical, A. PPs developed by trained personnel. physical and biological contaminants; Hazard Analysis developed by trained personnel. 6. Proper labeling, storage, and use of toxic compounds. □ C. HACCP Plan developed by trained personnel. 7. Control of employee health conditions that could result in the microbiological contamination of milk and milk products, milk packaging D. HACCP Plan validation, modification or reassessment performed by trained materials, and milk contact surfaces; and 8. Pest exclusion from the milk plant, receiving station, or transfer station. □ E. HACCP Plan records review performed by trained individual. 9. Requirements in Appendix T. are addressed. □ F. Employees trained in monitoring operations. □ B. Additional PP's required or justified by the hazard analysis are written and ☐ G. Employees trained in PP operations and food hygiene. implemented by firm. Пн Records that document training shall be established, maintained □ C. PP conditions and practices monitored as required. and retained at the milk plant for at least two (2) years after the date they are prepared. □ D. PP monitoring performed at a frequency to ensure conformance. HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT FOLLOW-UP ACTION □ E. Corrections performed in a timely manner when PP monitoring records reflect Section 12 deficiencies or non-conformities. A. Previous audit findings corrected. □ F. PP audited by firm. Previous audit findings remain corrected at time of this audit. П В. PP monitoring records adequately reflect conditions observed. ☐ G. STATE MILK PLANT, RECEIVING STATION OR TRANSFER STATION HACCP C. ☐ H. PP signed and dated as required. SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT issued and follow- up conducted as required (HACCP Listing Audits and FDA Audits only).

Refer to attached Audit Discussion sheet(s) for details.

A series of observations that lead to a finding of a potential HACCP System failure that is likely to result in a compromise to milk or milk product safety.**

NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM AUDIT REPORT DISCUSSIO	N SHEET
FIRM NAME	DATE OF AUDIT
My HACCP Dairy Plant	January 23-25, 2018

EXPLANATION OF DEVIATION/DEFICIENCIES/NON-CONFORMITIES THAT <u>DID NOT</u> MEET THE NCIMS HACCP PROGRAM CRITERIA

(Use additional sheets as necessary if entry field is non-expandable.)

NOTE: When Regulatory Audits are conducted, timelines for corrections of all identified deviations, deficiencies and non-conformities shall be established.

Section 1.C. - The firm has failed to reassess the hazard analysis after changes in raw materials, formulations, processing methods/systems, distribution, and intended use or consumer as evidenced by the lack of the hazard analysis being reviewed and re-dated after the 6/2017 addition of a new ingredient, chocolate slurry and again after the case washing area was relocated 7/31/2017. The current hazard analysis documented and signed is dated 3/15/2016.

Section 9.A.2. - The plant has failed to write and implement required prerequisite programs that are in substantial compliance with the HACCP requirements. Specifically, the plant has failed to monitor and comply with the HACCP requirement for the Condition and Cleanliness of Milk Contact Surfaces of Equipment as evidenced by the following: Product residues were observed in raw silos #1, #2 and #3, blending vat B and tank R7 following CIP; stabilizer residues were observed on the bottom of raw storage tank R16 after it had been cleaned; and there is no brief written description or checklist of monitoring the cleaning effectiveness after cleaning has occurred.

Based upon the equipment cleaning history at this milk plant, cleaning effectiveness checks shall be addressed in the written prerequisite program.

Section 9.C. & F. - The plant has failed to monitor or audit prerequisite program conditions, as required to ensure conformance. Specifically, the written procedures for CIP of raw silos #1, #2 and #3, blending vat B and tank R7 stipulated an alkali wash at 147°F for 20 minutes. An examination of the CIP charts for those circuits indicated that the temperature of the alkali wash ranged from 118°F to 128°F. There was no evidence that any of the CIP charts were monitored and signed by the operator or verified by the sanitation shift supervisor as required by the prerequisite program. The operator shall monitor, and the sanitation shift supervisor shall verify CIP charts as required by the written prerequisite program.

Section 11.D. - The plant failed to adequately train employees in their responsibilities related to the HACCP System. Specifically the employees operating the CIP systems and their supervisors evaluating the CIP recording charts. (Refer to Section 9. C. & F comments.)

I. M. A. Milkrater

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration		STEM REGULATORY ed with all NCIMS HACCP L	AGENCY REVIEW REPORT istings and FDA Audits)								
STATE REGULATORY AGENCY			DATE OF EVALUATION								
State Department of Health January 23-25, 2016											
FIRM NAME		LICENSE/PERMIT NO.	IMS PLANT NO.								
My HACCP Dairy Plant		123	00-123								
ADDRESS		-									
234 Milk Road, My City, MY 1	1111										

EXPLANATION OF CONCERNS NOTED REGARDING REGULATORY AGENCY OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE NCIMS HACCP SYSTEM

(Use additional sheets if necessary.)

A narrative description shall be provided as a part of all NCIMS HACCP Listings and FDA Audits, including aseptic and/or retort milk plants with NCIMS HACCP Listings. This report shall include an evaluation of the following requirements:

- 1. Milk plant, receiving station or transfer station holds a valid permit.

 My HACCP Dairy Plant permit #123 is valid. It was issued January 1, 2016 and expires December 31.
- 2016.
- 2. Milk plant, receiving station or transfer station audited by a HACCP trained Regulatory Agency auditor at the minimum required frequency and follow-ups conducted as required.

The routine milk plant regulatory audits were conducted at the required frequencies. Follow up audits to verify correction of non-conformities from previous audits are not being conducted until the next routine audit. The last sweet water sample (January 5, 2016) was violative; therefore, the previous minimum frequency of once each six (6) months has been changed to once each four (4) months. (Note: The follow up sample taken January 11, 2016 was satisfactory.)

- 3. Requirements interpreted in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* as indicated by past audits. The regulatory audit made August 3-5, 2015 did not note the need to re-evaluate the hazard analysis after the new chocolate slurry system was installed or after the case washer was moved. The October 26-28, 2015 regulatory audit did not question the equipment plant cleaning prerequisite program even though ongoing problems with equipment cleaning were observed in the plant records and by observation of the regulatory inspector. In the case of such repeated problems, in addition to assuring that the equipment is cleaned before being used again, the Regulatory Agency should be requiring the milk plant to investigate the cause of the problem and modify their HACCP system, if needed, to prevent reoccurrence.
- 4. Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency. (Not applicable to receiving stations, transfer stations, aseptic milk plants and retort milk plants.)

 All equipment tests were conducted at the required frequencies for HTST #1 and HTST #2.
- 7 in equipment tests were continued at the required nequencies for 111 of #1 and 111 of #2
- 5. Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required.

 Sweet water and glycol samples were taken at the required frequency and, with the exception of the January 5, 2016 sample, all results were satisfactory.
- 6. Samples of milk plant's milk and/or milk products collected at the required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made. (Not applicable to receiving and transfer stations.)
 Only three (3) samples of fat free chocolate milk were taken between March 2015 and September 2015.
- 7. Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods.

 One (1) evening/weekend Industry Plant Sampler had not been evaluated in the last two (2) years.
- 8. Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required.

Two (2) of four (4) high Coliform counts for whole milk chocolate were observed (April 6, 2015 [Coliform 40] and June 21, 2015 [Coliform 26]; however a warning letter was not sent.

- 9. Records systematically maintained and current.
- Overall, the records are generally up to date and accurate.

FORM FDA 2359n (10/13)

20. FORM FDA 2359i-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's REPORT (<code>EXAMPLE</code>: <code>NCIMS HACCPLISTING</code>)

DEPARTMENT OF HEAL	.TH AND HUMAN SERVI	CES	IN	ITERS								ORT			3	-A. C	OUN.	TRY	
	G ADMINISTRATION					mit an to the								USA	1				
1. NAME OF SHIPPER						2. CI	TY					3.	STA	TE					
My HACCP Milk Plant						My	City	7				1	Лy	1111	1				
4. STREET				5.	F	PLANT	or BTU	J #			6.			PROD	UCT	ODE	#s		
234 Milk Road						0	0	1	2	3	2	4	7	5	8	9	-	1	8
		090		7. SUR	VEY	DATA													
	DAIRY FARMS																		
PRODUCT	TYPE OF RATING XAREA □INDIVIDUA	TRA	ECEIVII NSFER	NG OR STATIO	N		MILI	(PLA	NT 1					E	NFOR	CEME	ENT		
RATING (%)	90		NA	á .		HAC	CP L	istin	g Ac	cept	able				Ac	cept	able	;	
DATE OF RATING			NA	9			1/23	-25/	2016										
TOTAL NUMBER			NA					1											
NUMBER INSPECTED			NA					1											
VOLUME RECEIVED DAILY (Cwt)			NA	R			9	,800	İ										
	APPENDIX N PER IN COMPLIANCE WITH ISIONS OF APPENDIX N? YES NO										LE, IS	FSP/PC THE SI OF AF	HIPP			PLIAN	ICE		
RATING AGENCY	CERTIFIED RATING OFFIC	ER				OFFIC				ION				EARL	IEST I	RATIN	IG DA	ATE	
SHD SDL	I. M. A. Milkrater					EXPIR	ATION	DAI	E			1000	NTH	8	899)AY			EAR
OTHER	1. W. A. WIIKIALEI					(Oct 1	2, 20	017			0		1	2	3	}	1	6
AGENCY PROVIDING CONTINU	JOUS SUPERVISION OF SUI	PPLY											E	XPIRA	ATION	RATI	NG E	DATE ²	
State Department of Hea	lth										+	М О	NTH	1	2	DAY 2	,	1	EAR 8
			8 LA	BORAT	ORY	Y CON	TROL					0		1	4			1	0
APPROVED LABORATORY NUI	MBER EXPIRATION DAT	E	· · ·	PROCE					BOVE	n.			В	A)A(N4	ILK TE	ere /	A DDD	OVE	`
A. 00001	A. 2/2017	+		FROCE	JJEI	DIVILK	LOTO	AFF			SIDUE	VIAB	5 0000	1	OMATI	-			ESIDUE
в. 00302	в. 9/2017		SPC	COLI	F	PHOS	RE	3C		TEST					COU		DIC	TES	
			A. 2a	A. 21a	23	. <u>28a</u>	A	22_	224	9C2, 9	9D3_	A. 2 B. 3		A B.			100	9C2,	9D3
DATE OF LAST TWO (2) SPLIT	CAMDIES		B	B VED WAT	B	V	B	/ ANIT	B	_	-	15		_	APPR	OVE	В		
	04/2016		APPROV	State I					DAII	-		VVAIL	IK I				10		
в. 04/2014 в.	09/2015					A) 10/								24	MPN				
9. PUBLICATION	(Written permission from	a shippe	er shall	be filed	at a	Regio	nal O	ffice	of FD	A pr	ior to t	he pui	olica	ation o	of ratio	ng/lis	ting.,)	
LETTER OF PERMISSION	TO PUBLISH IS TRANSMIT	TED WITH	H THIS I	REPORT	?	X	YES				Пис)							
	10	SUBMI	ISSION	OF RE	POF	RT BY	RATI	NG A	GEN	CY									
08/10/2016	John Q. Inspe		DN: c=U 0.9.2342	r signed by Jol 15, o=U.S. Gove 2.19200300.100 019.08.19 07:21	rnment, 0.1.1=00	ou=HHS, ou: 10065668	:FDA, ou=	People, c	n= John Q.	Inspector	Title Mil	lk Sar	itat	ion F	Ratinį	g Off	ficer	ſ	
Submit separate Form for each The expiration rating date is two Enforcement Rating is <90, then date of 3/31/2014.	(2) years after the earliest rate																		

FORM FDA 2359i (10/18) FRONT

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

		FOR FDA REGIONA	AL OFFICE USE ONLY
Written permission	from shipper dated	08/01/2016	on file and publication of rating/listing recommended.
DATE	SIGNATURE	E (FDA Milk Specialist)	
08/10/2016			

11. **MILK PLANTS**: List below the Name and Address of all shippers of raw milk and milk products received during the thirty (30) days preceding the earliest rating date of the Rating; Sanitation Compliance Rating; and Expiration Rating Date. Plants receiving milk from an unlisted source(s), or source(s) with a Sanitation Compliance Rating below ninety (90), are not eligible for listing in the electronic publication, *IMS LIST – SANITATION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT RATINGS OF INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPERS*.

CITY AND STATE/COUNTRY	SANITATION COMPLIANCE RATING	EXPIRATION RATING DATE
Milktown, State/Country	90	12/19/2017
Tootle Town, State/County	92	06/2/2016
Cow Palace, State/County	94	10/12/2016
	Milktown, State/Country Tootle Town, State/County	CITY AND STATE/COUNTRY COMPLIANCE RATING Milktown, State/Country 90 Tootle Town, State/County 92

INSTRUCTIONS:

Completed Forms shall be received by the Milk Safety Team (HFS-316) to be included in the IMS List. Additional explanation is offered for the following Items:

Item 1: Name of Shipper – Limit shipper's name to not more than thirty-four (34) characters and spaces. If a receiving or transfer station is to be listed, please include "Receiving Station" or "Transfer Station" or "(RS)" or "(TS)" with the name of the shipper. Suggested abbreviations are published in the IMS List.

Item 5: Plant or BTU # – When the IMS Number is less than five (5) digits, leave the left-hand square(s) blank.

Item 6: Product Code #'s - Enter Product Code #s starting in the first (left-hand) space. Product Code #s are listed below:

PRODUCT CODES:

- 1. Raw Milk for Pasteurization (May Include Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 2. Pasteurized Milk, Reduced Fat, Lowfat, or Skim
- 3. Heat-Treated (May Include Reduced Fat, Lowfat, Skim or Cream)
- 4. Pasteurized Half & Half, Coffee Cream, Creams
- 5. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Milk and Milk Products
- 6. Aseptic Milk and Milk Products (Including Flavored)
- 7. Cottage Cheese (Including Lowfat, Nonfat or Dry Curd)
- 8. Cultured or Acidified Milk and Milk Products
- 9. Yogurt (Including Lowfat or Skim)
- 10. Sour Cream Products (Acidified or Cultured)
- 11. Whey (Liquid)
- 12. Whey (Condensed)
- 13. Whey (Dry)
- 14. Modified Whey Products (Condensed or Dry)
- 15. Condensed Milk and Milk Products
- 16. Nonfat Dry Milk
- 17. Buttermilk (Condensed or Dry)
- 18. Eggnog
- 19. Lactose Reduced Milk and Milk Products
- 20. Low-Sodium Milk and Milk Products
- 21. Milk and Milk Products with Added Safe and Suitable Microbial Organisms (Such as Lactobacillus acidophilus)
- 22. Dry Milk and Milk Products
- 23. Anhydrous Milk Fat
- 24. Cholesterol Modified Anhydrous Milk Fat

- 25. Cholesterol Modified Fluid Milk Products
- 26. Cream (Condensed or Dry)
- 27. Blended Dry Products
- 28. Whey Cream
- 29. Whey Cream and Cream Blends
- 30. Grade "A" Lactose
- 31. Raw Goat Milk for Pasteurization
- 32. Pasteurized Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 33. Cultured Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 34. Condensed or Dry Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 35. Ultra-Pasteurized (UP) Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 36. Aseptic Goat Milk and Milk Products
- 37. Raw Sheep Milk for Pasteurization
- 38. Pasteurized Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 39. Cultured Sheep Milk and Milk Products
- 40. Concentrated Raw Milk Products for Pasteurization
- 41. Concentrated Pasteurized Milk Products
- 42. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Milk
- 43. Ultrafiltered Permeate from Whey
- 44. Raw Water Buffalo Milk for Pasteurization
- 45. Pasteurized Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 46. Cultured Water Buffalo Milk and Milk Products
- 47. Raw Camel Milk for Pasteurization
- 48. Pasteurized Camel Milk and Milk Products
- 49. Cultured Camel Milk and Milk Products

FORM FDA 2359i (10/18) BACK

(PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

21. FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING (EXAMPLE: MILK PLANT HACCP LISTING)

	N FOR PUBLICATION Milk Shipper's Listing	
PPER's NAME		
HACCP Milk Plant		
DRESS		
4 Milk Road, My City, MY 11111		
You are hereby advised that on (date[s]) Janu	ary 23-25, 2016	a Rating or
HACCP Listing Audit was conducted with the	following results:	
Producer Supply (BTU) 90*	Transfer Station NA	
Receiving Station NA	Milk Plant Acceptable F	IACCP Listing
Enforcement Rating (For all Ratings and for	attached farm supplies of HACCF	Plistings) Acceptable
in the "IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and En Rating or HACCP Listing is valid for a period date, except if the Enforcement Rating is less a period not to exceed six (6) months from the Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments.	not to exceed two (2) years from than 90 percent (<90%), then the	n the earliest rating/listing e official Rating is valid for
Publicat	ion Permission Section	
Permission is hereby granted to release and pu	iblish the above-stated Rating or HA	CCP Listing for use by
Regulatory Agencies and prospective purchase		
It is understood and agreed by the undersign review this supply at any time during the two above. It is further understood that we will no change should occur, which affects our raw n status, including products listed.	o (2)-year or six (6) month period, retify the Rating or HACCP Listing A	espectively, referred to gency if any significant
It is understood and agreed that the failure to acceptable for listing, may result in immediate		stem at a level, which is
It is further agreed that plants, receiving station for processing into milk or milk products for a listed, are from a non-listed source or a source ninety percent (90%), shall be immediately with	which that milk plant, receiving stati e having a Milk Sanitation Complia	on or transfer station is nce Rating of less than
SIGN AND RETURN TO $\frac{MY\ State\ Depart}{DAYS\ OF\ RECEIPT.}$	tment of Health (Name of Agency)	WITHIN FIVE (5)
NAME OF SHIPPER		
My HACCP Dairy Plant		
SIGNATURE OF REPRESENTATIVE		
I. Havepride		T DATE
TITLE		DATE
Chief Operating Officer		January 29, 2016

22. FORM FDA 23590-PERMISSION FOR PUBLICATION-INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPER's LISTING (EXAMPLE: BTU AND MILK PLANT RATING LISTING)

PERMISSION FOR Interstate Milk Ship		
SHIPPER'S NAME		
Clean Milk Dairy ADDRESS		
2525 Milky Way, Moosville, State 00007		
You are hereby advised that on (date[s]) August 3-7, 2	2016	a Rating
or HACCP Listing Audit was conducted with the followin	g results:	
Producer Supply (BTU) 92%	Transfer Station NA	
Receiving Station NA	Milk Plant 91%	
Enforcement Rating (For all Ratings and for attached	farm supplies of HACCP I	istings) 90%
The results will be transmitted to the U.S. Food and Dru in the "IMS List-Sanitation Compliance and Enforcement Rating or HACCP Listing is valid for a period not to exdate, except if the Enforcement Rating is less than 90 page a period not to exceed six (6) months from the earliest Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments.	nt Ratings of Interstate Mil sceed two (2) years from percent (<90%), then the o	Ik Shippers". The official the earliest rating/listing official Rating is valid for
Publication Peri	mission Section	
Permission is hereby granted to release and publish the a Regulatory Agencies and prospective purchasers.		CP Listing for use by
It is understood and agreed by the undersigned that the review this supply at any time during the two (2)-year above. It is further understood that we will notify the Ra change should occur, which affects our raw milk supply status, including products listed.	or six (6) month period, resulting or HACCP Listing Age	pectively, referred to ncy if any significant
It is understood and agreed that the failure to maintain acceptable for listing, may result in immediate removal o		m at a level, which is
It is further agreed that plants, receiving stations or transfor processing into milk or milk products for which that listed, are from a non-listed source or a source having a ninety percent (90%), shall be immediately withdrawn from the source of the so	milk plant, receiving station Milk Sanitation Compliance	or transfer station is e Rating of less than
Billio of Tabobii 1.	e of Agency)	WITHIN FIVE (5)
NAME OF SHIPPER		
Clean Milk Dairy SIGNATURE OF REPRESENTATIVE		
1. M. Bosse		
TITLE		DATE
Chief Operating Officer		August 12, 2016
FORM FDA 2359o (10/13)		

23. FORM FDA 2359p-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS

(EXAMPLE: Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) Aseptic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products)

Department of Health	and Human Services
Food and Drug	Administration

NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND/OR RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS

	(Low-Acid (pH greater than 4.6) As	septic and Retort Milk and/or Milk Products)
	II NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Pro ogram Ratings/HACCP Listings and FDA Check i	
MILK PLANT ASEPTIC DAIRY		DATE OF RATING 10/8-9-2016
ADDRESS 100 PLANT DRIVE MOTOPIA, USA 00000		LICENSE/PERMIT NO. 80-001
RATING AGENCY USA MILK CONTROL AGENCY		

EXPLANATION OF CONCERNS NOTED REGARDING CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS UNDER THE NCIMS ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING PROGRAM AND RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING PROGRAM

(Use additional sheets as necessary.)

A narrative description shall be provided as a part of all NCIMS Aseptic Processing and Packaging Program and Retort Processed after Packaging Program Ratings/ HACCP Listings and FDA Check Ratings/HACCP Audits. This report shall include an evaluation of the following requirements:

 Is the milk plant registered with FDA LACF and are all of the milk plant's low-acid aseptic and/or retort processed after packaging Grade "A" milk and/or milk products covered by a filing with the FDA LACF using Form FDA 2541c, or Form FDA 2341a, respectively, or equivalent electronic filing?

Yes – FCE number 000000; Grade "A" Products: White Milks (Whole, 2%, 1% and Skim), Flavored Milk, including chocolate (Whole, 2% and Skim).

SID 2005-01-12/001 indirect UHT processor. SUP SID 2005-01-12/2003 Tetra Pak A3/Flex. (Or refer to attached list of additional SIDs and SUP SIDs.)

2. Are the milk plant's filed scheduled processes for all of its low-acid aseptic and/or retort processed after packaging Grade "A" milk and/or milk products developed by a recognized Process Authority qualified as having expert knowledge of thermal processing requirements?

YES-Sterilization Processing System #1 and 2: Processing Authorities, Inc., 400 SE 1st, Aseptic, State 00000 (George reviewer); Aseptic Fillers #3 and 4: Good Packaging, LLC, 1111 Filler Lane, Bottle, State 00000 (Johnny B. Sterile).

3. Are the operators of the milk plant's aseptic processing and packaging systems and/or retort processed after packaging systems under the supervision of a person who has attended a school approved by the FDA (such as Better Process Control School or recognized equivalent)?

YES-Supervisors on site are: Jeff Plant-Better Processing Control School-Purdue University (10/2011); Robert Fixer-Better Processing Control School-WA State University (6/2005); and Jamie Boss-Better Processing Control School-University of Arkansas (8/2010).

4. Is the milk plant currently under an "Order of Determination of Need" for an Emergency Permit?

No.

FORM FDA 2359p (10/13)

24. FORM FDA 2359q-NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE - CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS FOR GRADE "A" FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS – PH OF 4.6 OR BELOW OBTAINED BY FERMENTATION USING LIVE AND ACTIVE CULTURES

(EXAMPLE: ASEPTIC AND/OR RETORT MILK PLANT AND/OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK PLANT)

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration NCIMS ASEPTIC PROGRAM COMMITTEE-CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS for Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products-pH of 4.6 or below obtained by fermentation using live and active cultures

(To be included with NCIMS State Ratings/HACCP Listin FDA Check Ratings/Audits.)	ngs and
MILK PLANT FHA YORGURT	DATE OF INSPECTION/RATING 10-25-2018
ADDRESS 300 6 th St, Washington, DC 20001	LICENSE/PERMIT NO. 11-1234

RATING AGENCY

Washington DC Department of Agriculture

EXPLANATION OF CONCERNS NOTED REGARDING CRITICAL LISTING ELEMENTS UNDER THE NCIMS PROGRAM COMMITTEE

(Use additional sheets as necessary.)

A narrative description shall be provided as a part of all NCIMS Aseptic Program Committee State Ratings/ HACCP Listings and FDA Check Ratings/Audits. This report shall include an evaluation of the following requirements:

1. Does the milk plant have an FDA Low-Acid Canned Foods (LACF) Food Canning Establishment (FCE) Number?

Yes, this plant is registered as Food Canning Establishment 012345 with FDA-CFSAN

2. Are the milk plant's Grade "A" fermented high-acid, (FHA) shelf-stable milk and/or milk product(s) produced using an Aseptic-Qualified filler and Product Sterilizer System (AQFPSS) which is under a current FDA LACF 2541g (Food Process Filing for Low Acid Aseptic Systems)?

Processing equipment: TerraTherm tubular thermal processor.

Packaging equipment: SaniPak aseptic filler.

Yes, both of these components of the AQFPSS have a 2541g Food Process Filing for Low-Acid Aseptic Systems, I.D. 20171015001, filed on 10/15/2017, for the aseptic processing and packaging of a low-acid protein drink product.

3. Are the milk plant's process recommendations for its Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk product(s) developed by a recognized process authority qualified as having expert knowledge of aseptic processes?

Yes, the plant's process authority for the fermented high-acid low fat yogurt product is Smith Consulting LLC of Washington, DC who also developed the process recommendations for the 2451g filing of the aseptic low acid protein drink product listed above.

4. Have the milk plant's process recommendations for its Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk product(s) been reviewed [with no objections] by the Regulatory Agency prior to production of these products?

Yes, the plant has a letter dated 1/25/2018 from the USA MILK CONTROL AGENCY indicating that the process recommendations for the fermented high-acid low fat yogurt product developed by Smith Consulting LLC dated 11/3/2017 has been reviewed with no objections.

5. Are the milk plant's process recommendations that have been reviewed and confirmed by the Regulatory Agency for its Grade "A" fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk product(s) being implemented by the milk plant?

Yes, random production records were reviewed for the processing of the shelf-stable low fat yogurt product on 10/24/2018, 10/23/2018, 9/17/2018, and 7/5/2018. Review of these production records revealed that processing recommendations for the shelf stable low fat yogurt are being met by the plant. Critical limits in the process recommendations include:

- Pre-sterilization of the TerraTherm and SaniPack using hot water at a minimum of 198°F for at least 25 minutes.
- Maximum pH of 4.55 per vat of yogurt prior to transfer to the TerraTherm as recorded on the yogurt production log sheet.
- Maximum flow rate of 15.0 gallons per minute as recorded by the TerraTherm flow recorder.
- Minimum temperature of 164.5°F at the end of the hold tube as recorded by the TerraTherm temperature recorder.
- 6. Are the operators of the milk plant's aseptic-qualified filler and product sterilizer under the supervision of a person who has attended a school approved by the FDA (such as Better Process Control School or recognized equivalent)?

Yes, line operators and supervisors overseeing operations of the AQFPSS include:

- Sally Smith-Better Process Control School Pennsylvania State University-University Park 2015
- John Williams-Better Process Control School University of California-Davis 2015
- Mary Jones-Better Process Control School Oregon State University-Corvallis 2012
- Brian Miller-Better Process Control School Purdue University-West Lafayette 2017
- 7. Is the milk plant currently under an "Order of Determination of Need" for an emergency Permit for its LACF filing, or a suspension of food facility registration?

No.

FORM FDA 2359q (10/19)

PSC Publishing Services (301) 443-6740 EF

25. FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (EXAMPLE: ASEPTIC, RETORT MILK PLANT AND/OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE MILK PLANT)

MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT

SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS

(Example: Aseptic, Retort, or Fermented High-Acid, Shelf-Stable Milk Plants)

SHIPPER ASEPTIC OR RETORT DAIRY

DATE OF RATING 10/8-10-2018

ENFORCEMENT RATING 91

Γ		DAIRY FA PART		S						MILK PLA PART I		0				INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING PART III									
Number	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	ltem	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit		
1	3	All dairy farmers hold a valid permit				5		1	3	All milk plant, receiving station and transfer station operators hold a valid permit				5	5	1		Enter Total Credit from Part I under Percent Complying			NA	47	NA		
2	5	All dairy farms inspected once every six (6) months or as required in Appendix "P"				15		2	5	Milk plant and receiving station(s) inspected once every three (3) months; aseptic and retort milk plant and transfer station(s) once every six (6) months	4	3	75	15	11.25	2		Enter Total Credit from Part II under Percent Complying			92.06	47 /94	86.54		
3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5		3	5	Inspection sheet posted or available				5	5	3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled	5	4	80	6	4.80		
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections				10		4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA PMO as indicated by past inspections	1	.90	90	10	9			TOTAL CREDIT,		91.34					
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10		5	7 App i	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (Not required for aseptic and retort milk plants.)		NA	NA	15	NA		INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS adividual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization, Ultra-Pasteurization, A processing and Packaging or Retort Processed after Packaging:								
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required				5		6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required	6	6	100	5	5	▮.	With	out Milk Plant, Receiving Statio	ansfer	Station:					
7	5	Milking time inspection program established				5		7	6	Samples of each milk plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made	5	4	80	10	8.00	1	- Ev With - Ev	aluate all Items Part I and reco Receiving Station(s) or Transf aluate all Items Part I.	sfer Station(s): ot Numbers 5 and 7. Divide by 75. and Milk Products:						
8	6	At least four (4) samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six (6) months and all necessary laboratory examinations made				10		8	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	1	100	10	10		- Ev idual Asep	aluate all Items Part III. Shipper of Pasteurized Milk an tic and Retort Milk Plants:							
9	6 App E	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10		9	3,5, 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	1	1	100	15	15	١.	With	aluate all Items Part II., except Attached Raw Supply: aluate all Items Part I.			ivide by 8	5.			
10	3,5, 0 6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15		10		Records systematically maintained and current	1	1	100	10	10		- Ev - Ev - With - Ev - Ev - Ev								
1		Records systematically maintained and current				10				TOTAL CREDIT, Part	П				92.06			REMA	RKS						
# (# n	2-One 12/201 4-Viol nake-u	ation of Item 7(b) (4 pts)-Sub up tank. Item 15b(c) (5 pts)-0	onth in	ed wat	ter inle	et in betv	the CIP een the	de #7 did	bited -Ase	Remarks vas in very poor condition. Al on the last inspection. otic (or Retort) 2% chocolate in have a vitamin assay conduct	l exis milk, ted d	vitam uring	ins A &	D fo	ortified,			ptic (or Retort) nonfat mil "Keep Refrigerated Afte				as Gi	rade		
r	aw mil	k storage silo #2 and the CIF nd Item 1(a) (1 pt)-The floorii	systong in t	em in t	the re PPS (c	ceivi	ng PPS)			78.25/85 = 9	2.0	6													

FORM FDA 2359j (10/13) (PAGE 2) (PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

26. FORM FDA 2359e-STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS (Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)

STATUS OF MANUFACTURING PLANTS

(SINGLE-SERVICE CONTAINERS AND/OR CLOSURES FOR MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS)

e of Certification <u>Ju</u>	ne 21, 20	16				•																Sai	nitat	tion C	Comp	liar	nce	Rat	ting	g ¹ 85
		_		_				_	_	_			_		ITEM	633	SAN	ITAT	TION	N .		_	_					_	_	
NAME OF PLANT		Hoors	Walls and Ceilings	Doors and Windows	Lighting and Ventilation	Separate Rooms	Toilet/Facilities- Sewage Disposal	Water Supply	Handwashing Facilities	Plant Cleanliness	Lockers and Lunchrooms	Disposal of Wastes	Personnel - Practices	Protection From	Contamination	Storage of Materials and Finished Product	Fabrication Equipment	Materials for Construction of Containers	and/or Closures	Waxes, Adhesives, Sealants,	Coating and Inks	Handling of Containers, Closures and Equipment	Wrapping and Shipping	Identification and Decorde	TOTAL THE INCOME.	Surroundings	Bacterial Count*	Coliform Count*	Total Debits ²	REMARKS
	ITEM	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13 a,b,c, f,g,i,k	13 d,e,h,j	14	15	16 a	16 b,c	17 a,b, d,e	17 c	18	19	20 a,b,f	20 c,d,e	21				
	WEIGHT	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	2	3	2	2	3	3	11	3	5	11	3	3	11	2	4	3	11	2	5	10		
Blow Mold Plastics		1														3									e-11				15	
																			П											SCR = 100-15 = 85

Footnotes:

FORM FDA 2359e (11/15)

TOTALS

¹ Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - Total Debits

² Total Debits for each manufacturing plant are the sum of the weights of the Items violated. (NOTE: Any Item or sub-item violated, indicate by placing the debit value (weight) of that Item or an "X" under that Item.)

^{*}Used only when not in compliance.

27. FORM FDA 2359d-REPORT OF CERTIFICATION (Fabrication of Single-Service Containers and/or Closures for Milk and/or Milk Products)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES RE					DFI	OP	ORT OF CERTIFICATION						FOR FDA USE ONLY																
DEI,	FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION						of Single-Service Containers and/or					or	1	2	2	3	-	4		5									
									`				s for Milk and/or Milk Products)																
												2 61	IDENTIFICATION				2 CT	ATE/O	TIMIT	DV.									
	NAME OF SINGLE-SERVICE FABRICATING PLANT Blow Mold Plastics						2. CI C (ontai	iner						C	TATE/COUNTRY Country													
	STREE										·					5.	ı	MFG. C	CODÉ	NO		6			DE				
		•	ction																			Р	RODU	JCT CO	DE	MA	TERIA	LCODE	
7. AGE Resin			-					G RO	UTINE	INSF	ECTIO	N					6 X	57 XX		0	59 1			50 1		61		62 3	
2100		_			пsu	пап	ıs											CTCC		_		ATER		ODE (6	22)			3	
Nozzl																1.	Cont	ainers	טב (ט	,0,	1	. Me	tal						
7.a.R	ATIN	G/			DATE			Г	7.0	d. EX	PIRATI	ON D	ATE*				Closu	ures r produ	ıcts			. Pap J. Pla		ncludes	lam	inates	5)		
CERT PERS				CER	TIFICA											4.	Cont	ainers ainers	and d		s 4	. Met	tal an	d pape d plast					
PERS	OIVIVI	-			0/.	21/2	010		_	ONT	u T	DAY		/EAR		\dashv	produ	ucts			6	. Pap	oer an	nd plast	tic				
_	_	Othe		7.c. \$/	ANIT/	ATION	V		67	6		_	_	72	72		Closu	ures an ucts	nd oth	er		. Met		aper an	d pla	stic			
		∃TPC ⊈SSC		сом	PLIAN	ICE R	ATIN	G	0	6	5 2		0 2	20	19		Cont	ainers,		ires ar		. Rul		satal al	lastis	and a	alaaa		
	/L /2	y 550			8	5							0				otner	produ	cts			. Pap I. Cen		er, metal, plastic and glass amic					
				ice ma		turing	plants		be vali			d H	SRO amme																
expira	tion d	ate is o	one (1)	or two	(2) ye	ears fr	rom th	e earl	certificat iest cert	tificati	ion date	. 9.	CERT	IFIC	ATIC	N RE	CON	MENE	DED		9a. L	ISTIN	G TY	PE					
			ns condi ar from t						alid for a	peri	od not t	•		\boxtimes	YES			NO				\boxtimes	FULI	L [PA	RTIAL	_		
												L/	ABOR	ATC	RY	CON	TRO	L			-								
10. NA	ME /	AND A	DDRES	SS (O	R CO	DE) (OF A	PPRO	VED L	ABO	RATO	RY																	
XX-)	(X-1	100																											
7,7,7																													
						_			, ,	11.1	NSPE	TION		_	- -	ice an	"X ur	nder Ite	ms de		, ,						$\overline{}$		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13 a,b,c,f		3 e,	14	15	16	16	17 a,b,	17	18	19		20	21	ВА	COL	
													g,i,k	h	ų į			а	b,c	d,e	С			a,b,f,	d,e		BACTI		
l															\dashv							_					+	+	
	X															X									E				
												12	. PERI	MISS	ION	TO P	UBLI	SH											
								d to	relea	ise	and	oubli	sh th	e a	bov	e-sta	ated	certi	ficati	on fo	or use	by	Reg	gulato	ry/R	ating	g Age	encies	
	a	nd pr	ospe	ctive	purc	hase	ers.																						
	14	ie ur	deret	ood :	and	aare	od h	w th	a und	orci	aned	that	the o	ffici	al D	atino	1 A G	on cu	or St	ec -	e ann	dicah	olo n	nav re	ovio	w an	d an	praise	
																												urther	
	u	nders	stood	that	failu	ire t	o m	ainta	in the	ab	ove	ertif	icatio	n w	ill s	subje	ct th	nis pla	ant t	o wit	hdraw	/al fi	rom	the IN	MS I			Ve will	
	n	otify	the Ra	ating	Age	ncy	or S	SC,	as ap	plica	able,	of an	y sigi	nific	ant	chan	iges	made	e in t	he op	peratio	on of	this	plant	-				
12.a. N	NAME	OF P	LANT																										
			lold																										
12.b. (RELE	ASE						12	2.c. TI																
Single Service								νne																					
10 - 1		05.00	DOST		121				ION OF												SC, AS	APPL	ICAB	ILE					
13.a. DATE OF REPORT 13.b. RECOMMENDED CLASSIFICATION ACCEPTED				N II	Han				_	ture a	na Tit	ue)																	
0/2/	-, -(,10						YES	_ N	10						-044	, 3	-											
																SE ON													
14. DA	TE RI	ECEIVI	ED		15. P	UBLI	CATIC	ON OF	RATIN	IG RE	COM	ИEND	ED		Y	ES		O	(If "N	10", in	dicate	why.))						
16 DA	TE T	RANCE	MITTED	,	17 °	IGNA	TIJDE	/FD	A Milk S	Snec	ialiet)																		
10. DA		NAIV3I	VIII I EL	<i>'</i>	17. 3	IGNA	TORE	נטיז).	- WIIK	spec	ianst)																		

FORM FDA 2359d (12/17)

Number of Dairy Farms or Milk Plants Violating an Item

TABLE FOR COMPUTING PERCENT VIOLATION

Number of Dairy Farms or Milk Plants in Sample

		CONTRACT DATE:				THE THE TANK
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	22 23 24	25 26 27	7 28 29 30	31 32 33 34	35 36 37 38	39 40 41 42 43 44 50
100 50 33 25 20 17 14 13 11 10 9 8 8 7 7 6 6 6 5 5 5	5 5 5	4 4 4	4 3 3	3 3 3 3	3 3 3 3	3 3 2 2 2 2 2 1
100 67 50 40 33 29 25 22 20 18 17 15 14 13 13 12 11 11 10 10	9 9 8	8 8 7	7 7 7	7 6 6 6	6 6 5 5	5 5 5 5 5 4 2
	14 13 13		1 11 10 10	10 9 9 9	9 8 8 8	
	16 17 17	12 12 11	1 11 10 10	20 2 2 2	9 0 0 0	
100 80 67 57 50 44 40 36 33 31 29 27 25 24 22 21 20 19	16 17 17	16 15 15	0 14 14 13	13 13 12 12	11 11 11 11	10 10 10 10 9 9 8 4
100 83 72 63 56 50 45 42 38 36 33 31 29 28 26 25 24	23 22 21	19 19 18	3 17 17 16	16 15 15 14	14 14 13 13	13 12 12 12 12 11 10 5
100 86 75 67 60 55 50 46 43 40 38 35 33 32 30 29	27 26 25	24 23 22	2 21 21 20	19 19 18 18	17 17 16 16	15 15 15 14 14 14 12 6
100 88 78 70 64 58 54 50 47 44 41 39 37 35 33	32 30 29	28 27 26	5 25 24 23	23 22 21 21	20 19 19 18	18 18 17 17 16 16 14 7
100 89 80 73 67 62 57 53 50 47 44 42 40 38	36 35 33	32 31 30	0 29 28 27	26 25 24 24	26 22 22 21	21 20 20 19 19 18 16 8
	41 39 38	36 35 33	3 32 31 30	29 28 27 26	26 25 24 23	
100 91 83 77 72 67 63 59 56 53 50 48	46 44 42	40 38 37	7 36 35 33	32 31 30 29	29 28 27 26	
100 92 85 79 74 69 65 61 58 55 52	50 48 46	44 42 41	1 39 38 37	36 34 33 32	31 31 30 29	
100 92 86 80 75 71 67 63 60 57	55 52 50	19 16 15	5 43 41 40	20 29 26 25		
	50 57 54	50 50 40	9 45 41 40	42 41 20 29	34 33 32 32	
100 20 01 01 11 12 02 00 02	59 57 54		5 40 45 45	42 41 39 38	37 35 35 36	
100 93 88 82 78 74 70 67	64 61 58			44 44 42 41	40 39 38 37	
	68 65 63	60 58 56		48 47 45 44	43 42 43 40	39 38 37 36 35 34 30 15
100 94 90 85 80 76	73 70 67	64 62 59	9 57 55 53	52 50 49 47	46 44 43 42	41 40 39 38 37 36 32 16
100 94 90 85 81	77 74 71	68 65 63	3 61 59 57	55 53 52 50	49 47 46 45	44 43 42 41 40 39 34 17
100 94 90 86	82 78 75	72 69 67	7 64 62 60	58 56 55 53	51 50 49 47	46 45 44 43 42 41 36 18
100 95 90	87 83 79	76 73 70	0 68 66 63	61 59 58 56	54 53 51 50	
100 95		80 77 74				
		84 81 78		68 66 64 62		
1		88 85 82		71 69 68 65		
	100 96	92 89 85	82 /9 //	74 72 70 68	66 64 62 61	59 58 56 55 54 52 46 23
	100	1196192189	91861831801	771751731711	69167165163	62 60 59 57 56 54 48 24

For Example: An Item violated 16 times during a rating of 25 dairy farms equals a 64% violation rate.

TABLE FOR COMPUTING PERCENT VIOLATION

(Percentage rounded to nearest whole number)

36 38

APPENDIX A.

GUIDELINES FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT RATINGS

(FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2))

PART I. DAIRY FARMS

Enforcement evaluation is based on NCIMS requirements, not on individual State's and/or Country's laws or regulations.

The term "permit", whenever it appears in this document shall also mean a MC operating under the ICP possessing a valid MOA with a TPC.

- 1. All dairy farm operators hold valid permits (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.
 - a. Every dairy farm operator, in compliance, holds a valid permit.
 - b. Permits not transferable with respect to person and/or location.
- 2. All dairy farms inspected at least once every six (6) months or as required under Appendix P. (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS and APPENDIX P. PERFORMANCE-BASED DAIRY FARM INSPECTION SYSTEM). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.

NOTE: A single dairy farm BTU shall be prorated by the number of inspections in compliance with the required frequency.

Every dairy farm inspected at least once every six (6) months or as required by Appendix P.

NOTE: Use *MMSR*, Section E., 1., e. and E., 2., e. as a guide: "The interval shall include the designated period, plus the remaining days of the month in which the inspection is due."

3. Inspection sheets posted or available (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.

A copy of the most recent inspection report shall be available at the dairy farm.

4. Requirements interpreted in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* as indicated by past inspections (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.

<u>NOTE</u>: A single dairy farm BTU shall be prorated by significant interpretation violation(s) not noted on previous inspection reports. For each Item that is identified as being misinterpreted, the value to be taken off from a possible 100 points corresponds to the weight value identified per Item on FORM FDA 2359k-STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION, ULTRA-

PASTEURIZATION, ASEPTIC PROCESSING AND PACKAGING, RETORT PROCESSED AFTER PACKAGING OR FERMENTED HIGH-ACID, SHELF-STABLE PROCESSING AND PACKAGING.

- a. Sanitarian's criterion is neither too lenient nor too stringent.
- b. Significant violations, including construction, debited by the sanitarian on the most recent inspection.
- c. Sanitarian recognizes violations and debits as appropriate on the previous inspection reports.
- 5. Tuberculosis and Brucellosis Certification on file as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 8. ANIMAL HEALTH and APPENDIX A. ANIMAL DISEASE CONTROL). All or nothing Item based on record verification.
 - a. Located in a Certified Brucellosis Free Area as defined by USDA and enrolled in the testing program for such areas; or
 - 1.) Meet USDA requirements for an individually certified herd; or
 - 2.) Participate in an approved milk ring testing program; or
 - 3.) Have individual blood agglutination testing done annually; or
 - 4.) For goat, sheep, water buffalo, or any other hooved mammal herds/flocks, excluding cattle and bison, they are included in an official annual written certification from the State Veterinarian documenting their brucellosis-free status.
 - b. Located in an Area, which has a Modified Accredited Advanced Tuberculosis status or greater as determined by USDA. Other Areas or herds shall have passed an annual tuberculosis test or the Area has established a tuberculosis testing protocol that assures tuberculosis protection and surveillance of the dairy industry and is approved by FDA, USDA and the State Regulatory Agency.
 - c. Tuberculosis and/or Brucellosis certificates on file as required by the Regulatory Agency.
 - d. Notice of status changes readily available to the Regulatory Agency.
 - e. Milk from Brucellosis reactor animals withheld as required.

NOTE: For the ICP, references to USDA and/or State within 5. above, shall mean the Government Agency responsible for animal disease control in the Country or region of that Country. The term "State Veterinarian" shall mean an individual veterinarian authorized for those activities in said Country or region of that Country.

6. Water samples tested and reports on file as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS, APPENDIX D. STANDARDS FOR WATER SOURCES and APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL TESTS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance. A dairy farm missing one (1) water sample during a required time period shall not receive any credit for this Item.

NOTE: A single dairy farm BTU shall be prorated by the number of water samples tested during the required time period vs. the total number of water tests due per water system.

- a. Samples of private water supplies and recirculated cooling water systems taken upon initial construction/installation and within thirty (30) days after extensive repairs or alterations.
- b. Private water supplies sampled every three (3) years.
- c. Hauled water (cisterns) sampled in at least four (4) months out of six (6), at the point of use.
- d. Recirculated water sampled every six (6) months.
- e. Water supplies with buried well seals sampled every six (6) months.

NOTE: Use *Grade* "A" *PMO*, Section 7., Item 8r, ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES #7, as a guide: "To determine if water samples have been taken at the frequency established in this Section, the interval shall include the designated period plus the remaining days of the month in which the sample is due."

- f. Sampling is not required for public, community, or rural water system(s), which are under EPA/applicable Government Water Control Authority and in compliance with their requirements.
- g. Appropriate follow-up investigation and re-sampling of the supply/system following a positive bacteriological result. (Within thirty (30) days.)
- h. Heterotrophic count performed when required by APPENDIX G. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- i. Samples submitted to a laboratory acceptable to the Regulatory Agency.
- j. Current record of sample results on file at the Regulatory Agency, back to the last rating.

NOTE: Applicable Government Water Control Authority requirements, which are less stringent than the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, shall be superseded by the *Grade* "A" *PMO*. Applicable Government Water Control Authority requirements, which are stricter than the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, shall not be considered in determining the acceptability of water supplies during ratings, check ratings, single-service listing evaluations and audits.

For Example: If the applicable Government Water Control Authority's law required more frequent individual water supply samples to be taken, a SRO conducting a rating, which includes that dairy farm, shall give that dairy farm full credit for water sample frequency, if the *Grade "A" PMO* minimum sampling frequency requirement is met, even though, the applicable Government Water Control Authority's frequency is not met.

Supplies other than individual water supplies, which have been approved as safe by the applicable Government Water Control Authority, shall be considered to be acceptable sources, as provided in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*, for Grade "A" inspections, as well as for all other IMS purposes, without further inspection of the spring, well or reservoir treatment facility(ies), testing records, etc.

7. Milking Time Inspection Program established (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS and Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS). All or nothing Item.

NOTE: Until FDA guidance is developed for a Milking Time Inspection Program; full credit is given for this Item.

- 8. At least four (4) samples collected in at least four (4) separate months from each dairy farm's milk supply, during any consecutive six (6) months, except when three (3) months show a month containing two (2) sampling dates separated by at least twenty (20) days, and all necessary laboratory examinations made (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS). Prorate by the number of dairy farms in compliance.
 - a. Four (4) samples taken from each dairy farm during any consecutive six (6) month period. However, if the production of Grade "A" raw milk is not on a continuous monthly basis and; therefore, cannot meet PMO sampling frequency as cited, then a sample of the Grade "A" raw milk shall be collected during each month of production for any consecutive six (6) month period. (Use *MMSR*, Page 10 as a guide.)

NOTE: Use *MMSR*, Section B., 2., e.2.), as a guide for frequency determination.

- b. Required bacterial counts, somatic cell counts, drug residue and cooling temperature checks performed on each sample in an Official or Officially Designated Laboratory.
- 9. Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS; *EML*; and STANDARD METHODS FOR THE EXAMINATION OF DAIRY PRODUCTS (*SMEDP*)).

NOTE: Use *MMSR*, "GUIDANCE FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT CREDIT FOR PART I, ITEM 9 AND/OR PART II, ITEM 8 OF FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT, SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)".

- 10. Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings and/or court action taken as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS and Section 16. PENALTY). The BTU shall be prorated by enforcement action(s) in compliance per dairy farm. Five (5) Categories (a-e) shall be utilized for determining compliance with this Item and each shall possess a value of twenty percent (20%) compliance. The Categories are as follows:
 - a. Category I: Permit Issuance;
 - b. Category II: Permit Suspension;
 - c. Category III: Permit Revocation;
 - d. Category IV: Permit Reinstatement; and
 - e. Category V: Hearing/Court Action.

The Categories relate to the following Sanitation Requirements and Product Compliance. Compliance shall be prorated based on **full** compliance with each of the five (5) Categories.

NOTE: Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4). (Refer to Section J. #4 for an example of the Form.)

SANITATION REQUIREMENTS

Category I: Permit Issuance

- a. Inspected prior to the issuance of a permit.
- b. Permit issuance based on compliance.

Category II: Permit Suspension

- a. Notice issued for intent to suspend permit if an inspection(s) discloses a violation of a *Grade "A" PMO* requirement(s). Reinspection(s) made as required.
- b. Permit suspension upon violation of:
 - 1.) Section 3. for a serious health hazard or interference by the permit holder in the performance of the Regulatory Agency's duties; or
 - 2.) Section 5. for consecutive violation(s) of the same requirements of Section 7.
- c. Milk produced during suspension or while a monetary penalty is imposed for repeated inspection violations is not eligible for sale as Grade "A".

NOTE: Grade "A" PMO, Section 3. states: "The Regulatory Agency may forego suspension of the permit, provided the milk and/or milk product in violation is not sold or offered for sale as a Grade "A" milk and/or milk product. A Regulatory Agency may allow the imposition of a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension, provided the milk and/or milk product in violation is not sold or offered for sale as a Grade "A" milk and/or milk product. Except, that a milk producer may be assessed a monetary penalty in lieu of permit suspension for violative counts provided"

The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

Category III: Permit Revocation

Action to revoke a permit taken upon multiple suspensions.

Category IV: Permit Reinstatement

Reinstatement procedures followed.

<u>NOTE</u>: *Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. states: "Within one (1) week of the receipt of such notification {of correction}, the Regulatory Agency shall make an inspection/audit of the applicant's facility and as many additional inspections/audits thereafter as are deemed necessary to determine that the applicant's facility is complying with the requirements."

Category V: Hearing/Court Action

Hearings provided for as required.

PRODUCT COMPLIANCE

Category II: Permit Suspension

- a. All milk produced during suspension or while a monetary penalty is imposed for bacterial, somatic cell, cooling temperature or drug residue violation is not eligible for sale as Grade "A".
- b. When two (2) out of the last four (4) samples exceed the standards, a written notice is sent, and an additional sample is taken within twenty-one (21) days of the date of the notice, but not before three (3) days.
- c. Permit suspension; stop sale; or imposition of a monetary penalty upon violation of:
 - 1.) Section 3. for serious health hazard; or
 - 2.) Section 6. for:
 - i. Three (3) out of the last five (5) samples exceeding the bacterial, somatic cell, or cooling temperature standards; or
 - ii. "Four (4) in six (6) months" positive antibiotic (not of Appendix N. origin); or
 - iii. If pesticide contaminated milk is not withheld from sale.

NOTE: The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

Category IV: Permit Reinstatement

- a. Temporary permit issued as required on reinstatement(s) following somatic cell count resampling, which indicates the milk supply to be within acceptable limits; or reinspection (bacterial or cooling temperature standards violation) made within one (1) week following proper notification, except after reinstatement for a drug residue or with resampling for somatic cell standard.
- b. "Reinstating accelerated sample(s)" for bacterial, cooling temperature, or somatic cell counts taken at a rate of not more than two (2) per week on separate days within a three (3) week period.

For Example: FORM FDA 2359j-PART I, Item 10 Calculation (Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4). (Refer to Section J. #4 for an example of the Form.)

	Number	Number	Percent	Weight	Credit
	Inspected	Complying	Complying		
Category I	25	25	100	20	20
Category II	25	22	88	20	17.6
Category III	25	25	100	20	20
Category IV	25	25	100	20	20
Category V	25	25	100	20	20

TOTAL CREDIT \triangleright 97.6 = 98

TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART I, Item 10 "Percent Complying" column of FORM FDA 2359j. (Refer to Section K. #s 5, 9 and 11 for examples.)

11. Records systematically maintained and current (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS, Section 5. INSPECTION OF DAIRY FARMS, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS, and Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS). Make use of both general record-keeping deficiencies and record keeping by dairy farm to determine the value. The BTU shall be prorated by the number of identified record-keeping deficiencies per dairy farm. The four (4) Categories (a-d) listed below shall be utilized for determining compliance with this Item and each shall possess a value of twenty-five percent (25%) compliance. Compliance shall be prorated based on **full** compliance with each of the four (4) Categories.

<u>NOTE</u>: Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4). (Refer to Section J. #4 for an example of the Form.)

a. Category I: Permit records available, accurate and current, including permit suspension, impositions of a monetary penalty, notices, reinstatement, etc. The results shall be entered on appropriate ledger forms. The use of a computer or other information retrieval system may be used.

NOTE: The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

- b. Category II: Inspection reports on file as directed by the Regulatory Agency and retained at least twenty-four (24) months. The results are entered on a milk ledger form or computer.
- c. Category III: Bacterial counts, somatic cell counts, cooling temperatures, drug residues, pesticide results, and water analysis results promptly recorded on a milk ledger form or a computer program for each individual dairy farm. (Use the arithmetic average for bacterial counts, somatic cell counts and cooling temperature determinations when samples are collected from the same dairy farm on the same day from multiple storage tanks.)
- d. Category IV: Within the Rating Period: Plan review file in order and written approval given for construction during the rating period.

For Example: FORM FDA 2359j-PART I, Item 11 Calculation (Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION D. DAIRY FARM ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 4). (Refer to Section J. #4 for an example of the Form.)

	Number	Number	Percent	Weight	Credit
	Inspected	Complying	Complying		
Category I	25	25	100	25	25
Category II	25	25	100	25	25
Category III	25	23	92	25	23
Category IV	25	25	100	25	25

TOTAL CREDIT ▶ 98

TOTAL CREDIT to be entered into PART I, Item 11 "Percent Complying" column of FORM FDA 2359j. (Refer to Section K. #s 5, 9 and 11 for examples.)

PART II. MILK PLANTS

Enforcement evaluation is based on NCIMS requirements, not on individual State's and/or Country's laws or regulations.

The term "permit", whenever it appears in this document shall also mean a MC operating under the ICP possessing a valid MOA with a TPC.

- 1. All milk plants, receiving stations and transfer stations operators hold valid permits (*Grade* "A" PMO, Section 3. PERMITS). All or nothing Item.
 - a. All milk plants, receiving and transfer stations hold a valid permit.
 - b. Permits retained only by those in compliance with the *Grade "A" PMO* requirements.
 - c. Permits not transferable with respect to persons and/or locations.
- 2. Milk plants and receiving stations inspected at least once every three (3) months (transfer stations, aseptic milk plants and retort milk plants once every six (6) months) (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF MILK PLANTS). Prorate by the number of inspections in compliance with the required frequency.

For Example:

- = # of three (3) or six (6) month periods with an inspection conducted Total # of three (3) or six (6) month periods in rating period
- a. Milk plants and receiving stations inspected at least once every three (3) months.
- b. Transfer stations, aseptic milk plants, retort milk plants and fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk plants inspected at least once every six (6) months.

NOTE: Use *MMSR*, Section E., 1., e. as a guide: "...the interval shall include the designated period plus the remaining days of the month in which the inspection is due."

3. Inspection sheets posted or available (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 5. INSPECTION OF MILK PLANTS). All or nothing Item.

A copy of the most recent inspection report shall be available at the milk plant, receiving station or transfer station.

4. Requirements interpreted in accordance with the *Grade "A" PMO* as indicated by past inspections (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS.) Prorate by significant interpretation violation(s) not noted on previous inspection reports.

NOTE: For each Item that is identified as being misinterpreted, the value to be taken off from a possible 100 points corresponds to the weight value identified per Item on FORM FDA 2359L-STATUS OF MILK PLANTS.

- a. Sanitarian's criterion is neither too lenient nor too stringent.
- b. Significant violations, including construction, debited by the sanitarian on the most recent inspection.
- c. Sanitarian recognizes violations and debits as appropriate on the previous inspection reports.
- 5. Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS and APPENDIX I. PASTEURIZATION EQUIPMENT AND CONTROLS-TESTS). Prorate by the number of units per quarter that were correctly tested within the required testing frequency vs. the total number of units.

NOTE: Not required for aseptic, retort and fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk plants, except when the APPS and/or AQFPSS is utilized to produce aseptically processed and packaged and/or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable Grade "A" milk and/or milk products and pasteurized and/or ultra-pasteurized Grade "A" milk and/or milk products. The APPS and/or AQFPSS shall then be tested by the Regulatory Agency in accordance with the requirements cited in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.

a. Total required tests performed based on pasteurization system(s) equals the # number of Vat Pasteurizers, plus the number of HTST Pasteurizers, plus the number of HHST Pasteurizers, plus the number of APPSs, if applicable as cited above, at the milk plant.

For Example:

*= # of three (3) month periods X # of pasteurizers properly checked within each period # of three (3) month periods X Total # of pasteurizers

*NOTE: No credit for a period is given for a pasteurization unit unless all required tests for that unit have been correctly completed and recorded.

b. Test performed at required frequency, including semi-annual and quarterly tests conducted by the Regulatory Agency and daily tests conducted by an operator.

NOTE: Use *MMSR*, Section E., 4., a.1.) as a guide: "...the interval shall include the designated period plus the remaining days of the month in which the test(s) is due."

- c. All tests made and properly recorded (required calculations available). The results shall be entered on appropriate ledger forms. A computer or other information retrieval system may be used.
- 6. Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS, APPENDIX D. STANDARDS FOR WATER SOURCES, and APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL TESTS). Prorate by the number of water samples tested during the required time period vs. the total number of water tests due per water system.
 - a. Total required water tests performed based on each water system requiring testing at the milk plant, receiving or transfer station.

For Example:

- = # of test(s) performed at the required frequency per water system X # of water systems # of test(s) due at the required frequency per water system X # of water systems
- b. Samples of private water supplies and recirculated cooling water, including sweet water and glycol systems, taken upon initial construction/installation; within thirty (30) days after extensive repairs or alterations; and every six (6) months thereafter.
- c. Sampling is not required for public, community, or rural water system(s), which are under EPA/applicable Government Water Control Authority and in compliance with their requirements.
- d. Condensing water for milk evaporators and water reclaimed from milk or milk products complying with APPENDIX D. requirements.
- e. Hauled water (cisterns) sampled in at least four (4) months out of six (6) months, at the point of use.
- f. Water supplies with buried well seals sampled every six (6) months.

NOTE: Use *Grade "A" PMO*, Section 7., Item 7p, ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES #7 as a guide: "To determine if water samples have been taken at the frequency established in this Section, the interval shall include the designated six (6) month period plus the remaining days of the month in which the sample is due."

- g. Appropriate follow-up investigation and re-sampling of the supply/system following a positive bacteriological result. (Within thirty (30) days.)
- h. Heterotrophic count performed when required by APPENDIX G. of the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- i. Samples submitted to a laboratory acceptable to the Regulatory Agency.
- j. Current record of sample results on file at the Regulatory Agency, back to the last rating.

NOTE: Applicable Government Water Control Authority requirements, which are less stringent than the *Grade "A" PMO*, shall be superseded by the *Grade "A" PMO*. Applicable Government Water Control Authority requirements, which are more strict than the *Grade "A" PMO*, shall not be considered in determining the acceptability of water supplies during ratings, check ratings, single-service listing evaluations and audits.

For Example: If the applicable Government Water Control Authority's law required more frequent individual water supply samples to be taken, a SRO conducting a rating, which includes that milk plant, shall give that milk plant full credit for water sample frequency, if the *Grade "A" PMO* minimum sampling frequency requirement is met, even though, the applicable Government Water Control Authority's frequency is not met.

Supplies other than individual water supplies, which have been approved as safe by the applicable Government Water Control Authority, shall be considered to be acceptable sources, as provided in Section 7. of the *Grade "A" PMO*, for Grade "A" inspections, as well as for all other IMS purposes, without further inspection of the spring, well or reservoir treatment facility(ies), testing records, etc.

- 7. Samples of each milk plant's milk and/or milk products collected at the required frequency and all necessary laboratory examinations made (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 6. THE EXAMINATION OF MILK AND/OR MILK PRODUCTS). Prorate by the number of milk and/or milk products in compliance. (Refer to M-a-98, latest revision, for the FDA validated and NCIMS accepted test methods for the specific milk and/or milk products.)
 - a. During any consecutive six (6) months, at least four (4) samples of raw milk, after receipt by the milk plant, including aseptic, retort and fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk plants, shall be collected, prior to pasteurization, ultra-pasteurization, aseptic processing and packaging, retort processed after packaging or fermented high-acid, shelf-stable processing and packaging, in four (4) separate months, except when three (3) months show a month containing two (2) sampling dates separated by at least twenty (20) days.
 - b. During any consecutive six (6) months, at least four (4) samples of each Grade "A" milk and/or milk product processed, as defined in Sections 1. and 6. of the *Grade* "A" *PMO* shall be collected in four (4) separate months, except when three (3) months show a month containing two (2) sampling dates separated by at least twenty (20) days. However, if the production of any Grade "A" milk or milk product, as defined in the *Grade* "A" *PMO*, is not on a continuous monthly basis and; therefore, cannot meet the PMO sampling frequency requirement as cited, then a sample of the Grade "A" milk or milk product shall be collected during each month of production.
 - c. All required examinations performed on each sample (bacterial, coliform, drug residue, phosphatase, and cooling temperature) in an Official or Officially Designated Laboratory.

<u>NOTE</u>: All pasteurized and ultra-pasteurized milk and/or milk products required sampling and testing is to be conducted only when there are test methods available that are validated by FDA and accepted by the NCIMS. Milk and/or milk products that do not have validated and accepted methods are not required to be tested. (Refer to M-a-98, latest revision, for the specific milk and/or milk products that have FDA validated and NCIMS accepted test methods.)

- d. Assays of Vitamin A, D, and/or A and D fortified milk and/or milk products, including aseptically processed and packaged low-acid milk and/or milk products, retort processed after packaging low-acid milk and/or milk products, and fermented high-acid, shelf-stable milk and/or milk products conducted at least annually in an IMS Listed Laboratory. Credit for vitamin-fortified milk and/or milk products is not given unless vitamin analysis is completed and records are available. Each vitamin fortified product is evaluated separately. (Refer to Ma-98, latest revision, for the specific milk and/or milk products that have FDA validated and NCIMS accepted test methods for vitamins.)
- 8. Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS; *EML*; and *SMEDP*).

NOTE: Use *MMSR*, "GUIDANCE FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT CREDIT FOR PART 1, ITEM 9 AND/OR PART II, ITEM 8 OF FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2).

Items 4 and 7 on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT- SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) are not applicable for milk plants, receiving and transfer stations when calculating enforcement scores for FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2), Part II, Item 8.

NOTE: Divide by seventy-five (75) instead of 100 when making the calculations.

9. Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings and/or court action taken as required (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS, Section 5. INSPECTION OF MILK PLANTS, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS and Section 16. PENALTIES). Prorate by enforcement action(s) in compliance.

<u>NOTE</u>: A milk plant shall be prorated by enforcement action(s) in compliance. Five (5) Categories shall be utilized for determining compliance with this Item and each shall possess a value of twenty percent (20%) compliance. The Categories are as follows:

- a. Category I: Permit Issuance;
- b. Category II: Permit Suspension;
- c. Category III: Permit Revocation;
- d. Category IV: Permit Reinstatement; and
- e. Category V: Hearing/Court Action.

The Categories relate to the following Sanitation Requirements and Product Compliance. Compliance shall be prorated based on **full** compliance with each of the five (5) Categories.

<u>NOTE</u>: Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5). (Refer to Section J. #5 for an example of the Form.)

SANITATION REQUIREMENTS

Category I: Permit Issuance

- a. Inspected prior to the issuance of a permit.
- b. Permit issuance based on compliance.

Category II: Permit Suspension

- a. Notice issued for intent to suspend permit if an inspection(s) discloses a violation of a *Grade "A" PMO* requirement(s). Reinspection(s) made as required.
- b. Permit suspension upon violation of:
 - 1.) Section 3. for a serious health hazard or interference by the permit holder in the performance of the Regulatory Agency's duties; or
 - 2.) Section 5. for sanitation and/or uncorrected critical processing elements; or
 - 3.) Section 5. for consecutive violation(s) of the same requirements of Section 7.
- c. Milk products processed during suspension or while a monetary penalty is imposed for repeated inspection violations is not eligible for sale as Grade "A".

NOTE: *Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. states: "The Regulatory Agency may forego suspension of the permit, provided the milk and/or milk product in violation is not sold or offered for sale as a Grade "A" milk and/or milk product. A Regulatory Agency may allow the imposition of a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension, provided the milk and/or milk product in violation is not sold or offered for sale as a Grade "A" milk and/or milk product. The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

Category III: Permit Revocation

Action to revoke a permit taken upon multiple suspensions.

Category IV: Permit Reinstatement

Reinstatement procedures followed.

NOTE: *Grade* "A" *PMO*, Section 3. states: "Within one (1) week of the receipt of such notification {of correction}, the Regulatory Agency shall make an inspection/audit of the applicant's facility and as many additional inspections/audits thereafter as are deemed necessary, to determine that the applicant's facility is complying with the requirements."

Category V: Hearing/Court Action

Hearings provided for as required.

PRODUCT COMPLIANCE

Category II: Permit Suspension

a. All milk and/or milk products produced during a permit suspension or while a monetary penalty is imposed for bacterial count, coliform count, cooling temperature or drug residue violations are not eligible for sale as Grade "A".

NOTE: The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

- b. When two (2) out of the last four (4) samples exceed the limits, a written notice is sent, and an additional sample is taken within twenty-one (21) days of the date of the notice, but not before three (3) days.
- c. When three (3) out of the last five (5) samples exceed the standards; or a positive drug residue or pesticide residue, the permit is immediately suspended.
- d. Violation of Vitamin Fortification Levels (Refer to Appendix O. of the *Grade "A" PMO*): Determine the cause and re-sample or withhold product from the market.
- e. Positive Phosphatase: Determine the probable cause and if the cause is improper pasteurization it shall be corrected before further sale of milk is allowed.
- f. Positive Drug Residues or Pesticide Test: Investigate, determine the probable cause and correct before further sale of milk is allowed.
- g. Permit suspension upon violation of:
 - 1.) Section 3. for serious health hazard; or
 - 2.) Section 6. for bacterial counts, coliform counts and cooling temperature violations if the product is not otherwise withheld.
- h. All permits suspended as required by the *Grade "A" PMO*.

Category IV: Permit Reinstatement

- a. All milk and/or milk product violations followed promptly by an inspection to determine the cause(s).
- b. Temporary permit issued as required on reinstatement(s) and reinspection made within one (1) week following proper notification (except for drug residues).
- c. "Reinstating accelerated samples" for bacterial, cooling temperature, or coliform counts taken at a rate of not more than two (2) per week, on separate days, within a three (3) week period.
- d. All permits reinstated as required by the *Grade "A" PMO*.
- 10. Records systematically maintained and current (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 3. PERMITS, Section 4. LABELING, Section 5. INSPECTION OF MILK PLANTS, Section 6. EXAMINATION OF MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS, and Section 7. STANDARDS FOR MILK AND MILK PRODUCTS.) Make use of both general and specific record-keeping deficiencies to determine the value. The four (4) Categories (I-IV) listed below shall be utilized

for determining compliance with this Item and each shall possess a value of twenty-five percent (25%) compliance. Compliance shall be prorated based on **full** compliance with each of the four (4) Categories.

<u>NOTE</u>: Use FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION E. MILK PLANT ENFORCEMENT ACTION AND RECORDS EVALUATIONS (PAGE 5). (Refer to Section J. #5 for an example of the Form.)

a. Category I: Permit records available, accurate and current, including permit suspension, imposition of a monetary penalty, notices, reinstatement, etc. The results shall be entered on appropriate ledger forms. The use of a computer or other information retrieval system may be used.

NOTE: The option to issue a monetary penalty in lieu of a permit suspension as cited above shall not be applicable to a TPC authorized under the ICP.

- b. Category II: Inspection reports and equipment tests filed as directed by the Regulatory Agency and retained for at least twenty-four (24) months. The results are entered on a milk ledger form or computer.
- c. Category III: All test results for bacterial, coliform, cooling temperature, phosphatase, drug residues, pesticide, if available, and vitamin assay promptly recorded on an appropriate ledger or computer for each individual milk and milk product. (Use the arithmetic average for bacterial counts, coliform counts, and cooling temperature determinations when samples are collected of the same milk or milk product from the same milk plant on the same day from multiple storage tanks or silos.)
- d. Category III: Records maintained on bacteriological examination of milk containers, if required.
- e. Category III: Vitamin volume control records complete and on file at the milk plant as required.
- f. Category IV: Within the Rating Period: Plan review file in order and written approval given for construction during the rating period.

PART III. INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING

- 1. Refer to the "Total Credit", Part I value and multiply by "47", if an attached raw supply (dairy farms) is included with the milk plant listing. (Refer to the instructions below Part III on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2).) If an attached raw supply (dairy farms) is not included with the milk plant listing, leave this Item blank.
- 2. Refer to the "Total Credit", Part II value and multiply by "47", if an attached raw supply (dairy farms) is included with the milk plant listing; or by "94", if only an unattached raw supply(ies) (dairy farms) is utilized. (Refer to the instructions below Part III on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2).)

- 3. All milk and/or milk products properly labeled (*Grade "A" PMO*, Section 4. LABELING).
 - a. Prorate by Milk and/or Milk Product: Number of different milk and/or milk products correctly labeled vs. total number of milk and/or milk products, including raw.
 - b. Include in Label Review:
 - 1.) A representative label(s) for all milk and/or milk products produced, including raw. Milk and/or milk products are labeled according to the *Grade "A" PMO* definition(s) and requirements and applicable CFRs.
 - 2.) Vehicles hauling milk shall be properly identified with the name and address of the milk plant or hauler. (Include under raw milk.)
 - 3.) Milk cans from dairy farms properly identified. (Include under raw milk.)
 - 4.) Bills-of-lading and dairy farm weight tickets contain all the required information, including BTU #. (Include under raw milk where applicable.)

<u>NOTE</u>: All records shall be summarized in ledger form. Computer ledgers are acceptable. Records include:

- a. Inspections of dairy farms, milk plants, receiving and transfer stations, samplers, milk tank trucks, etc.;
- b. Laboratory information, i.e., raw milk, finished milk and/or milk products, vitamin assays, water, cooling media, etc.; and
- c. Equipment tests.

GUIDANCE FOR COMPUTING ENFORCEMENT CREDIT FOR PART I, ITEM 9 AND/OR PART II, ITEM 8 OF FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2)

FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT- SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) shall be used to determine enforcement credit for Part I, Item 9, FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (Dairy Farms), and Part II, Item 8, FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2) (Milk Plant). Items 4 and 7 on FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT- SECTION C. EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (PAGE 3) do not apply when calculating Enforcement Ratings for milk plants, receiving and transfer stations for FORM FDA 2359j-MILK SANITATION RATING REPORT-SECTION B. REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (PAGE 2). Part II, Item 8.

Item 1. Sampling Surveillance Officers (SSOs) Properly Certified

- a. All SSOs are certified by FDA.
- b. Certification is currently valid (three years).
- c. SSOs shall be a certified SRO, LEO or Regulatory Supervisor per "*Procedures*" Section V., F.

Item 2. Adequate Training Program Provided

- a. Reference material available to samplers.
- b. Training program conforms to established procedures.
- c. Training program implemented.
- d. Copies of training materials and other related information are on file for review.

Item 3. Sampling Surveillance Authority Properly Delegated

- a. Proper delegation procedures have been conducted.
- b. Only those eligible receive delegated authority.
- c. Initial Delegation: Comparison evaluations shall be performed on at least five (5) bulk milk hauler/samplers during a routine milk pick-up at a dairy farm; one (1) plant sampler that collects raw and finished milk and/or milk product samples and single-service container/closures at one (1) milk plant, if applicable; and one (1) industry plant sampler that collects a raw milk sample from a milk tank truck at one (1) milk plant, if applicable, with at least eighty percent (80%) agreement on each listed Item.
- d. Re-delegation conducted at least each three (3) years. Comparison evaluations shall be performed on at least two (2) bulk milk hauler/samplers during a routine milk pick-up at a dairy farm; one (1) plant sampler that collects raw and finished milk and/or milk product samples and single-service containers/closures at one (1) milk plant, if applicable; and one (1) industry plant sampler that collects a raw milk sample from a milk tank truck at one (1) milk plant, if applicable, with at least eighty percent (80%) agreement on each listed Item.

e. Proper certification of industry field personnel when applicable.

Item 4. Permit Issuance (Applies to Part I-Dairy Farms Only)

- a. All bulk milk hauler/samplers have a valid permit.
- b. Inspected prior to the issuance of a permit.
- c. Only bulk milk hauler/samplers who comply with *Ordinance* requirements shall be entitled to receive a permit.
- d. Permits not transferable with respect to persons.

Item 5. Sampler (Including Dairy Plant and Industry Plant Samplers at the Receiving Site) Evaluated Every Two (2) Years and Reports Properly Filed

a. Samplers shall have their sampling collection procedures evaluated by a certified SSO or a properly delegated Sampling Surveillance Regulatory Agency Official (dSSO) every two (2) years. SSOs or dSSOs are not required to be evaluated for sampling collection procedures.

NOTE: Use *Grade* "A" PMO, Section 5., **ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES**, **INSPECTION FREQUENCY** as a guide: "For the purposes of determining the inspection frequency for bulk milk hauler/samplers, industry plant samplers and dairy plant samplers, the interval shall include the designated twenty-four (24) month period plus the remaining days of the month in which the inspection is due."

b. Proper Agencies are advised of all samplers and of all evaluations annually in accordance with procedures.

Item 6. Sampling Procedures in Substantial Compliance

- a. Appraisal of each sampler's compliance done by record review.
- b. Appraisal of sampler's compliance.
- c. Evaluation criteria neither too stringent nor too lenient.

Item 7. Permit Suspension, Revocation, Reinstatement, Hearings and/or Court Actions Taken as Required (Applies to Part I- DAIRY FARMS Only)

- a. Action taken on repeat violations of sampling requirements.
- b. Re-evaluations made as required.

Item 8. Records Systematically Maintained and Current

- a. Records of the delegation of sampling evaluation authority to other Regulatory Agency or industry individuals on file and available for review with the dairy farm or milk plant records.
- b. Records of each sampler evaluation on file and available for review with the dairy farm or milk plant records.

- c. Records for each sampler evaluation entered on individual history cards or computer ledgers.
- d. Records of permit issuance, suspension, reinstatement, revocation and hearings on file and available for review.
- e. Records of bulk milk hauler/sampler, dairy plant sampler and industry plant sampler inspections on file.

APPENDIX B.

TABLE OF DAIRY FARM WATER SUPPLY VIOLATIONS

The following Table was accepted by the NCIMS Executive Board for use as guidance in evaluating dairy farm water supplies. The Table provides guidance, which may be used to differentiate between two (2) point (minor) and five (5) point (major) violations of Section 7., Item 8r of the *Grade "A" PMO* during State Ratings and FDA Check Ratings.

Primary Violation Areas as Defined by the Grade "A" PMO

- 1. Water supply is safe and complies with Appendix D.;
- 2. No cross-connections between safe and unsafe supplies;
- 3. No submerged inlets;
- 4. Well location and construction;
- 5. New individual water supplies disinfected prior to use;
- 6. All containers/tanks used to transport and protect water are protected from contamination;
- 7. Periodic sampling; and
- 8. Water testing records current.

WELLS, SPRINGS AND CISTERNS: CONSTRUCTION AND LOCATION (Items A, D and F)

(Items A, D a	and F)					
Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)					
1. Any openings that allow direct	1. Any openings that allow indirect					
contamination of the well water, such as:	contamination of the well water:					
 a. Well cap/cover not in proper position on top of casing to protect against contamination (i.e., missing, lying on ground, hanging off edge of casing, etc.); b. Well cap/cover not impervious; c. Opening in top of casing (i.e., vent hole, opening around electrical wires, etc.); d. Well casing or top cracked/perforated with openings to interior of well; e. Well seal not watertight; and f. Frost-free style water hydrant out of the top of the well casing. 	 a. Well cap/cover not tight or overlapping (i.e., set screws, etc. not tightened) but in proper position to protect against contamination; b. Proper vent (turned down pipe) but unscreened or damaged screen; and c. Loose wires running from the outside of the well into the well casing from the side or underside of the well cap. 					
2. Large hole/depression, indication of erosion	2. Slight depression around well with					
around well casing or standing water around	no evidence of standing water.					
well casing.						

Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
3. Well pit does not meet the following	3. Well pit does not meet the following
 requirements: a. Watertight construction (protected from ground water/rain water); b. Watertight impervious cover; c. Watertight impervious (concrete) floor sloped to drain; d. Operational sump pump or traceable drain to the surface; e. Dry floor in pit; and f. Well in bottom of pit protected from contamination using cover, seals, etc. 4. Spring box not properly constructed or 	a. Concrete base for pump/machinery at least 12 inches (30.5 centimeters) above the pit floor; and b. Cover of the overlapping (shoe box) type. 4. Spring box not properly constructed
protected:	or protected:
 a. Spring box and cover do not protect spring from direct contamination, (i.e., uncovered, openings in top, cracks in sides, etc.); b. Surface drainage not diverted away from spring; and c. Spring located in open pasture/field with livestock concentrating within 50 feet (15 meters) as evidenced by trampling of ground, accumulation of manure, or a stock_tank or cattle feeding area within 50 feet (15 meters) of spring. 	 a. Overflow piping not screened; b. Spring box cover not overlapping; and c. Minor construction deficiencies.
5. Water reservoir/cistern/tank construction	5. Water reservoir/cistern/tank
 and use: a. Constructed to allow contamination of the potable water; and b. Transfer/distribution system constructed to allow contamination of the water supply or distribution system. 	construction: Minor construction problems.
6. Buried well seal: With a bad water sample not brought into compliance.	6. <u>Inaccessibility:</u> Except for seasonal conditions like snow and insulation wrap during winter months, the following water sources/supplies shall be accessible for routine inspection and rating evaluation: a. Above ground wells and well pits; b. Cisterns, reservoirs and springs; and c. Stock waterers.

Major (5 naint)	Minor (2 point)
Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
7. Well within 50 feet (15 meters) of	i i
contamination source (i.e., sewer lines, septic	within 10 feet (3 meters) of the well
tank, drain field, cowyard, cattle housing areas	without an approved atmospheric
without impervious floors, calf pens, waste	vacuum breaker or with the hose
disposal lagoons, buried gasoline tanks,	connection threads not cut off.
herbicide/pesticide storage, etc.).	
8. Well casing terminating below or at ground	8. Any pit not meeting the construction
level. (Does not include well pits or buried well	standards of the <i>Grade "A" PMO</i> , which
seals complying with Item 8r of the <i>Grade "A"</i>	is located within 10 feet (3 meters) of the
<i>PMO</i> .)	well.
9. Well located in a known flood plain with	
well casing terminating less than 2 feet (0.6	
meters) above the highest known flood level.	
10. 337 11 1 4 . 1	
10. Well located in open pasture/field with	
livestock concentrating within 50 feet (15	
meters) of well as evidenced by trampling of	
the ground, accumulation of manure, or a	
stock tank or cattle feeding area within 50 feet	
(15 meters) of the well*.	
11. Improperly constructed abandoned well(s)	
located within 10 feet (3 meters) of well(s) used	
as source of potable water for the dairy.	
as source or potable water for the dally.	

^{*} If there is not any evidence of livestock concentration around a well casing that is located in a pasture, then this Item should not be debited.

WATER SAMPLING (Items E, G and H)

Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
1. Last water sample unsatisfactory.	1. Last sample on record tested safe, but the next sample was not collected/analyzed within the required time frames: a. New Permit: Then once every three (3) years; b. Buried Well Seal: Every six (6) months; c. Hauled Water: At least four (4) times in separate months during any consecutive six (6) months; and d. After Any Well Repair: Within thirty (30) days.
2. No record of an initial bacteriological sample on file prior to the issuance of a permit for new dairy farms, without any additional sample results on file for the rating period.	
3. Continuous disinfection system, required by the Regulatory Agency, is not operational.	
4. On dairy farms with interconnected wells, if the system is constructed and operated so that a single sample will represent all sources, then a single sample is sufficient. If a single sample does not represent all sources, then each individual well shall be sampled at the required frequency (M-I-86-9).	

CROSS-CONNECTIONS AND SUBMERGED INLETS:

(Items B and C)

(Items B and	<u> </u>
Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
1. Submerged inlets: Into non-potable water, (i.e.): a. Submerged line in a stock tank(s)/stock fountain(s); b. 2-compartment wash vat(s) containing water or with the drain plugged; c. Drinking cups; d. Pre-cooler outlet; e. Flush down tanks; f. Water inlet to a CIP/wash vat is submerged in water or solution in the vat; and g. Chill water tank (sweet water, glycol, etc.).	 1. Potential submerged inlets: a. Single-cased pipe in a stock tank or fountain; b. Properly working stock tank float located below the overflow rim of the tank; and c. Water inlet (equipped with an automatic shut-off) to a CIP/wash vat terminates below the rim of the vat, but is not submerged in water or solution. (NOTE: If the float has stuck and it is submerged at the time of the inspection it is a five (5) point debit.)
2. Permanent in-line high pressure pump	2. Portable high pressure water
(power washer): Without acceptable protection, such as: a. Properly functioning low-pressure cut-off switch with a properly located test valve; and b. Other methods acceptable to the State Water Control Authority.	pump (power washer): Without acceptable protection, such as: a. Separate water supply or reservoir; b. Properly functioning low-pressure cut-off switch with a properly located test valve; and c. Other methods acceptable to the applicable Government Water Control Authority. (NOTE: Lack of a valve or improperly located valve, used to test the low-pressure cut-off switch is a two (2) point debit.)
3. Cleaner, sanitizer and udder wash injectors (pumps) with water supply connection not properly protected and supply container of greater than one (1) gallon size. Submerged inlet(s) in other chemical containers (i.e., bottles and/or containers of Roundup, 2-4D, etc.), regardless of the size of the chemical container.	

Major (5 point)	Minor (2 point)
4. Anti-siphon vent-type backflow preventer with vent plugged.	_
5. Use of non-functional or improper devices to protect against submerged inlets and/or cross-connections.	
6. Stock tank(s) utilizing center ground pipe as an overflow, where the overflow is flooded and not draining.	
7. Discharge hose connecting potable water system directly to the sewer system or manure handling system (i.e., water line terminating below the flood rim of a floor drain).	

RECLAIMED WATER NOT MEETING THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

(Appendix D., IV. - Water Reclaimed from Heat Exchanger Processes)
Major (5 point)

- 1. Sampled before initial approval;
- 2. Sampled at least once in each six (6) month period;
- 3. Proper construction of the storage tank (i.e., protected from contamination);
- 4. No cross-connections between reclaimed water and non-potable water; and
- 5. Approved chemicals used if water is treated.